

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance Inspection Form

## **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Mi requirements and attached forms -	nnesota Pollution Control Agency (M additional local requirements may a	1 0/1/	tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Loca within 15 days	I Unit of Government (LUG) and s	system owner	
System Status			
System status on date (mi	n/dd/yyyy): 2/4/2016		
(Valid for 3 years from report frame outlined in Local Ordines	t date, unless shorter time	Noncompliant – N (See Upgrade Requireme	otice of Noncompliance onts on page 3.)
☐ Impact on Public Hea ☐ Other Compliance Co ☐ Tank Integrity (Compl ☐ Other Compliance Co ☐ Soil Separation (Comp	th (Compliance Component #1) – In nditions (Compliance Component # fance Component #2) – Failing to produce Component # fance Component # falliance Compon	3) – Imminent threat to public rotect groundwater 3) – Failing to protect ground protect groundwater	c health and safety
Property Information	Devel ID#	0 /T /D	
Property Information		or Sec/Twp/Range: 31028	
Property address. 6603 Crackle	perry Trail Woodbury, MN 55129	Reason for inspection	21130010 Transfer
Property address: 6603 Crackle Property owner: Larry and Ann	perry Trail Woodbury, MN 55129		
Property Information Property address: 6603 Crackle Property owner: Larry and Ann or Owner's representative:	perry Trail Woodbury, MN 55129	Reason for inspection	r: Property Transfer
Property address. 6603 Crackle Property owner: Larry and Ann or Owner's representative:	perry Trail Woodbury, MN 55129	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone	r: Property Transfer
Property address: 6603 Crackle Property owner: Larry and Ann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was	verry Trail Woodbury, MN 55129  Wendling	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone	Property Transfer
Property address: 6603 Crackle Property owner: Larry and Ann or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was	Nendling hington County tic tank to gravity drainfield	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone	Property Transfer
Property address: 6603 Cracklet Property owner: Larry and Anno or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was Brief system description: 1 Sep Comments or recommendations System was installed in 1986 with	Nendling  Mendling  mington County tic tank to gravity drainfield the permit from the city of Woodbury.	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone Regulatory authority p	Property Transfer  e: phone: 651-430-6655
Property address: 6603 Cracklet Property owner: Larry and Anno or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was Brief system description: 1 Sep Comments or recommendations	Nendling  Mendling  mington County tic tank to gravity drainfield the permit from the city of Woodbury.	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone Regulatory authority p	Property Transfer  e: phone: 651-430-6655
Property address: 6603 Cracklet Property owner: Larry and Annor Or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was Brief system description: 1 Sep Comments or recommendations System was installed in 1986 with required by systems installed prior Thereby certify that all the necessar determination of future system per	Nendling  Mendling  mington County tic tank to gravity drainfield the permit from the city of Woodbury.	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone Regulatory authority p  This system meets the requirements of the compliance is the due to unknown conditions.	Property Transfer  6:  6:  651-430-6655  ired 24" of soil separation as
Property address: 6603 Cracklet Property owner: Larry and Annor Or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was Brief system description: 1 Sep Comments or recommendations System was installed in 1986 with required by systems installed prior Thereby certify that all the necessar determination of future system per	Nendling  Nendling  Inington County  Itic tank to gravity drainfield  a permit from the city of Woodbury.  Ito April 1, 1996.	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone Regulatory authority p  This system meets the requirements of the compliance is the due to unknown conditions.	Property Transfer  6:  6:  651-430-6655  ired 24" of soil separation as
Property address: 6603 Cracklet Property owner: Larry and Annor Or Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was Brief system description: 1 Sep Comments or recommendations System was installed in 1986 with required by systems installed prior Thereby certify that all the necessary determination of future system per possible abuse of the system, inaccompany in the property of the system in t	Nendling  Mendling  mington County tic tank to gravity drainfield  a permit from the city of Woodbury. to April 1, 1996.  The symmetry information has been gathered to formance has been nor can be made equate maintenance, or future water	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone Regulatory authority p  This system meets the requirements of determine the compliance is the due to unknown conditions or usage.	phone: 651-430-6655  ired 24" of soil separation as  status of this system. No during system construction,
Property address: 6603 Cracklet Property owner: Larry and Annior Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was Brief system description: 1 Sep Comments or recommendations System was installed in 1986 with required by systems installed prior Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary determination of future system per possible abuse of the system, inact inspector name: Dave Brown Business name: David R. Brown	Nendling  Mendling  mington County tic tank to gravity drainfield  a permit from the city of Woodbury. to April 1, 1996.  The symmetry information has been gathered to formance has been nor can be made equate maintenance, or future water	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone Regulatory authority p  This system meets the requirements of the compliance of	Property Transfer  6:
Property address: 6603 Cracklet Property owner: Larry and Annior Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was Brief system description: 1 Sep Comments or recommendations System was installed in 1986 with required by systems installed prior  Certification  Thereby certify that all the necessal determination of future system per possible abuse of the system, inact anspector name: Dave Brown Business name: David R. Brown Inspector signature:	Nendling  nington County tic tank to gravity drainfield : a permit from the city of Woodbury. to April 1, 1996.  Try information has been gathered to formance has been nor can be made equate maintenance, or future water	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone Regulatory authority p  This system meets the requirements of determine the compliance is due to unknown conditions or usage.  Certification number: License number:	Property Transfer  6:
Property address: 6603 Cracklet Property owner: Larry and Annior Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Was Brief system description: 1 Sep Comments or recommendations System was installed in 1986 with required by systems installed prior Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary determination of future system per possible abuse of the system, inact inspector name: Dave Brown Business name: David R. Brown	Nendling  nington County tic tank to gravity drainfield : a permit from the city of Woodbury. to April 1, 1996.  Try information has been gathered to formance has been nor can be made equate maintenance, or future water	Reason for inspection Owner's phone:  Representative phone Regulatory authority p  This system meets the requirements of determine the compliance is due to unknown conditions or usage.  Certification number: License number:	Property Transfer  6:

. In	npact on Public Health — (	()[[[[]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]			
	ompliance criteria:	sompliance compo			
			Verification method(s):		
	estem discharges sewage to the ound surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul> <li>         ⊠ Searched for surface outlet     </li> <li>         ⊠ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home     </li> </ul>		
Sy	stem discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes		
tile	e or surface waters.		☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanati		
	stem causes sewage backup into velling or establishment.	sewage backup into  Yes No  "Black soil" above soil d  System requires "emerg	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system		
			System requires "emergency" pumping		
	ny "yes" answer above ind ystem is an imminent threat		Performed dye test		
health and safety.			Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
Со	omments/Explanation:		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation		
Ta	ank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5			
Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
Sy	stem consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ☒ No	□ Probed tank(s) bottom		
ces	sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.				
See	epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		<ul> <li>☑ Examined construction records</li> <li>☑ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> </ul>		
Sec	impliant if allowed in local ordinance.	☐ Yes ☒ No			
See Se		☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> </ul>		
Second Se	mpliant if allowed in local ordinance. wage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> <li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li> </ul>		
See des	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.  yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above indi	cates the	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> <li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
See des	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indirected in the stank is failing to protect gu	cates the	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> <li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
See des	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.  yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above indi	cates the	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> <li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
See des	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indirected in the stank is failing to protect gu	cates the	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> <li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
See des	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indirected in the stank is failing to protect gu	cates the	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> <li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
See des	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indirected in the stank is failing to protect gu	cates the	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> <li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
See des lf y	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: res, which s	cates the roundwater.	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> <li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
See corr See des If y Ar Sy Co	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: res, which s	cates the roundwater.	□ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
See des des lf y	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  "y "yes" answer above indirectem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  Ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama	cates the roundwater.  IS – Compliance companded, cracked, unsecured	□ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) ponent #3 of 5 d, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown		
See corr See des If y Ar sy Co	mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.  wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.  res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above indirectem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama  Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	cates the roundwater.  IS – Compliance compl	□ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  ponent #3 of 5 d, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknersely impact public health or safety.		
See corresponding to the corre	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  "y "yes" answer above indirectem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  Ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama	cates the roundwater.  IS – Compliance compl	□ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  ponent #3 of 5 d, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknersely impact public health or safety.		
See corresponding to the corre	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  "yes" answer above indivisem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  Ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)  *System is an imminent threat to	cates the roundwater.  IS – Compliance compl	□ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  ponent #3 of 5 d, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknersely impact public health or safety.		
See des des lf y	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  "yes" answer above indivisem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  Ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)  *System is an imminent threat to	cates the roundwater.  IS – Compliance compl	□ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  ponent #3 of 5 d, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknersely impact public health or safety.		
See corresponding to the corre	mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.  wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.  res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above indivistem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama  Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)  *System is an imminent threat to Explain:	cates the roundwater.  IS — Compliance conditions water for other conditions	□ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  d, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknersely.		
See des des lf y	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  "y "yes" answer above indivisem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  Ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)  *System is an imminent threat to Explain:	cates the roundwater.  IS — Compliance conditions water for other conditions	□ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  ponent #3 of 5  d, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknersely.		

Property address:	6603 Crackleberr	y Trail Woodbury.	MN 55129
-------------------	------------------	-------------------	----------

Inspector initials/Date: DRB | 2/4/2016 (mm/dd/yyyy)

071111.601				
Date of installation: Of/16/1986 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria:	Yes No	Soil observation does not expire. For observations by two independent punless site conditions have been a requirements differ.	arties are sufficient	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	Myas DNs		***	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	⊠ Yes □ No	Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)		
Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)		
beverage or lodging establishment:		<ul> <li>Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)</li> <li>Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically				
saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation	)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*				
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	Α.	Indicate depths or elevations	Indicate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		A. Bottom of distribution media	32"	
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	58"	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	26"	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
Any "no" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwater.		D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.  nce component #5 of 5	24" f allowed by Local  Not applicable	
. Operating Permit and Nitroge	II DMP — Compila		and all hungaria	
			red	
Is the system operated under an Operatin	g Permit?	s No If "yes", A below is requi		
Is the system operated under an Operatin	ig Permit? ☐ Ye en BMP? ☐ Ye	s No If "yes", A below is requi		
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	g Permit? Ye en BMP? Ye specified in the system	s No If "yes", A below is requi s No If "yes", B below is requi design		
Is the system operated under an Operatin	g Permit? Ye en BMP? Ye specified in the system	s No If "yes", A below is requi s No If "yes", B below is requi design		
Is the system operated under an Operation Is the system required to employ a Nitroge BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	g Permit? Ye en BMP? Ye specified in the system	s No If "yes", A below is requi s No If "yes", B below is requi design		
Is the system operated under an Operatin Is the system required to employ a Nitroge BMP = Best Management Practice(s) If the answer to both questions is "	g Permit? Ye en BMP? Ye specified in the system	s No If "yes", A below is requise No If "yes", B below is requied design		
Is the system operated under an Operatin Is the system required to employ a Nitroge BMP = Best Management Practice(s) If the answer to both questions is " Compliance criteria	g Permit? Ye en BMP? Ye specified in the system fno", this section do	s No If "yes", A below is requi s No If "yes", B below is requi design		
Is the system operated under an Operatin Is the system required to employ a Nitroge BMP = Best Management Practice(s) If the answer to both questions is " Compliance criteria  a. Operating Permit number:	g Permit? Ye en BMP? Ye specified in the system fno", this section do ents been met?	s No If "yes", A below is required to be completed.  Yes No If "yes", B below is required.		

**Upgrade Requirements** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

