Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN 55038

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Designer & Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: 3/8/2016 & 3/11/2016 **Time:** 3:00 PM & 7:15 AM **Owner:** Estate of Sandra Walker

Inspection Address: 5245 Woodlane Dr, Woodbury, MN 55129

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this septic system and have reviewed the history of the system with the Personal Representative, Sonia Munoz. I contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This very old system (installed in 1974) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils. This system <u>is not</u> an imminent threat to public health or safety per MPCA rule 7080.1500 Subp. 4(A).

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact Washington County Environmental Specialist, Mr. Chris LeClair (651-430-4052), to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal



St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPC requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:		
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days			
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/11/2016			
	compliant – Notice of Noncompliance Upgrade Requirements on page 3)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent three Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect ground Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect ground Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	t threat to public health and safety water protect groundwater ndwater		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/R			
	Reason for inspection: Property Sale		
Property owner: Estate of Sandra Walker Owne Or	r's phone:		
Owner's representative: Sonia Munoz Repre	sentative phone: 612-863-8203		
	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-4052		
Brief system description: Pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.			
Comments or recommendations:			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certifi	cation number: _L5342		
Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing	cense number: L2896		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal	Phone number: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments Soil boring logs System/As-built drawing ☐ Forms property Information, Disclaimer,	per local ordinance License		

1.	Impact on Public Health – Cor	mpliance component	#1 of 5
	Compliance criteria:	Verification method(s):	
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☑ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☑ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☑ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test
	Any "yes" answer above indicates an Imminent Threat to Public Heat		 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☑ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	Comments/Explanation: None of the above found. A soil boring over the drainfield indicated	d no signs of ponding or	· black/gray soils.
2.	Tank Integrity — Compliance con	nponent #2 of 5	
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Probed tank(s) bottom☑ Examined construction records
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)Observed liquid level below operating depth
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
	Any "yes" answer above indica system is Failing to Protect Gr		 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☑ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
3.	Comments/Explanation: Lowered underwater camera into tank - Other Compliance Conditions		
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	_	immediately and adverse	ely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	Explain:		
	c. System is non-protective of ground wa *System is failing to protect ground Explain:		as determined by inspector

Property address: 5245 Woodlane Dr, Woodbury, MN 55129

Inspector initials/Date: 3/11/2016

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • 3 of **TO**Y 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

1.	Soil Separation – Compliance compor	nent #4 c	of 5				
	Date of installation: 1974 Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	Unkr		S	erification method(s):		
	Compliance criteria:			uı	bservations by two independent pa nless site conditions have been al		
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	built prior to April 1, 1996, and Shoreland or Wellhead Sea or not serving a food,		Conducted soil observation(s) (Attack) Two previous verifications (Attack)	ments differ. Inducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) Inducted soil observations (Attach boring logs)		
	Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No	C	omments/Explanation:		
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No		In	dicate depths of elevations		
	systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)			<u>A.</u>	Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				Periodically saturated soil/bedrock System separation		
	data atoa con or boarcox.			D.	Required compliance separation*		
	Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.					f allowed by Local	
5.	Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	MP* – C	Compliance	e com	ponent #5 of 5 🔀 Not app	licable	
	Is the system operated under an Operating Per	mit?	☐ Yes [⊠ No	If "yes", A below is required		
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM		☐ Yes [⊠ No	If "yes", B below is required		
	BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specifi	ied in the	system des	sign			
	If the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion does	not r	need to be completed.		
	Compliance criteria						
	a. Operating Permit number:						
	Have the Operating Permit requirements to	peen met	?		☐ Yes ☐ No		
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and	properly	functioning	?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	Any "no" answer indicates Noncom	pliance					

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

800-657-3864

www.pca.state.mn.us •

Property address: 5245 Woodlane Dr, Woodbury, MN 55129

Inspector initials/Date: 3/11/2016

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing


Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: 3/8/2016 & 3/11/2016	Time: 3:00 PM & 7:15 AM			
Property Address: 5245 Woodlane Dr, Woodbury, MN	Zip: 55129			
	Phone:			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system no, proper maintenance must be ers should be made accessible to the system. Fank size (gals.): 1200 Sidents in home? 1-2 Tavity? Y			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other but	ildings? N			
Location of septic system on lot? West Side				
	well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? N If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 10/14/2004 Name of pumper: Schlomka				
How often pumped in previous years? Unknown				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? N				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner? N			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the				

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Sonia Munoz's (Personal Representative - Estate	
of Sandra Walker) Signature On File	Date: 3/8/2016



Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 5245 Woodlane Dr, Woodbury, MN 55129						
	orings Made By:	Inspect Minnesota		Dat	e: 3/8/16 &	3/11/16
	Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Class	sification Syster	n: USI	DA
	Boring Number:	1		Boring Numbe	r: 2	
Surface Elevation Boring			Surface Elevation of Boring Same ground surface a drainfield trench			
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils	Encountered	<u> </u>
0-35 35-45	Sandy 10YR 4/ Sandy Lo 10YR 6/1, 7.5YR Refu	'3 Loam With Loam Layers '3 Loam With am Layers And 5/8, & 5YR 5/8 Redox sal At 45" rainfield	Depth In Soils Encountered		n Gravel s n Gravel	
N/A Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox		70"	Depth To End O	epth To End Of Boring Or Redox		
N/A Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		Same	Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		System	
N/A Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media N/A Of Separation		-44" ≥26"	Depth To Botton Of Separation	Of Distributio	n Media	
End Of Boring At: N/A				End Of Boring A	t: 70	11
Redox Present At: N/A				Redox Present /		ne
Standing Water Present At: N/A			Standing	Water Present A	t: Nor	ne

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 44 Inches

Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 5245 Woodlane Dr, Woodbury, MN 55129					
Вог	rings Made By:	Inspect Minnesota		Date:	
	Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Class	sification System:	USDA
В	Boring Number:	3		Boring Number:	4
Boring	Surface Elevation of Same ground surface as last		Surface Elevation of Boring Same ground surface as drainfield trench		
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered
0-16 16-25	10YR 3/3	/3 Silt Loam Silt Loam With 6/2, & 10YR 6/1 Redox	0-11 11-16 16-36 36-46 46-53	10YR 4/2 Loam 10YR 4/2 Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Silt Loam With 10YR 6/2 & Few 7.5YR 5/8 Redo 10YR 4/3 Sandy Loam	
16" D	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	16"	Depth To End Of E	oring Or Redox
Same El	levation Of Boring	g Relative To System	Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To Syste		g Relative To System
-44" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation		-44" =0"	Depth To Bottom (Of Separation	Of Distribution Media	
Е	nd Of Boring At:	25"		End Of Boring At:	53"
Redox Present At: 16"				Redox Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None			Standing	Water Present At:	None

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 44 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable



License # L2896

Date of Issuance:

Maintainer License Expires:
Installer License Expires:
Adv Inspector License Expires:
Dec 22, 2016

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Designated Certified Individual (DCI)	Certification Type	Certification Expires
Brian L. Humpal	Maintainer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Advanced Designer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Advanced Inspector (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Installer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Service Provider (Certified)	10/15/2017
Christopher R. Uebe	Designer (Certified)	03/04/2018
Christopher R. Uebe	Inspector (Certified)	03/04/2018



St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

