Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN 55038

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 13330 51st Street Ct N, Baytown, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, which were in the owner's possession. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield.

Although not a compliance criteria, it must be noted that an abnormally high liquid level was identified in both septic tanks. After further investigation, it appears that the tanks were installed at an elevation slightly lower than the drainfield. Therefore, the effluent has to flow uphill to reach the drainfield. This condition could increase the chance of blockages and/or freezing. It may be possible to correct this condition by adding a lift tank to the system or possibly raising the elevation of the tanks.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal



St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

For local tracking purposes: Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply. Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days System Status System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/17/2016 Compliant – Certificate of Compliance Noncompliant - Notice of Noncompliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3) frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent threat to public health and safety ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant **Property Information** Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Property address: 13330 51st Street Ct N, Baytown, MN 55082 Reason for inspection: Property Sale Owner's phone: 612-419-1660 Property owner: Sally Walker or Owner's representative: Representative phone: Local regulatory authority: Washington County Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-4052 Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. Comments or recommendations: Although not a compliance criteria, it must be noted that an abnormally high liquid level was identified in both septic tanks. After further investigation, it appears that the tanks were installed at an elevation slightly lower than the drainfield. Therefore, the effluent has to flow uphill to reach the drainfield. This condition could increase the chance of blockages and/or freezing. It may be possible to correct this condition by adding a lift tank to the system or possibly raising the elevation of the tanks. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certification number: L5342 Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing License number: L2896 Inspector signature: Phone number: 651-492-7550 **Necessary or Locally Required Attachments** Soil boring logs System/As-built drawing Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License

1.	Impact on Public Health - Cor	mpliance component#	1 of 5		
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home		
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☑ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 		
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☑ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test 		
	Any "yes" answer above indicates an Imminent Threat to Public Heal		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
2	After further investigation, it appears that the effluent has to flow uphill to reach the may be possible to correct this condition	st be noted that an abno t the tanks were installed e drainfield. This condition by adding a lift tank to the	black/gray soils. rmally high liquid level was identified in both septic tanks. at an elevation slightly lower than the drainfield. Therefore, on could increase the chance of blockages and/or freezing. It ne system or possibly raising the elevation of the tanks.		
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5				
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Verification method(s): ☑ Probed tank(s) bottom ☑ Examined construction records		
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)Observed liquid level below operating depth		
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" 		
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates system is Failing to Protect Green		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
3.	Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions	₅ – Compliance compo	onent #3 of 5		
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damage	d, cracked, unsecured, or	appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
	 Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to i *System is an imminent threat to put Explain: 		y impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
	c. System is non-protective of ground wa *System is failing to protect ground Explain:		determined by inspector		

Property address: 13330 51st Street Ct N, Baytown, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: 3/17/2016

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 Page 370%951-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 20f3

Date of installation: 2001	Unkr	nown	٧	erification method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No		oil observation does not expire. F		
Compliance criteria:				observations by two independent parties are sufficient unless site conditions have been altered or local		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes	□ No	re	equirements differ.		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead				Conducted soil observation(s)		
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			L	☐ Two previous verifications (Atta☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), r		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical				Unable to verify (See Comments)		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				Other (See Comments/Explanatio	n)	
Non-performance systems built April 1,	⊠ Yes	□ No	- C	Comments/Explanation:		
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead				eviewed design and permit record	ds.	
Protection Areas or serving a food,						
beverage, or lodging establishment:						
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically						
saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes	□No	- Iı	ndicate depths of elevations		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV				idicate deptils of elevations	See Attached	
or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector			<u>A.</u>	. Bottom of distribution media	Boring Log(s)	
License required)			Б	Deriodically esturated exil/hadrook		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically				Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
saturated soil or bedrock.				. System separation		
Any "no" answer above indicates the su		evetom is		. Required compliance separation*		
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.			
			_			
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	MP* – 0	Compliand	e com	ponent #5 of 5 🛮 🖂 Not app	olicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating Per	mit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If "yes", A below is required		
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If "yes", B below is required						
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion doe	s not i	need to be completed.		
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number:				☐ Yes ☐ No		
Have the Operating Permit requirements to	been met	?				

Inspector initials/Date: 3/16/2016

Property address: 13330 51st Street Ct N, Baytown, MN 55082

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 Page 4Tወዥ%51-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3


Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas,

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: 3/16/16 & 3/17/16	Time: 12:00 PM			
•	7: 55002			
Property Address: 13330 51st Street Ct N, Baytown, MN	Zip: 55082			
Property Owner: Sally Walker	Phone: 612-419-1660			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass ⊠Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding ⊠Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.				
-	Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000			
	sidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by g	<u> </u>			
Garbage disposal? Y Whirlpool bath?				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? South Side				
	e well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? Name of pum	1			
	on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.				
Owner/Occupant:	Date:			



NO SCALE

13330 51st Street Ct N, Baytown, MN 55082

Log Of Soil Borings

Auger Used: Hand/Bucket Classification System: US Boring Number: 1 Boring Number: Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Soils Encountered Depth In Soils Encountered	6/16 SDA	
Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Surface Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Soils Encountered Boring Number: Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Soils Encountered Boring Number: Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Soils Encountered	SDA	
Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Soils Encountered Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Soils Encountered Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Soils Encountered		
Elevation of Boring Depth In Soils Encountered Elevation of Boring Depth In Soils Encountered Depth In		
l ' l Sous Encountered I ' l Sous Encountere		
Inches Inches Inches	Soils Encountered	
0-9 9-11 10YR 4/3 Silt Loam 11-35 10YR 4/3 Silt Loam 11-35 10YR 4/3 Silt Loam With Few Cobbles 35-80 7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam With Trace Of Gravel		
80" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Depth To End Of Boring Or Re	dox	
Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System Elevation Of Boring Relative To	o System	
	Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	
≥53" Of Separation Of Separation		
End Of Boring At: 80" End Of Boring At:		
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:		
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At:	27 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable



License # L2896

Date of Issuance:

Maintainer License Expires:
Installer License Expires:
Adv Inspector License Expires:
Dec 22, 2016

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Designated Certified Individual (DCI)	Certification Type	Certification Expires
Brian L. Humpal	Maintainer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Advanced Designer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Advanced Inspector (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Installer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Service Provider (Certified)	10/15/2017
Christopher R. Uebe	Designer (Certified)	03/04/2018
Christopher R. Uebe	Inspector (Certified)	03/04/2018



