

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Compliance Inspection Form**

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.  Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	For local tracking purposes:					
System Status						
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):11/3/2017						
	liant – Notice of Noncompliance Requirements on page 3.)					
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety  Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety  Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater  Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater  Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant						
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Ran	ge:					
Property address: 10921 228 <sup>th</sup> St N Scandia, MN 55073 Reason f	for inspection: Sale					
Property owner: Dennis Hoyt Owner's	phone: 651-433-1326					
Or Commanda representativos	ntative phone:					
	ory authority phone: 651-430-6000					
Brief system description: 1500 gallon septic tank, distribution box, gravity rock trend						
Comments or recommendations:  Age of system on page three is an estimate based on the scanned file in the Washington County RT Vision database. System was installed by the homeowner.						
Certification						
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	compliance status of this system. No vn conditions during system construction,					
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke Certification	tion number: 9594					
	nse number: 119					
Inspector signature: Pho	one number: 651-249-1346					
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments						

1.	. Impact on Public Health - Compliance component #1 of 5					
-	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Searched for surface outlet</li><li>☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li></ul>			
11-	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>			
-	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation:					
	Dennis did not report any issues with the	ne system.				
2	Tank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5				
۷.	Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5		Verification method(s):			
	Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Probed tank(s) bottom			
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	Li res 🖾 140	Examined construction records			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No				
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
S	Comments/Explanation:					
	Present for pumping by Hassle Free 1	1/2/2017. Tank and baffles	in good condition.			
3	Other Compliance Condition	S — Compliance compon	ent #3 of 5			
٥.	. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5					
	<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown</li> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown</li> </ul>					
	*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
	Explain:					
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.					
	Explain:					

4. Soil Separation – Compliance co	imponent #4 of	5			
Date of installation: 1973	Unknown	Verifi	cation method(s):		
(mm/dd/yyyy)  Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	observ unless	oservation does not expire. Pro rations by two independent pa site conditions have been alto rements differ.	rties are sufficient,	
Compliance criteria:					
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☑ Yes ☐ No		☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)		
Protection Area or not serving a food,		Emilionida Securitaria	Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)		
beverage or lodging establishment:			☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		25	Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Oth	Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comm	nents/Explanation:		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		-,			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No Ir		ate depths or elevations		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bot	tom of distribution media	96.8	
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		***************************************			
License required)		B. Per	iodically saturated soil/bedrock	94.3	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. Sys	stem separation	2.5	
saturated soil or bedrock.		D Per	ruired compliance separation*	2.0	
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  D. Required compliance separation* 2.0  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  Ordinance.  5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable					
Is the system operated under an Operating				red	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design					
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.					
Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number:			☐ Yes ☐ No		
Have the Operating Permit requirement	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?				
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly funct	tioning?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.					

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



## **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project:

10921 228th St N Scandia, MN 55073

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

11/3/2017

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 2
0-10"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam		Could not obtain second boring due to rocky soils
10-22"	10YR 4/4 coarse sandy loam, 15-30% coarse fragments		
22-60"	10YR 6/3 fine sand		
60-72"	7.5 4/4 fine sand, gradually transitioning to a silt loam, weak platy structure, fine mottles below 68"		
End of boring at 6 feet  Standing water table: Present at feet of depth Standing water not present in hole  Mottled Soil: Observed at 5.7 feet of depth Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments:		End of boring at feet  Standing water table: Present at feet of depth Hours after boring  Standing water not present in hole  Mottled Soil: Observed at feet of depth Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments:	
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
O	feet	O End of boring at	feet
End of boring at Standing water tak Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth	Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth