

wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

nspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MF requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also bubmit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and sy	so apply.
within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):10/16/2017	
	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent to	threat to public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3	
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to pro-	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3	3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to p	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian	nce Component #5) – Noncompliant
Property Information Parcel ID#	or Sec/Twp/Range: <u>35.029.20.34.0052</u>
Property address: 79 Quant Ave N Lakeland, MN 55043	Reason for inspection: _property sale
Property owner: Brent Arndt	Owner's phone: 715-965-5240
or	
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: _651-430-6655
Brief system description: 1,200 gallon septic tank & gravity drainfie	eld.
Comments or recommendations:	
Tank was pumped on Oct.13, 2017.	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered t	to determine the compliance status of this system. No
determination of future system performance has been nor can be made	de due to unknown conditions during system construction,
possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water	
Inspector name: Tom Trooien	Certification number: 323
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568
Inspector signature: /on //w	Phone number: 612-594-4496
Nocossary or Locally Poquired Attachments	
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	Forms por local ordinance
Soil boring logs	☐ Forms per local ordinance
<u> </u>	☐ Forms per local ordinance

_	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Searched for surface outlet☒ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	Tank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of	5
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Probed tank(s) bottom☑ Examined construction records
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☒ No	
	designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	L res Mino	☑ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
_	designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above industrial system is failing to protect growth.	icates the	
	designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect get Comments/Explanation:	icates the roundwater.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect growth. Comments/Explanation:	icates the roundwater.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) mponent #3 of 5
	designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indusystem is failing to protect growth. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damage.	icates the roundwater. TS — Compliance conaged, cracked, unsecuto immediately and according to immediately according to im	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) mponent #3 of 5 red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety.
	designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect growth of the comments of the comments. Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damage. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	icates the roundwater. TS — Compliance conaged, cracked, unsecuto immediately and according to immediately according to im	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) mponent #3 of 5 red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownessely impact public health or safety.
•	designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indisystem is failing to protect g. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are dama b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain:	icates the roundwater. TS - Compliance conaged, cracked, unsecuto immediately and accompublic health and satisfactory.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) mponent #3 of 5 red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowned Unstantion ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowne

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 10/16/2017

Property address: 79 Quant Ave N Lakeland, MN 55043

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas,

☐ Yes ☐ No

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

