

St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

	Doe Type. Compilative and Emolection							
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:							
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days								
System Status								
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):10/19/2017								
☐ Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) ☐ Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)								
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) □ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety □ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater □ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant								
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rar								
	for inspection: Property Transfer							
Property owner: Greg & Vic Ahlbom Owner's Or	phone: 651-426-4869							
	ntative phone:							
Local regulatory authority: Washington County Regulator	ory authority phone: 651-430-6656							
Brief system description: Septic tank and drainfield Comments or recommendations:								
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.								
Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certifica	tion number: L5342							
Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Lice	nse number: L2896							
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Ph	one number: 651-492-7550							
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments								
☐ Soil boring logs ☐ System/As-built drawing ☐ Forms per ☐ Other information (list):	local ordinance							

1.	lm	Impact on Public Health — Compliance component #1 of 5							
	Sygro Sygro or Sydw An an	estem discharge sewage to the bund surface. Istem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters. Istem cause sewage backup into velling or establishment. In y "yes" answer above indicates a Imminent Threat to Public Heal of the surface waters and the surface waters. In the surface waters water w	arging onto the ground	Verification method(s): ☐ Searched for surface outlet ☐ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)					
2.	Τā	ank Integrity — Compliance con	nponent #2 of 5						
3.	Sycense Second S	estem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit. sepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be impliant if allowed in local ordinance. swage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth. yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indicates the impliant is Failing to Protect Green i	oundwater. 6 – Compliance comp						
	a.	a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. 🔲 Yes* 🛛 No 🔲 Unknown							
	 b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: 								
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater Explain:								

Property address: 9710 Joliet Ave N, Grant, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: 10/19/1784

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12

1.	Soil Separation – Compliance compor	nent #4 c	of 5						
	Date of installation: Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	⊠ Unkr		S	erification method(s):				
				bservations by two independent parties are sufficient, nless site conditions have been altered or local					
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□No	_	requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other (See Comments/Explanation)				
	Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.								
	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□No		comments/Explanation: ystem is failed, imminent health thr	eat			
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*								
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes	□ No	Α.	Bottom of distribution media Periodically saturated soil/bedrock				
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			C	System separation Required compliance separation*				
	Any "no" answer above indicates to Failing to Protect Groundwater.	*	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.						
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 🔀 Not applicable									
	Is the system operated under an Operating Per	mit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If "yes", A below is required				
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	If "yes", B below is required							
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design									
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.									
Compliance criteria									
a. Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?			☐ Yes ☐ No						
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?					☐ Yes ☐ No				
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.									

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

Property address: 9710 Joliet Ave N, Grant, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: 10/19/178#