Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 11485 110th St N, Grant, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This very old system consists of two cesspools. Additional cesspool(s) and/or a drainfield may exist beyond the second cesspool.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B) because of the cesspools.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact Washington County Environmental Specialist, Mr. Chris LeClair (651-430-4052), to <u>verify</u> the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal Brian Humpal



Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

| Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply. | For local tracking purposes: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days | | | | | | |
| within 15 days | | | | | | |
| System Status | | | | | | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):10/12/2017 | | | | | | |
| | npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3) | | | | | |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) | | | | | | |
| ☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to | public health and safety | | | | | |
| ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent thr | eat to public health and safety | | | | | |
| ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwat | | | | | | |
| Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro | _ | | | | | |
| ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundw | | | | | | |
| ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component ‡ | †5) – Noncompliant | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rang | | | | | | |
| · · · | or inspection: Property Transfer | | | | | |
| Property owner: Keith Farnham POA Owner's p | phone: | | | | | |
| Or Owner's representative: Jon Archer (Archer Bealty) Penrosen | tative phone: 651 242 2564 | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | tative phone: 651-342-2564 y authority phone: 651-430-4052 | | | | | |
| Brief system description: Two cesspools with possible additional cesspool(s) and/or | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| Comments or recommendations: | diamileid. | | | | | |
| Comments of recommendations. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Certification | | | | | | |
| I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the c | | | | | | |
| determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. | n conditions during system construction, | | | | | |
| Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certificati | on number: _L5342 | | | | | |
| Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Licen | se number: L2896 | | | | | |
| Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Pho | ne number:651-492-7550 | | | | | |
| Necessary or Locally Required Attachments | | | | | | |
| | local ordinance | | | | | |
| ☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Lic | | | | | | |

| 1. | Impact on Public Health - Compliance component #1 of 5 | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | System Sy | stem discharge sewage to the bund surface. stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters. stem cause sewage backup into elling or establishment. stem wy "yes" answer above indicates of Imminent Threat to Public Heal mements/Explanation: ne of the above found. | | Verification method(s): Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) | |
| 2. | Та | nk Integrity – Compliance com | nponent #2 of 5 | | |
| 3. | Synces Seecon Seedes If y An Sy | stem consists of a seepage pit, sepool, drywell, or leaching pit. sepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be impliant if allowed in local ordinance. wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indicates the impliant is Failing to Protect Green is Failing to Protect Green is responsible to the impliant into tanks - the Compliance Conditions | tank walls of block o | mponent #3 of 5 | |
| | a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown | | | | |
| | *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector □ Yes* ☑ No | | | | |
| | *System is failing to protect groundwater Explain: | | | | |

Property address: 11485 110th St N, Grant, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: __10/12/2017

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12

| 1. | 4. Soil Separation — Compliance component #4 of 5 | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|--|--|-----|--|
| | Date of installation: Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage | ☐ Unkr | _ | Verification method(s): Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil | | |
| | Lodging? Compliance criteria: | | | observations by two independent parties are sufficient unless site conditions have been altered or local | ηt, | |
| | For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: | ☐ Yes | □No | requirements differ. ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) | | |
| | Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation) | Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) | | |
| | Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: | ☐ Yes | □No | Comments/Explanation: | | |
| | Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* | | | | | |
| | "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) | Yes | □No | A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | | |
| | Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* | | |
| Any fine!! analysis above indicates the system is | | | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Loca | I | | |
| 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #5 of 5 🛮 🖂 Not applicable | | | | | | |
| | Is the system operated under an Operating Per | mit? | ☐ Yes | s ⊠ No If "yes", A below is required | | |
| Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? | | | | | | |
| | BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | | | | | |
| If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. | | | | | | |
| | Compliance criteria | | | | | |
| | Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met? | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? | | | ng? | | | |
| | Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance. | | | | | |

Inspector initials/Date: 10/12/2017

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

Property address: 11485 110th St N, Grant, MN 55082


Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

| Date of Inspection: October 12, 2017 | Time: 2:30 PM | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Property Address: 11485 110 th St N, Grant, MN | Zip: 55082 | | | | |
| Property Owner: Keith Farnham POA | Phone: | | | | |
| Tank(s) Septic Fiberglass Rock tren Aerobic Lift Metal Holding Concrete Block Other: Other Tank(s)Material Soil Treatm Rock tren Gravelles Chamber Seepage Mound At-grade | cent System ch | | | | |
| Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes [| ☑ No *If no, proper maintenance must be | | | | |
| performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system. | | | | | |
| Year house built: 1920 Year septic installed: 19 | \ <u>\</u> | | | | |
| | imber of residents in home? | | | | |
| | rained by gravity? Y | | | | |
| <u> </u> | lpool bath? | | | | |
| More than one system (laundry, etc.)? | | | | | |
| Does this property have any footing drain tiles connect | ed to the septic system? | | | | |
| Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? | | | | | |
| Are there any additional systems on this property serving | ng other buildings? | | | | |
| Location of septic system on lot? West Side | | | | | |
| Location of water well on lot? | Is the well a deep well? Shallow | | | | |
| Have you ever experienced any problems with the systematical experienced any problems with the systematical experienced any problems. | | | | | |
| surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain: | | | | | |
| to the system: | | | | | |
| When was the system last pumped? 2016 Na | me of pumper: Smilie's Sewer Service | | | | |
| How often pumped in previous years? | Is system on a monitoring plan? | | | | |
| Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? | | | | | |
| Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N | | | | | |
| Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? | | | | | |
| I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing | | | | | |

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2017

Issued: 11/29/2016

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2017

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2018

Designer, Inspector



St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings, Manager

Prevention and Solid Waste Management Section