### **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN 55038

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal Brian Humpal



St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

### **Compliance Inspection Form**

## Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

<b>Instructions:</b> Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):	
<u> </u>	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance grade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent thi ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwa ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	reat to public health and safety ter otect groundwater vater
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range	ge:
	or inspection: Property Sale
Property owner: Chuck & Kelly Johnston Owner's  or	phone:
	ntative phone: 651-336-4508
Local regulatory authority: Washington County Regulato	ry authority phone: 651-430-4052
Brief system description: _Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield.	
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certificat	ion number: <u>L5342</u>
	nse number: _L2896
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Pho	one number: 651-492-7550
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	local ordinance
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Lice	

1.	lm	<b>npact on Public Health</b> – Cor	mpliance compone	ent #1 of 5		
	Compliance criteria:			Verification method(s):		
		stem discharge sewage to the bund surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul><li>☑ Searched for surface outlet</li><li>☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li></ul>		
		stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>"Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li> </ul>		
		rstem cause sewage backup into relling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test		
		ny "yes" answer above indicates n Imminent Threat to Public Heal	•	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
		omments/Explanation: one of the above found.				
	INC	one of the above found.				
2.	Ta	ank Integrity – Compliance con	nponent #2 of 5			
	Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
		estem consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ⊠ No	□ Probed tank(s) bottom		
		sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		⊠ Examined construction records     □ Examined Tools Integrity Form (All or t)		
		epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		<ul><li>Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li><li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li></ul>		
		ewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)		
		signed operating depth.  yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
			atos the	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.			☑ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Сс	omments/Explanation:				
	Lo	wered underwater camera into tanks -	baffles and tank wa	ills OK.		
3.	01	ther Compliance Conditions	5 – Compliance co	mponent #3 of 5		
	a.	Maintenance hole covers are damage	d, cracked, unsecure	d, or appear to structurally unsound. $\ \square$ Yes* $\ \square$ No $\ \square$ Unknown		
	b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to i *System is an imminent threat to pu		ersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
		Explain:				
	C.	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater				
		Explain:				

Property address: 14270 50th St S, Afton, MN 55001

Inspector initials/Date: 2/3/2016

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • 3 off9TY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Date of installation: 1994	Unkr	nown	Ve	erification method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No		il observation does not expire. Is servations by two independent		
Compliance criteria:				less site conditions have been a		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes	□No		quirements differ.  Conducted soil observation(s)  Two previous verifications (Att  Not applicable (Holding tank(s),	tach boring logs)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				Unable to verify (See Comment Other (See Comments/Explanation	· · ·	
Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes	□No	Co	mments/Explanation:		
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			Re	Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes	∕es □ No	Inc	dicate depths of elevations	<b>S</b>	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)			<u>A</u> .	Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically				Periodically saturated soil/bedrock System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.						
Any "no" answer above indicates to Failing to Protect Groundwater.			*M O	Required compliance separation* ay be reduced up to 15 percent rdinance.	•	
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B		-			-	
Is the system operated under an Operating Per		☐ Yes		If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required		
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM		☐ Yes		it "yes", B below is required	ı	
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specification		-	•			
If the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion does	not ne	eed to be completed.		
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number:				☐ Yes ☐ No		
Have the Operating Permit requirements I	peen met	?		<del>_</del>		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and						

Property address: 14270 50th St S, Afton, MN 55001

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • 4 of ISTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

Inspector initials/Date: 2/3/2016

#### **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

## Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: February 3, 2016	Time: 1:15 PM				
Property Address: 14270 50th St S, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001				
Property Owner: Chuck & Kelly Johnston	Phone:				
Tank(s) Septic 2 Fiberglass Aerobic Lift Holding Other: Block Other  Tank(s) Material Soil Treatment System Rock trench Gravelless trench Chamber trench Seepage bed Mound Other  At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface access and proper maintenance of the second surface access and proper maintenance of the second surface access access and proper maintenance access access and proper maintenance access access access access and proper maintenance access a	ers should be made accessible to he system.				
	Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000				
	sidents in home?				
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g					
Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bath?					
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?  Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	s connected to this system?				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? East Side					
	well a deep well? Y				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:					
When was the system last pumped? Name of pum	1				
	on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?					
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.					
Owner/Occupant:	Date:				



#### **Log Of Soil Borings**

Borings Made By:   Inspect Minnesota   Auger Used:   Hand/Bucket   Classification System:   USDA	Location of Project: 14270 50th St S, Afton, MN 55001					
Surface Elevation of Boring number:  Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches  0-23 23-36 36-48 48-59 59-77  7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 9.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 9					Date:	2/3/16
Surface Elevation of Boring  Depth In Inches		Auger Used:	Hand/Bucket	Classi	fication System:	USDA
Elevation of Boring  Depth In Inches  0-23 23-36 36-48 48-59 59-77  7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 8 Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox 8 Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox 8 Elevation Of Boring Relative To System -32" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥45" Of Separation  End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:  None  End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:  None  Redox Present At:		Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Inches     Solis Encountered     Inches     Solis Encountered       0-23 23-36 36-48 48-59 7.57K 4/4 Sandy Loam 48-59 7.57K 4/4 Sandy Loam With Few Limestone Fragments 59-77     Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox     Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox       59-77     Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox     Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox       Same     Elevation Of Boring Relative To System     Elevation Of Boring Relative To System       -32"     Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥45"     Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation     Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation       End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:     77"     End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:	Surface Elevation of Same ground surface as I			Elevation ( Boring	of	
23-36 36-48 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam With Few Limestone Fragments 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam  7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam  7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam  Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox  Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System  Belevation Of Boring Relative To System  Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation  End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:  None Redox Present At:	•	Soils E	ncountered	•	Soils Encountered	
SameElevation Of Boring Relative To SystemElevation Of Boring Relative To System-32"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution MediaDepth To Bottom Of Distribution Media≥45"Of SeparationOf SeparationEnd Of Boring At: Redox Present At:77"End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:	0-23 23-36 36-48 48-59 59-77	7.5YR 7.5YR 4/4 7.5YR 4/4 S Few Limes	R 4/4 Loam 4 Sandy Loam Sandy Loam With tone Fragments			
-32" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥45" Of Separation  End Of Boring At: 77" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:	77" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox			Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox	
≥45" Of Separation  End Of Boring At: 77" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:	Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		l l	Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System	
End Of Boring At: 77" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:					of Distribution Media	
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:	≥45"  Of Separation			Of Separation		
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:		End Of Boring At:	77"		End Of Borina At:	

В	Bottom Of Distribution Medium At:	32 Inches
•		


#### **DISCLAIMER**

### Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable



License # L2896

Date of Issuance:

Maintainer License Expires:
Installer License Expires:
Adv Inspector License Expires:
Dec 22, 2016

### Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Designated Certified Individual (DCI)	Certification Type	Certification Expires
Brian L. Humpal	Maintainer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Advanced Designer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Advanced Inspector (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Installer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Service Provider (Certified)	10/15/2017
Christopher R. Uebe	Designer (Certified)	03/04/2018
Christopher R. Uebe	Inspector (Certified)	03/04/2018



St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings Manager Environmental Business Assistance Section