1 of 9

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

| P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 | | Brian Humpal | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com | | MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector | |
| SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TR | EATMENT SYSTEM | (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT | |
| Date: September 14, 2017 | Time: 10:00 AM | Owner: Dave & Mithra Ramaley | |
| Inspection Address: 6331 Hilton Ct N, Pine Springs, MN 55115 | | | |

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system, have reviewed the history of the system with the owner, Mithra Ramaley, and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2006, which were on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1979) consists of a pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. A broken manhole on the lift tank was replaced September 14, 2017.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the lift pump electrical is poorly configured and should be re-configured to reduce the potential for problems. In addition, a soil boring over the drainfield indicated some black soils. This is an indicator that the drainfield may be nearing the end of its useful life.

Predicated on my inspection of the system, my review of the history of the system with the owner, and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system presently meets MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal Brian Humpal

NOTE: This report is not complete without the inclusion/attachment of the additional pages which consist of up to three (3) MPCA drafted Compliance Inspection Documents, one (1) Homeowner/Occupant Information Sheet (when obtainable), one (1) site diagram, one (1) log of soil boring(s), one (1) Brian L Humpal, Inc. Disclaimer Sheet, and one (1) MPCA License.

 System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):
 9/14/2017

 Image: Compliant - Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)
 Image: Noncompliant - Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) Noncompliant

Property Information

within 15 days

System Status

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

| Property address: 63 | 331 Hilton Ct N, Pine Springs, MN 55115 | Reason for inspection: Property Transfer |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Property owner: Day | ve & Mythra Ramaley | Owner's phone: 612-205-2070 |
| or | | |
| Owner's representative | e: | Representative phone: |
| Local regulatory author | rity: Washington County | Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-4052 |
| Brief system descriptio | Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. | |

Comments or recommendations:

A broken manhole on the lift tank was replaced September 14, 2017.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the lift pump electrical is poorly configured and should be re-configured to reduce the potential for problems. In addition, a soil boring over the drainfield indicated some black soils. This is an indicator that the drainfield may be nearing the end of its useful life.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

| Inspector name: | Brian Humpal | Certification number: | L5342 |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|
| Business name: | Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing | License number: | L2896 |
| Inspector signature | : Brian Humpal | Phone number: | 651-492-7550 |

Necessary or Locally Required Attachments

| 🛛 Soil boring logs | System/As-built drawing | Forms per local ordinance |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Other information (list): | Report Summary, Property Information | n, Disclaimer, License |

Compliance Inspection Form

For local tracking purposes:

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

(SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

| Minnesota Pollution | |
|---------------------|--|
| Control Agency | |

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194 2 of 9

Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5 1.

| Compliance criteria: | | Verification method(s): |
|---|------------|--|
| System discharge sewage to the ground surface. | 🗌 Yes 🖾 No | Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home |
| System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | 🗌 Yes 🖾 No | Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) |
| System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Deformed due test |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates an Imminent Threat to Public Heal | - | Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) |

Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

Comments/Explanation:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the lift pump electrical is poorly configured and should be reconfigured to reduce the potential for problems. In addition, a soil boring over the drainfield indicated some black soils. This is an indicator that the drainfield may be nearing the end of its useful life.

Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5 2.

Compliance criteria:

| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. | 🗌 Yes | 🛛 No |
|---|-------|------|
| Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. | | |
| Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. | ☐ Yes | 🛛 No |
| If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | | |

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.

Verification method(s):

Probed tank(s) bottom Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

Comments/Explanation:

Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK.

Lift pump and alarm were operational at the time of the inspection.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the lift pump electrical is poorly configured and should be reconfigured to reduce the potential for problems. In addition, a soil boring over the drainfield indicated some black soils. This is an indicator that the drainfield may be nearing the end of its useful life.

3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

- Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Unknown a. 🛛 No
- b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* 🛛 No 🗌 Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector □ Yes* 🛛 No *System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

| Date of installation: 1979 | Unknown | Verification method(s): | |
|---|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging? | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are su | |
| Compliance criteria: | | unless site conditions have been alte | |
| For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | ☐ Yes ☐ No | requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring log Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other (See Comments/Explanation) | |
| Non-performance systems built April 1, | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | Comments/Explanation: | |
| 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead | | Reviewed previous compliance insp | ection from 2006. |
| Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: | | Reviewed design and permit records | S. |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* | | | |
| "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" | □ Yes □ No | Indicate depths of elevations | |
| systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) | | A. Bottom of distribution media | See Attached Boring Log(s) |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical | | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | |
| separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | C. System separation | |
| | | D. Required compliance separation* | |
| Any "no" answer above indicates the Failing to Protect Groundwater. | he system is | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance. | allowed by Local |
| | | | |
| Operating Permit and Nitrogen B | MP* – Compliance of | component #5 of 5 🛛 🛛 Not appl | icable |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Per | mit? 🗌 Yes 🛛 | No If "yes", A below is required | |
| Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM | IP? 🗌 Yes 🛛 | No If "yes", B below is required | |
| BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specifi | ied in the system desig | in | |
| If the answer to both questions is "no", | this section does n | not need to be completed. | |

Compliance criteria

5.

| a. | Operating Permit number: | ∏Yes ∏No |
|----|---|------------|
| _ | Have the Operating Permit requirements been met? | |
| b. | Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| | | |

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) *An imminent threat to public health and safety* (*ITPHS*) *must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.*

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information


This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

| Date of Inspection: 9/6/17 & 9/14/17 | Time: 10:00 AM | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dronoutry Addresses (221 Hilton Ct N. Ding Springs MD) | 7 | | | |
| Property Address: 6331 Hilton Ct N, Pine Springs, MN | | | | |
| Property Owner:Dave & Mithra RamaleyTank(s)Tank(s)MaterialSoil Treatment | Phone: 612-205-2070 nt System Other | | | |
| Septic 1FiberglassRock trencAerobicPlasticGravellessXLiftMetalChamber t | ch Alternative system trench Experimental system rench Cesspool system | | | |
| ☐ Holding ☐Concrete ☐Seepage be ☐ Other: ☐Block ☐Mound | ed Other system | | | |
| Other At-grade | | | | |
| Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance the ground surface to facilitate access and proper mainter | hole covers should be made accessible to | | | |
| Year house built: 1979 Year septic installed: 197 | 79 Tank size (gals.): 1200 | | | |
| How long has seller owned the property? 2006 Nur | nber of residents in home? 5 | | | |
| | ined by gravity? Lower pumped | | | |
| | pool bath? Y | | | |
| More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N | | | | |
| Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected | Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N | | | |
| Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N | | | | |
| Are there any additional systems on this property serving | g other buildings? N | | | |
| Location of septic system on lot? West Side | | | | |
| Location of water well on lot? South Side | Is the well a deep well? Y | | | |
| Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, | | | | |
| surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made | | | | |
| to the system? N If yes, explain: | | | | |
| When was the system last pumped? 2017 Nar | ne of pumper: Schlomka's | | | |
| How often pumped in previous years? Every 2 | Is system on a monitoring plan? N | | | |
| Have you received notices from any government agency | | | | |
| Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N | | | | |
| Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? N | | | | |

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Mithra Ramaley's Signature On File

Date: 09/06/2017



Log Of Soil Borings

| Locat | tion of Proiect: | 6331 Hilton Ct N, Pin | e Springs, M | IN 55115 | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Inspect Minnesota | | Date: | 9/6/17 |
| | Auger Used: | Hand/Bucket | Classifi | cation System: | USDA |
| В | oring Number: | 1 | E | Boring Number: | |
| Surface Elevation of Boring | - | und surface as last nfield trench | Surface Elevation of Boring | F | |
| Depth In Inches | <u>Soils E</u> | ncountered | Depth In Inches | <u>Soils En</u> | countered |
| 0-19 19-26 26-43 43-60 60-80 | 10YR 4 10YR 3/4 7.5YR 3/4 M Trace 10YR 3/4 L | 2 Loamy Sand /3 Fine Sand Medium Sand With e of Gravel oamy Sand With e of Gravel | | | |
| 80" De | epth To End Of B | oring Or Redox | D | epth To End Of Bo | oring Or Redox |
| Same El | evation Of Borin | g Relative To System | EI | evation Of Boring | Relative To System |
| | epth To Bottom (f Separation | Of Distribution Media | | epth To Bottom O f Separation | f Distribution Media |
| E | nd Of Boring At: | 80" | E | nd Of Boring At: | |
| | edox Present At: | | | edox Present At: | |
| Standing W | ater Present At: | None | Standing W | Vater Present At: | |

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 43 Inches

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2017

Issued: 11/29/2016

Specialty Area(s): Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

| Cert # | Name | Certification Expires: |
|--------|---|---|
| C5342 | Brian L Humpal Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, | 10/15/2017 Adv Designer, Adv Inspector |
| C9852 | Christopher R Uebe Designer, Inspector | 3/4/2018 |



Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings, Manager Prevention and Solid Waste Management Section