



**Minnesota Pollution Control Agency**

520 Lafayette Road North  
St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance Inspection Form

## Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

**Inspection results** based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days

For local tracking purposes:

### System Status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/12/2017

**Compliant – Certificate of Compliance**  
(Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

**Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance**  
(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)

**Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)**

- Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant

### Property Information

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 3103119230009

Property address: 12531 Quail Ave N May Township, MN 55082 Reason for inspection: Property Transfer

Property owner: Craig and Bonnie Parent Owner's phone: \_\_\_\_\_

or

Owner's representative: Shannon Perron Representative phone: 651-209-8287

Local regulatory authority: Washington County Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655

Brief system description: 1 Septic tank to gravity drainfield

**Comments or recommendations:**

System was installed in 1982.

### Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name: Dave Brown Certification number: C9370

Business name: David R. Brown License number: L3649

Inspector signature:  Phone number: 651-788-3296

### Necessary or Locally Required Attachments

- Soil boring logs
- System/As-built drawing
- Forms per local ordinance
- Other information (list): \_\_\_\_\_

**1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5**

Compliance criteria:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.**

Comments/Explanation:

**Verification method(s):**

- Searched for surface outlet
- Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
- Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
- Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
- "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
- System requires "emergency" pumping
- Performed dye test
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

**2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5**

Compliance criteria:	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. <i>Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.**

Comments/Explanation:

**Verification method(s):**

- Probed tank(s) bottom
- Examined construction records
- Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
- Observed liquid level below operating depth
- Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
- Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

**3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5**

- a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.  Yes\*  No  Unknown
- b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  Yes\*  No  Unknown  
**\*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.**

Explain:

- c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector.  Yes\*  No  
**\*System is failing to protect groundwater.**

Explain:

**4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5**

Date of installation: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown  
 (mm/dd/yyyy)

Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Yes  No

**Compliance criteria:**

For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Yes  No  
 Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.

Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Yes  No  
 Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.\*

"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080, 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)  Yes  No  
 Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.

**Verification method(s):**

Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.

- Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)
- Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)
- Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other (See Comments/Explanation)

**Comments/Explanation:**

**Indicate depths or elevations**

A. Bottom of distribution media	42"
B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	84"
C. System separation	42"
D. Required compliance separation*	24"

\*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.

**Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.**

**5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP\* – Compliance component #5 of 5  Not applicable**

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Yes  No If "yes", A below is required

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?  Yes  No If "yes", B below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

**If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.**

**Compliance criteria**


- a. Operating Permit number: \_\_\_\_\_  Yes  No  
 Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?
- b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes  No

**Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.**

**Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55)** An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

12531 QUAIL AVENUE N. MAY TOWNSHIP, MN 55082

NT  
NO SCALE



(B1) = SOIL BORING HOLE

SOIL BORING LOG (B1)	
0"-10"	= 10YR3/4 LOAMY SAND
10"-20"	= 10YR3/3 LOAMY SAND
20"-32"	= 10YR4/4 SANDY LOAM
32"-38"	= 7.5YR 4/6 SANDY LOAM
38"-84"	= 10YR5/6 MEDIUM SAND



# Tri-City / William Lloyd Analytical Laboratory

9300 Poplar Bridge Road • Bloomington, MN 55437 • (952) 563-4904

## Sample Results Report

Dave Brown  
4787 Radio Dr.  
Woodbury, MN 55129

Report Date:  
10/13/2017 12:01

Received By: Deb Weltzin

Sample Condition Upon Receipt:

Received Date / Time: 12-Oct-2017 11:35

Y Acceptable

Sample ID: 1710082-01  
12-53  
Quail Way Stillwater, MN

Sample Collector: Dave Brown

Collection Date/Time: 10/12/2017 9:38:00AM

Analyte	Result	Units	MCL*		Date Analyzed	Analyst Initials	Method
P/A total coliform	Absent	CFU/100 mL	Absent	PASS	10/13/2017	DJW	Collsure®
Nitrate as N	2.41	mg/L	10	PASS	10/12/2017	BL	EPA 353.2 Rev. 2.0

\*MCL (maximum contaminant level) set by the EPA

PASS - The analyte(s) reported, for the sample(s) listed above, meet standards set by the Minnesota Department of Health and U. S. Environmental Protection Agency for safe drinking water.

Approved By:

Bree Landherr

Laboratory Analyst

Laboratory Identification Number: 027-053-355

The results in this report apply to the above listed sample(s). All routine quality assurance procedures were followed, unless otherwise noted. This analytical report must be reported in its entirety. All methods are certified by the Minnesota Department of Health, unless otherwise noted. EPA 200.7 for the analysis of lead in drinking water is not certifiable by the MDH.