

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Compliance Inspection Form**

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.  Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	For local tracking purposes:					
System Status						
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _10/25/2017						
	liant – Notice of Noncompliance Requirements on page 3.)					
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwate Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	eat to public health and safety er otect groundwater oter					
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rang	ge:					
Property address: 10115 217 <sup>th</sup> St N Forest Lake, MN 55025 Reason for	or inspection: Sale					
Property owner: Robert Winning Owner's p	phone: 651-494-2374					
Or Owner's representative:	ntative phone:					
	ry authority phone: 651-430-6000					
Brief system description: 1250 gallon septic tank, gravity rock trench drainfield	y data only priorite:					
Comments or recommendations:						
Robert reported replacing a collapsed distribution box in January of 2014. No issues with the system since then. Previously passed inspection in 2009 - that report is attached.						
Certification						
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.						
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke Certificat	ion number: 9594					
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing Licer	nse number: 119					
Inspector signature: Buyer Pho	one number:651-249-1346					
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments						
	local ordinance					
☑ Other information (list): 2009 Compliance Inspection						

1.	Impact on Public Health – C	ompliance compone	ent #1 of 5				
27-	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):				
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>☑ Searched for surface outlet</li> <li>☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li> </ul>				
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>				
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping				
	Any "yes" answer above indi system is an imminent threat health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
	Comments/Explanation: No issues noted during site visit 10/24	/2017.					
2.	Tank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5					
	Compliance criteria:	7	Verification method(s):				
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul><li>☐ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☐ Examined construction records</li></ul>				
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> </ul>				
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ☒ No					
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"				
	Any "yes" answer above indi system is failing to protect g		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
	Comments/Explanation:						
2	Present for pumping by Olson's 10/24						
3.	Other Compliance Condition						
			d, or appear to be structurally unsound.   Yes* No Unknown				
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to		ersely impact public health or safety.   Yes*  No  Unknown ety.				
	c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect grow  Explain:		as as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No				
	ьлрівііі.						

Inspector initials/Date: B12 | 10/25/11 (mm/dd/vvvv)

4. Soft Separation – Compilance component #4 or 5						
Date of installation: 1976	Unknown	Verification method(s):				
(mm/dd/yyyy)  Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria:	ging?		Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)				
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	M les Mu	☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)				
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)				
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	on-performance systems built April 1,  1996, or later or for non-performance ystems located in Shoreland or Wellhead rotection Areas or serving a food,		Comments/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indica	nte depths or elevations			
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bott	om of distribution media	98.2		
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 95.0		95.0		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation 3.2		3.2		
		D. Req	uired compliance separation*	3.0		
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  *Ordinance.  *Not applicable						
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit? Tyes	з П No	If "yes", A below is requir	red		
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge	5 PERSON	s □ No				
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.						
Compliance criteria	v-10019010000000000000000000000000000000					
a. Operating Permit number:	a. Operating Permit number:		☐ Yes ☐ No			
Have the Operating Permit requirement	ents been met?					
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning		☐ Yes ☐ No			
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.						

**Upgrade Requirements** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14



## Compliance Inspection Form for Existing Individual Sewage Treatment Systems

## Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

Completion of this form fulfills the minimal requirements of Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2001) and Minnesota R. ch. 7080 (1999). Please refer to local ordinances for other requirements or information, especially for compliance requirements for bedroom additions.

to local ordinances for other requirements of information, especially it	a compliance requirements for pedition additions.
General:	1
Date of Inspection: 10/20/09 Reason for inspection	Pending sale of home
- 1 A D   C	Telephone (651) 261-0324
Person requesting inspection Dawn	Telephone ( )
Site Address10115_217th St. N.	City Forest Lake, MN. Zip Code 55025
Fire No./ Parcel No County	ashington Township Forest Lake
Legal Description 5 acre parcel, Sec. 13, City	yof Forest Lake
Local Regulatory Authority City of Forest Lake	
Date system constructed1976 System in	n Shoreland Area: yes no System in Wellhead
Protection Area: yes (no) System serving a MDH license	d facility: yes (no) Local Permit # (if any)
Systems built <b>prior</b> to April 1, 1996 <b>and not</b> located in	Systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection
Shoreland <b>or</b> Wellhead Protection Area <b>or</b> Serving a Food, Beverage or Lodging Establishment	Areas <b>or</b> Serving a Food, Beverage or Lodging Establishment, <b>or</b> systems Built <b>after</b>
beverage or Loughing Establishment	March 31, 1996
Is the system an imminent threat to public health or	Is the system an imminent threat to public health
safety? (a yes answer is an ITPHS system)	or safety? (a yes answer is an ITPHS system)
- Discharge of sewage to the ground surface? YES NO	- Discharge of sewage to the ground surface? YES NO
- Discharge of sewage to draintlle or surface waters? YES NO	- Discharge of sewage to draintile or surface waters? YES NO
- Sewage backup into dwelling?  YES (NO)	- Sewage backup into dwelling? YES NO
- Situation with the potential to immediately and	- Situation with the potential to immediately and
adversely impact or threaten public health or safety?  YES (NO)	adversely impact or threaten public health or safety? YES NO
Salety: TES (NO)	Salety: TES NO
Is the system failing? (a yes answer is a failing system)	Is the system failing? (a yes answer is a failing system)
- Less than <b>TWO</b> feet of vertical separation between	- Less than <b>THREE</b> feet of vertical separation between
system bottom and saturated soil or bedrock?  YES (NO)	system bottom and saturated soil or bedrock? YES NO
- A seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit? YES NO	- A seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit? YES NO
Is the system non-compliant?	Is the system non-compliant?
- Is the system regulated under a monitoring plan or	- Is the system regulated under a monitoring plan or
operating permit? (if no, go to page 2)  YES NO	operating permit? (if no, go to page 2)  YES NO
If yes,	If yes,
- Has the required monitoring taken place? YES NO (If no, the system is non-complying)	- Has the required monitoring taken place? YES NO (If no, the system is non-complying)
(ii iio, the system is non-compying)	(ii no, the system is non-complying)
- Does the monitoring indicate that the system meets	- Does the monitoring indicate that the system meets
performance expectations? YES NO	performance expectations? YES NO
(If no, the system is non-complying)	(If no, the system is non-complying)
■7	1

ysti	em Components (Please describ	be the	e system components an	nd attach site sk	ketch	showin	g system	location):
	Septic tan	k wi	th gravity drainf	ield.				
ihat he fo	methods were used to make the collowing list is not exhaustive, or in se	e det equen	erminations for the com tial order nor indicates whi	pliance inspection combinations	may	? (Note: necessar	No standar y to make i	d protocol exists. a determination)
Wa	tertight tank(s)	Нус	Iraulic Functioning		Ve	rtical Se	paration	Distance
	Probed tank bottom	Q.	Searched for surface outlet	t	P	Conduc	ted soil bor	ings
_	Observed low liquid level		Performed hydraulic test			Depth	to limiting	layer 95.01
	Examined const, records	cX	Searched for seeping in ya	rd		Depth	to system	bottom <u>98.2</u> 1
_	Examined empty (pumped) tank		Checked for back-up in ho	me		Examin	ed records	separation=
	Probed outside tank for "black soil"		Excessive ponding in soil s	ystem/D-boxes		LGU Lir	niting Laye	r Verification
	Pressure/vacuum check	⇉	Homeowner testimony			Other _		
-K	Other Tank pumped by Olso	១កូន	Examined for surging in ta	nk				
Se	wer on 6/25/09. Tank OK.	٠.	"Black soil" above soil system					
			Other					
ase nmi revi s th	ed on the compliance criteria, nent threat to public health or sai ous conditions). Therefore, this is system an EPA Class V Injection	fety ( <b>s do</b> c	ITPHS), □ non-compliar c <b>ument is a:</b> ☑ Certifica	eck one)   failint (monitoring interest of Complian	SSUE	e) XX con	npliant (no	one of the 3
lase nmi revi s th	ed on the compliance criteria, nent threat to public health or sat ous conditions). Therefore, this is system an EPA Class V Injectification  above certify as a state of Minnesota lice on the property of the property as of this date.	the fety (s docection	system status is: (che ITPHS),   non-compliant  non	eck one)	mploy	e) XX con Notice  vee Inspe f this sys s been n	ector and/or tem and the	ompliance  r Qualified Emplor at my recorded nade due to
lase nmi revi s th	ed on the compliance criteria, nent threat to public health or sal ous conditions). Therefore, this is system an EPA Class V Inje- ification	the fety (s docection	system status is: (che ITPHS),   non-compliant  non	eck one)	mploy	e) XX con Notice  vee Inspe f this sys s been n	ector and/or tem and the	ompliance  r Qualified Emplor at my recorded nade due to
ert hereesig	ed on the compliance criteria, nent threat to public health or sat ous conditions). Therefore, this is system an EPA Class V Injectification below certify as a state of Minnesota lice on the conducted an investigation watlons are accurate as of this date.	the fety ( s doc ection ensed on that No couction	system status is: (che ITPHS),  non-compliar nument is a:  certifican Well?  yes  nument is accurately determined the letermination of future hydronic states of the system, inades	eck one)	nploy tus o ce ha	e) XX con Notice  vee Inspe f this sys s been n	ector and/or tem and the or can be n	ompliance  r Qualified Emplor at my recorded nade due to
ase nmi revi s the esign bser nkno	ed on the compliance criteria, nent threat to public health or sat ous conditions). Therefore, this is system an EPA Class V Injectification  aby certify as a state of Minnesota lice over I that I conducted an investigation of the various are accurate as of this date. Sown conditions during system constructor's name (print)  Christopher	the state of the s	system status is: (che ITPHS),  non-compliar nument is a:  certifican Well?  yes  nument is accurately determined the letermination of future hydronical parts of the system, inadierke	eck one)	mploy tus o ce ha	vee Insper f this systs been nor future	npllant (no e of Nonco ector and/or tem and the or can be n e water usa	one of the 3 ompliance  r Qualified Emplorat my recorded nade due to ge.
ase mmi revi s th Cert here esig bser nkno	ed on the compliance criteria, nent threat to public health or sat ous conditions). Therefore, this sis system an EPA Class V Injectification  aby certify as a state of Minnesota lice and the state of this date. The conditions during system constructions are accurate as of this date. The conditions during system constructions are made of the conditions during system constructions are made of the conditions during system constructions are accurate as of this date.	the fety (s doction no	system status is: (che ITPHS),  non-compliar cument is a:  Certifican Well?  yes   Inspector and/or Designer t accurately determined the letermination of future hydromaphic process of the system, inadierke  (00998) Address	eck one)	mploy tus o te ha	vee Insper f this systs been nor future  462-2  Circle.	ector and/or tem and the or can be n water usa 294	r Qualified Emplorat my recorded nade due to ge.
lase mmi irevi s th here besig bser nkno inspe icen: imple ilgna	ed on the compliance criteria, nent threat to public health or sat ous conditions). Therefore, this is system an EPA Class V Injectification  aby certify as a state of Minnesota lice vations are accurate as of this date. It is own conditions during system constructions are incompleted in the conditions during system constructions are accurate as of this date. It is own conditions during system constructions are accurate as of this date. It is own conditions during system constructions are accurate as of this date. It is own conditions during system constructions are accurate as of this date. It is own conditions during system constructions are accurate as of this date.	the fety (s doction that No couction J. Z	system status is: (che ITPHS), □ non-compliar cument is a: □ Certifica n Well? □ yes □  Inspector and/or Designer t accurately determined the letermination of future hydi abuse of the system, inad ierke (00998) Address □ Address	eck one)	mploy tus o ce ha ance, (651	vee Insperficient or future  462-2  Circle	ector and/or tem and the or can be n water usa 294 Wyoming,	r Qualified Emplorat my recorded nade due to ge.  MN. 55092

Page 2 of 2

## **LOGS OF SOIL BORINGS**

Location of Project Dawn La Fontsee, 5 acres, Sec. 13, City of Forest Lake, Washington Co. Borings Made by Chris Zierke Date: 10/20/09

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA – SCS	Soil Classification used.
Depth,	Depth,
In Boring Number 1 Feet	In Boring Number 2 Feet
0 0-6" Dark-brown loamy sand(7.5YR-3/3)	0
6-66" Brown loamy sand(7.5YR-4/4), iron-st. & light-gray mottles below 60"	
•	
End of boring at 5.5 feet.  Standing water table:  Present at feet of depth, hours after boring.  Standing water not present in hole .  Mottled Soil:  Observed at 5 feet of depth.  Mottled soil not present in bore hole .  Comments:	End of boring at feet.  Standing water table:  Present at feet of depth, hours after boring.  Standing water not present in hole .  Mottled Soil:  Observed at feet of depth.  Mottled soil not present in bore hole .  Comments:
Depth, In Boring Number 3 Feet	Depth, In Boring Number 4 Feet
0	0
End of boring at feet.  Standing water table:  Present at feet of depth, hours after boring.  Standing water not present in hole  Mottled Soil:  Observed at feet of depth.  Mottled soil not present in bore hole  Comments:	End of boring at feet.  Standing water table:  Present at feet of depth, hours after boring.  Standing water not present in hole .  Mottled Soil:  Observed at feet of depth.  Mottled soil not present in bore hole .  Comments:

217# St. N. Row Drive Catartsee House 10/00/09 . Relative E lovations B-1= (00-0' Matter 5001 = 95-01 2 Tap of books 99.7 Bottom & Rock = 98.2 Separation= 3-2 BM=102.21 ·B-1 (-68 of Manhale Guer on Septic Tont