Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3-9-2016	
▼ Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) Noncompliance (See Upgrade)	oliant – Notice of Noncompliance e Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent the Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwate Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	reat to public health and safety er MAR 1 6 2016 otect groundwater
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range Property address: 1970-5+ Croix Trail - 5+//whe Reason for Owner's representative: Louetta Larson (Azat) Representative: Louetta Larson (Azat) Representative: Local regulatory authority: Washington bunty Brief system description: Septic Tark W. Prain tie (DTraches Comments or recommendations: Repair & H. Lid, Install	or inspection: Property Transfer others: 651-436-7972 C- 332-6306 tative phone: -651-202-1351
Inspector signature License	n number: 925 e number: 657-963-7939

Property address: 1970-5+ 1/2		5/Jun Le Hyr. Inspector initials/Date: \\ \\ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Compliance criteria:	omprance compe	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes 耳No	Verification method(s): ☑ Searched for surface outlet
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	Yes TONO	☐ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☐ No	Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
Any "yes" answer above indi system is an imminent threat health and safety. Comments/Explanation:	cates the to public	 ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
2. Tank Integrity - Compliance of	omponent #2 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	Probed tank(s) bottom
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined construction records☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes SANo	 ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
Any "yes" answer above indica system is failing to protect gro	ates the Undwater	 □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Comments/Explanation: 3. Other Compliance Conditions		
a. Maintenance hole covers are demande	d created	ment #3 of 5
b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to in *System is an imminent threat to put Explain:	n cracked, unsecured, on mmediately and adverse blic health and safety.	or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown ely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
 c. System is non-protective of ground water System is falling to protect grounded Explain: 	er for other conditions a later.	as determined by inspector . Yes* No

4. Soil Separation – Compliance	component #4 o	f 5	(mm/dd/yyyy)
bate of installation: 1982	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Snoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	e □Yes ØNo	Soil observation does not expi observations by two independe unless site conditions have bee requirements differ.	re. Previous soil ent parties are sufficient, en altered or local
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	Ş¥Yes □ No	Conducted soil observation	
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		Two previous verifications (/	Attach bering logs)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		Not applicable (Holding tank(s	L so drains Ls
ooparation distance from non-		Unable to verify (See Comme	nto (Curliniteld)
editarated soil of bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explana	its/Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:	uon
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevation	
License required)		A. Bottom of distribution media	24-30"5
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
attracted soil of bedrock.		C. System separation	>24"5
Any "no" answer above indicates the ailing to protect groundwater.	e system is	 D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent Ordinance. 	24"5 f allowed by Local
Operating Permit and Nitrogen E	MD÷		
Is the system operated under an Operating Po	MP" - Complian	ce component #5 of 5	Vot applicable
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B	armais remains	☐ No If "yes", A below is requi	and ablancable
BMP = Best Manager B	MP? · ☐ Yes	☐ No If "yes", B below is requir	ea
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) spe	cified in the system o	lesian	ed
If the answer to both questions is "no".	this section door	2 poé maril e	
Compliance criteria		not need to be completed.	
a. Operating Permit number:			
Have the Operating Pormit			
Have the Operating Permit requirements b. Is the required pitters of the requirements.	been met?	Yes No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and	properly functioning	Yes □ No	
Any "no" answer indicates Noncomplygrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An inscription of the system must be upgraded, replaced not falling as defined in law, and has at least two fees use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinant fellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection	Dliance, mminent threat to public or within a shorter peri or its use discontinued to of dealers	health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded if required by local ordinance. If the system is the system of the syst	on is railing to protect

Additional Soil Observation Logs

Project ID: Test 1

				-
Charles Charles to No.	CHENNANDAS Y	Mary Action	Quitter	The same of the sa
		,	The state of	

M/ w 54925								Comments
		7.						
		Tais,				W.C.		
		Dai	Loobed	Com	+ 1	James L	6.	
	WANTED THAT COMMISSION CONTINUES THAT THE WAY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	2-	PSP (18987		7		
)	M. No Scold	Sar Line	کی			
Structure Grade Consistence	Shape I	Indicator(s) —	Redox Kind(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Matrix Color(s)	Frag. %	Texture	Depth (in)
	Observation Type:	Observ					Observation #/Location:	Observatio
								Comments
								Camana,
								· The annual section of the section
		entrette plane de l'està esta servici de la companie de l'està de			****		,	Nokedok
2000					10/10			()
7,56	11	The state of the s	and the contraction of the second of the sec		1/4 due	<u>ک</u> ۲	SAND	Z.
	21	0			184 Shall	285	ban	34
K.					104R 3/2	237	bar.	42 "
Grade Consistence	Shape	ilidicator(s)	redox Kilid(s)	יייסרנייב בסיסו (ع)	2001(0)	Frag. %	Da IVS11	
11		Indicatorica	Redov Kind(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Matrix Color(s)	Rock	Texture	Depth (in)
Co Cins	vation Type:	0bserv	١	ar Diop Boxs	+11 %		Observation #/Location:	Observati
3-9-2016	ate		PM,	- 3:30	O/rar-	e of Day:	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Weather Co
Elevation:	-7% EI	Slope%		Soil survey map units) Sc		woodek	Vegetation
4. 6.	Toe Slope Slope shape	☐ Toe Slop	lope Foot Slope	Shoulder Back/Side Slope	Summit Sh	ck one)	Landscape Position: (check one)	Landscape
ock Organic Matter	ium Bedrock	Till Alluviu	e Loess	Outwash Lacustrine		Check all 1	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	Soil parent
		cription/ GPS:	Legal Description/ GPS:	1970 St. Poistini 2 St.		1 Voy7	Client/ Address: VoyThole -	
			1		- 8	,	- Continues of the Control of the Co	