Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194		Ce Inspection Form age Treatment Systems (SSTS) Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement
Inspection results based on Minnesota Porequirements and attached forms – additional Submit completed form to Local Unit of Guitable 15 days	local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
System Status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy) Compliant - Certificate of C (Valid for 3 years from report date, unle frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	Compliance	pliant - Notice of Noncompliance le Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (c	heck all applicable)	

System status on date (minutary))).	
	oncompliant - Notice of Noncompliance see Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Immine	ent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) — It	nminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect	groundwater
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – F	ailing to protect groundwater
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) - Failing to prote	ct groundwater
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance C	omponent #5) – Noncompliant
	ec/Twp/Range: <u>1402820120015</u>
Property address: 2060 Quentin Ave. S Lake St. Croix Beach, MN55043	Reason for inspection: Property Transfer
Property owner: Sharon Vesper	Owner's phone: 651-436-2141
or	•
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: 2 septic tanks and 1 pump tank to bed.	
Comments or recommendations:	
System was installed in 2007, but no permit was issued.	

CertificationI hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

P	•		00070
Inspector name: Dave Brow	n	Certification number:	<u>C9370</u>
Business name: David R. B		License number:	L3649
Inspector signature:	13	Phone number:	651-788-3296

Necessary or Locally Required Attachments

Soil boring logs	System/As-built drawing	Forms per local ordinance	
Other information (list):			

Impact on Public Health — Compliance component #1 of 5 Compliance criteria: System discharges sewage to the ground surface. Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/Dackup Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation System causes sewage backup into Yes No No No No No No No N	pperty address: 2060 Quentin Ave. S La	ke St. Croix Beach, MN	55043 Inspector initials/Date: DRB 10/5/2017 (mm/dd/yyyy)
System discharges sewage to the ground surface. Yes No ground surface. Yes No ground surface. System discharges sewage to drain Yes No Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home System causes sewage backup into Yes No Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanator waters. Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanator system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) See Sog pits meeting 7680.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Yes No designed operating depth. Yes Yes Yes No Yes			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface. System discharges sewage to drain	Impact on Public Health – C	compliance compon	ent #1 of 5
System causes sewage to drain title or surface waters. System discharges sewage to drain title or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pit meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Other compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No It is not protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimory (See Comments/Explanation) System residual system of the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: System causes sewage to drain Yes No No It is provided to the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain:	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System discharges sewage to drain		☐ Yes ⊠ No	Searched for surface outlet
Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation System causes sewage backup into Yes No Black soll* above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dys test System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dys test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods no			
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Ary "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: Verification method(s): System consists of a seepage pit, cessool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meating 7080.2550 may be complaint allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes" No It is Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes" No It is System is failing to protect groundwater.		│ ☐ Yes No	
System requires 'emergency' pumping Performed dye test Performed d			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Description		Tes MINO	
System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Comments/Explanation: Comments/Explanation		icates the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordnance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Descrite is sues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Explain: Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Description Yes* No Description Yes* No Description Yes* No Description Yes* Y			
Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No It is to protect groundwater. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Explain:	-		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080,2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Explain: C. System is non-protective of groundwater.	Comments/Explanation:		Other metricus not listed (oee comments Explanation)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth lyes No Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* No □ to "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. □ Yes* No □ to "System is falling to protect groundwater.	Comments: Explanation.		
System consists of a seepage pit.	Tank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of 5	
Examined construction records Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. Yes No Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Commen	Compliance criteria:	<u> </u>	Verification method(s):
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Beginner of the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Cobserved liquid level below operating depth Examined tanks (s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No No *System is failing to protect groundwater.		☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Probed tank(s) bottom
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		☐ Examined construction records
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other is			☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (See Comments/Explanation)		□ Vec ☑ No	Observed liquid level below operating depth
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No			
Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Use System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: C. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ves* ☒ No ☐ Ves* ☒ No ☐ Use System is failing to protect groundwater.	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		,
Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	Any "yes" answer above ind	icates the	
Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ to Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ to *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.	system is failing to protect g	roundwater.	Unter methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unit issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unit *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 	Comments/Explanation:		
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ub. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ub. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 			
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ub. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ub. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 			
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ub. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ub. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 			
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unit issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unit *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 			
 b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. Yes* ⋈ No □ ∪ *System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. No □ ∪ *System is failing to protect groundwater. 			
System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. Yes No *System is failing to protect groundwater.	a. Maintenance hole covers are dam	aged, cracked, unsecure	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. 🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 🔲 Unkn
c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☑ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.			
*System is failing to protect groundwater.	Explain:		
•			ns as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☑ No
Explain:	• • • •	ungwater.	
	Explain:		

te of installation:	☑ Unknown	Verification method(s):		
(mm/dd/yyyy) oreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage dging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficier unless site conditions have been altered or local		
ompliance criteria:		requirements differ.		
or systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No			
ot located in Shoreland or Wellhead rotection Area or not serving a food,		<u> </u>	Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)	
everage or lodging establishment:	:	Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
rainfield has at least a two-foot vertical eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
lon-performance systems built April 1, 996, or later or for non-performance ystems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, everage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:		
Prainfield has a three-foot vertical eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.*	:			
Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	licate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		A. Bottom of distribution media	22"	
icense required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	>72"	
Orainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation D. Required compliance separation*	50" 36"	
Any "no" answer above indicates to ailing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroger			Not applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	Yes 🔲 No I f "yes" , A below is requ i	ired	
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge	n BMP?	Yes 🗌 No If "yes", B below is requ i	ired	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified in the syste	em design		
If the answer to both questions is "	no", this section	does not need to be completed.		
Compliance criteria				
a. Operating Permit number:		- ☐ Yes ☐ No		
Have the Operating Permit requirem	ents been met?			
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly function	oning? Yes No		
Any "no" answer indicates None	romnliance			

Inspector initials/Date: DRB | 10/5/2017

Property address: 2060 Quentin Ave. S Lake St. Croix Beach, MN55043

 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864
 Available in alternative formats 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 www.pca.state.mn.us • Page 3 of 3

Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas,

NT NO SLALE

