Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 16900 Ingersoll Ave N, Hugo, MN 55038

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the history of the system with the owner, Shirley Crawford. I have contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This house has two very old septic systems. The first system serves a bathroom and the kitchen. It consists of a cesspool and a drainfield and is located on the northwest side. The second system serves a bathroom and the laundry. It consists of a cesspool and a drainfield and is located on the south side.

It should be noted that both systems have high liquid levels in the cesspools and excessive ponding in their drainfields. These are indicators that the systems are at the end of their useful life.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the cesspools lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact Washington County Environmental Specialist, Mr. Chris LeClair (651-430-4052), to <u>verify</u> the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal



Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

| Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MP requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply. | CA) For local tracking purposes: | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owns within 15 days | er | | |
| System Status | | | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):8/14/2017 | | | |
| _ · · — | compliant – Notice of Noncompliance Upgrade Requirements on page 3) | | |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) ☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent three ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect grount ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to Protect grount ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect grount ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component | nt threat to public health and safety dwater o protect groundwater undwater | | |
| | | | |
| Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/ | Range: | | |
| | son for inspection: Property Transfer | | |
| · · · | er's phone: 651-491-8579 | | |
| Owner's representative: Repr | esentative phone: | | |
| | Representative phone: | | |
| Brief system description: | <u> </u> | | |
| Comments or recommendations: | | | |
| This house has two very old septic systems. The first system serves a bathroom a drainfield and is located on the northwest side. The second system serves a bathrand a drainfield and is located on the south side. It should be noted that both system excessive ponding in their drainfields. These are indicators that the systems are a | room and the laundry. It consists of a cesspool ems have high liquid levels in the cesspools and | | |
| Certification | | | |
| I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unipossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. | | | |
| Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certification C | fication number: L5342 | | |
| | License number: L2896 | | |
| Inspector signature: Brian Humpal | Phone number: 651-492-7550 | | |
| Necessary or Locally Required Attachments | | | |
| | per local ordinance | | |
| ☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaime | | | |
| | | | |

Property address: _ 16900 Ingersoll Avenue N, Hugo, MN 55038

Inspector initials/Date: 08/14/2017

| 1. | lm | pact on Public Health – Cor | npliance | component #1 o | f 5 | |
|----|--|---|---|--|-------------------|---|
| 1. | Sygro gro Sygro Sygro dw | pompliance criteria: stem discharge sewage to the bund surface. stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters. stem cause sewage backup into relling or establishment. ny "yes" answer above indicates of Imminent Threat to Public Heal remments/Explanation: | ☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ He systh and Some | No No No No tem is afety. | Vei | rification method(s): Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) |
| 2. | Τā | ank Integrity — Compliance com | nponent # | [‡] 2 of 5 | | |
| | Co | ompliance criteria: | | | Vei | rification method(s): |
| | | stem consists of a seepage pit, | | □ No | \boxtimes | Probed tank(s) bottom |
| | | sspool, drywell, or leaching pit. | | | | Examined construction records |
| | | epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be mpliant if allowed in local ordinance. | | | | Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth |
| | | wage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes | □ No | | Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) |
| | | signed operating depth. ves, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | All Tanks | <u></u> | | Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) |
| | Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. | | | | \boxtimes | Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) |
| 3. | Lo It s | mments/Explanation: wered underwater camera into tanks - should be noted that both systems have indicators that the systems are at the | e high liqu end of the | uid levels in the ce eir useful life. | sspoo | ols and excessive ponding in their drainfields. These of 5 |
| | a. | Maintenance hole covers are damaged | d, cracked, | unsecured, or app | ear to | o structurally unsound. |
| | b. | Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to it *System is an imminent threat to put | | | pact _l | public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown |
| | | Explain: | | | | |
| | C. | System is non-protective of ground wa *System is failing to protect ground Explain: | | er conditions as det | termir | ned by inspector ☐ Yes* ☑ No |

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 16900 Ingersoll Avenue N, Hugo, MN 55038

Inspector initials/Date: 08/14/2017

| Date of installation: | ⊠ Unkr | nown | Verification method(s): | |
|---|----------------|------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging? | ☐ Yes | | Soil observation does not expire. Pobservations by two independent p | |
| Compliance criteria: | | | | |
| For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (Two previous verifications (Atta | ch boring logs) |
| Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments.☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation | /Explanation) |
| Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: | ☐ Yes | □ No | Comments/Explanation: | |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* | | | | |
| "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV | ☐ Yes | ☐ No | Indicate depths of elevations | |
| or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) | | | A. Bottom of distribution media | See Attached Boring Log(s) |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation | |
| | | | D. Required compliance separation* | |
| Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. | | | *May be reduced up to 15 percent Ordinance. | if allowed by Local |
| Operating Permit and Nitrogen B | MP* – 0 | Compliance | component #5 of 5 🔀 Not app | olicable |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Per | mit? | ☐ Yes [| ⊠ No If "yes", A below is required | |
| Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? | | | | |
| BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | | | | |
| If the answer to both questions is "no", | | • | | |
| Compliance criteria | | | | |
| a. Operating Permit number: | | | | |
| Have the Operating Permit requirements been met? | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and | Yes 🗆 No | | | |

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3


Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

| Date of Inspection: August 14, 2017 | Time: 9:00 AM | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Property Address: 16900 Ingersoll Avenue N, Hugo, MN | Zip: 55038 | | | | |
| Property Owner: David & Shirley Crawford | Phone: 651-491-8579 | | | | |
| Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic Fiberglass ⊠Rock trench - 2 Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade | Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system 2 or more Other system | | | | |
| Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \square Yes \boxtimes No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system. | | | | | |
| Year house built: 1910 Year septic installed: Unknown | | | | | |
| | sidents in home? 2 | | | | |
| Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gravity? Y | | | | | |
| Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bath? N | | | | | |
| More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N | | | | | |
| Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N | | | | | |
| Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N | | | | | |
| Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? N | | | | | |
| Location of septic system on lot? System 1 - North Side, System 2 - South Side | | | | | |
| Location of water well on lot? Southeast Corner Basement Is the well a deep well? Shallow | | | | | |
| Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? Y If yes, explain: Replaced piping in approximately 2012. | | | | | |
| When was the system last pumped? 2013 Name of pum | per: Tom's Sewer Service | | | | |
| How often pumped in previous years? Every 4 | | | | | |
| Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? N | | | | | |
| Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N | | | | | |
| Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? N | | | | | |

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Shirley Crawford's Signature On File Date: 08/14/017



Log Of Soil Borings

| Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota Auger Used: Hand/Bucket Boring Number: Surface Elevation of Boring Boring Same ground surface as last drainfiled trench Boring Classification System: USE Surface Elevation of Boring | PΑ |
|---|---------|
| Boring Number: 1 Boring Number: Surface Elevation of drainfiled trench Boring Number: Surface Elevation of | |
| Surface Elevation of drainfiled trench Surface Surface Elevation of Chainfiled trench | |
| Elevation of drainfiled trench Elevation of | |
| | |
| Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered | |
| 0-6 6-26 10YR 3/2 Loamy Sand 26-40 10YR 4/3 Loamy Sand 40-50 10YR 3/4 Clay Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 Redox | |
| 40" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Depth To End Of Boring Or Red | 0X |
| Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System Elevation Of Boring Relative To | System |
| -52" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution | n Media |
| =0" Of Separation Of Separation | |
| End Of Boring At: 50" End Of Boring At: | |
| Redox Present At: 40" Redox Present At: | |
| Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At: | |

| Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: | 52 Inches |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| | _ |

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2017

Issued: 11/29/2016

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2017

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2018

Designer, Inspector



St. Paul. Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings, Manager

Prevention and Solid Waste Management Section