

wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

## Compliance Inspection Form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 3

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPC requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also						
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and sys within 15 days	tem owner					
System Status						
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):10/10/2017	_					
<ul> <li>✓ Compliant – Certificate of Compliance         (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)</li> <li>✓ Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)</li> </ul>						
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)						
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent three	eat to public health and safety					
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3)						
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater						
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3)	- Failing to protect groundwater					
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to pro						
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	Component #5) – Noncompliant					
	Sec/Twp/Range:11.028.20.34.0118					
Property address: 16285 18 <sup>th</sup> St S St.Croix Beach, MN 55043	Reason for inspection:property sale					
Property owner: Carl Ovre & Anna Fernandez	Owner's phone: 651-808-5408					
Or Chard Larger Coldwell Barber Burns & Barber	D					
Owner's representative: Cheryl Larson, Coldwell Banker Burnet Realt						
Local regulatory authority: Washington County  Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks & 380 SF of re	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655					
Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks & 380 SF of ro	ck trench, gravity drainfield.					
Tanks were pumped on 10-9-2017.						
Taliko word parripod on 10-0-2017.						
Certification						
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to d determination of future system performance has been nor can be made of possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water u	due to unknown conditions during system construction.					
Inspector name: _Tom Trooien	Certification number: 323					
Business name: _All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568					
Inspector signature: 70% 700	Phone number: 612-594-4496					
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments						
	□ <b>□</b> □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □					
보 <u>고</u>	Forms per local ordinance					
Other information (list):						
www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 65	11-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats					

System discharges sewage to the ground surface.   Yes No ground surface.   Yes No tile or surface waters.   System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.   Yes No dwelling or leaching pit.   Yes No despool, drywell, or leaching pit.   Yes No designed operating depth.   Yes No designed operating depth	Pro	perty address: 16285 18th St S St.Croi	x Beach, MN 55043	Inspector initials/Date: TT   10/10/2017			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.   Yes No dwelling or establishment.   Yes No dwelling or establishment.   Yes No dwelling or establishment.   Yes No ground ground yes.   Yes No ground yes.   Ye				(mm/dd/yyyy)			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.  System discharges sewage to drain   Yes   No tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:  2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspong to leaching pit.  Seapage pts meeting 7090,2550 may be compliant in local ordinance.  Solvage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, with sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Atlach)    Observed liquid level below operating depth.	1.	Impact on Public Health — (	Compliance compo	nent #1 of 5			
System discharges sewage to drain		Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
System discharges sewage to drain			☐ Yes ⊠ No				
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:    System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.   Seepage pit semplish melt if allowed in local ordinance.   Seewage tank(s) leaks:   Probed tank(s) bottom   Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)   Seeswage tank(s) leaks:   Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			☐ Yes ⊠ No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Comments/Explanation:    Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system			
2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5    Compliance criteria:	B	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Verification method(s): □ Probed tank(s) bottom □ Examined Construction records □ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other setup in the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. □ Yes* ⋈ No System is failing to protect groundwater.				and monodo not noted (occ comments/Lxpianation)			
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Verification method(s): □ Probed tank(s) bottom □ Examined Construction records □ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other setup in the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. □ Yes* ⋈ No System is failing to protect groundwater.		•					
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Verification method(s): □ Probed tank(s) bottom □ Examined Construction records □ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other setup in the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. □ Yes* ⋈ No System is failing to protect groundwater.							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Verification method(s): □ Probed tank(s) bottom □ Examined Construction records □ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other setup in the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. □ Yes* ⋈ No System is failing to protect groundwater.							
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  Verification method(s): □ Probed tank(s) bottom □ Examined Construction records □ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) □ Observed liquid level below operating depth □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other setup in the structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknow System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. □ Yes* ⋈ No System is failing to protect groundwater.	2	Table Intermity O. II					
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	<u>Z.</u>						
Sespage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.			T_				
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.   Yes*   No   Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:    Examined Chall Integrity Form (Attach)		System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No				
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:    Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)							
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:    Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	-	compliant if allowed in local ordinance.					
Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			☐ Yes ⊠ No				
System is failing to protect groundwater.  Comments/Explanation:  Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.				☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5  a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.							
a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.			ouridivator.				
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  Yes* No Unknown No. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  Yes* No Unknown No. System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.</li> <li>Explain:</li> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No. No. *System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> </ul>		•					
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  Yes* No Unknown No. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  Yes* No Unknown No. System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.</li> <li>Explain:</li> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No. No. *System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> </ul>		·					
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  Yes* No Unknown No. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  Yes* No Unknown No. System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.</li> <li>Explain:</li> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No. No. *System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> </ul>		`		•			
<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  Yes* No Unknown No. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  Yes* No Unknown No. System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.</li> <li>Explain:</li> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No. No. *System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> </ul>							
b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector .   Yes* No Unknown Average of the safety.  System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector .   Yes* No Unknown Average of the safety.	3.	Other Compliance Condition	ns – Compliance com	ponent #3 of 5			
*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Explain:  c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector .   Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater.		a. Maintenance hole covers are dama	aged, cracked, unsecure	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. 🗌 Yes* 🗵 No 🗎 Unknow			
© c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.		Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.   Yes* No Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
<ul> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No</li> <li>*System is failing to protect groundwater.</li> </ul>		Explain:					
Explain:	8						
		Explain:					
				•			

wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 16285 18th St S St.Croix Beach, MN 55043		Inspector initials/Date: TT   10/10/2017		
		(mm/dd/y		
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5			
Date of installation: 8/5/1996	Unknown	Verification method(s):		
(mm/dd/yyyy)  Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☒ No	Soil observation does not expire. F observations by two independent p unless site conditions have been a	parties are sufficient,	
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	<ul><li>☑ Conducted soil observation(s) (</li><li>☐ Two previous verifications (Atta</li><li>☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), n</li></ul>	ch boring logs)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments)☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation: B-1		
Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		0-7 topsoil	10 YR 3/2	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		7-21 med sand	10 YR 4/4	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		21-78 sand	10 YR 4/3	
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	icate depths or elevations	
		A. Bottom of distribution media	36	
		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation	36"	
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater.		D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent Ordinance.	if allowed by Local	
5. Operating Permit and Nitroger			Not applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating				
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger		'es ⊠ No If "yes", B below is requ	ired	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s  If the answer to both questions is "r				
	io , uns section c	does not need to be completed.		
Compliance criteria				
a. Operating Permit number: <u>n/a</u>	unto boon mot?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Have the Operating Permit requirement		ring) DV - DV		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place		oning? Yes No		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc  Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55, discontinued within ten months of receipt of this ground water, the system must be upgraded, rep is not failing as defined in law, and has at least to its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in con	) An imminent threat to notice or within a short blaced, or its use discor wo feet of design soil s rdinance that is more s	er period if required by local ordinance. If the ntinued within the time required by local ordin eparation, then the system need not be upgra strict. This provision does not apply to system	system is failing to protect nance. If an existing system aded, repaired, replaced, or s in shoreland areas,	

Property address: 16285 18th St S St.Croix Beach, MN 55043

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 3 of 3 16285 18TH ST.S NORTHT ST. CROIX BEACH, MN SSOYZ SFD GARAGE K 1000 GALLON K SEPTICTANKS 0 B 1 10-10-17