

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPC requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also			
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and syswithin 15 days	tem owner		
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/19/2018	_		
1 And Address Control of the Control	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imm Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to prote Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to pro	- Imminent threat to public health and safety ect groundwater - Failing to protect groundwater tect groundwater		
Property Information Parcel ID# or	Sec/Twp/Range:		
Property address: 15040 Oakhill Road Scandia, MN 55047	Reason for inspection: Sale		
Property owner: Roger Rydeen	Owner's phone:		
or			
Owner's representative: Ross Brunfelt	Representative phone: 651-755-3470		
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6000		
Brief system description: Two 1,000 gallon septic tanks, 1,000 gallon Comments or recommendations:	lift station, mound dispersal system		
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made a possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water to	due to unknown conditions during system construction,		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to a determination of future system performance has been nor can be made a possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water a lnspector name: Benjamin Zierke	due to unknown conditions during system construction,		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water unspector name: Benjamin Zierke Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	due to unknown conditions during system construction, usage. Certification number: 9594 License number: 119		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to a determination of future system performance has been nor can be made a possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water a lnspector name: Benjamin Zierke	due to unknown conditions during system construction, usage. Certification number: _9594		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water unspector name: Benjamin Zierke Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	due to unknown conditions during system construction, usage. Certification number: 9594 License number: 119		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made a possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water unspector name: Benjamin Zierke Business name: Zierke Soil Testing Inspector signature:	due to unknown conditions during system construction, usage. Certification number: 9594 License number: 119		

1.	Impact on Public Health – Co	ompliance componer	tt #1 of 5			
_	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☒ Searched for surface outlet☒ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
_	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 			
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation:					
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance of		any abnormalities during site visit 11/14/2017.			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)Observed liquid level below operating depth			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☑ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" 			
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation:	ouridwater.				
	Tanks pumped and OK'ed by Smilies 3/15/2018.					
3.	Other Compliance Condition	s – Compliance compo	nent #3 of 5			
	a. Maintenance hole covers are dama	ged, cracked, unsecured, o	or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown			
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
	Explain:	pasino ricular aria caracy				
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.					
	Explain:					

4. Soil Separation — Compliance component #4 of 5						
Date of installation: 10/12/2006	Unknown	Verific	cation method(s):			
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local				
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.				
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)				
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)				
beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)				
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)				
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	Comm	ents/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indica	nte depths or elevations			
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bott	om of distribution media	102.5'		
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector						
License required)		B. Peri	odically saturated soil/bedrock	98.9'		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		C. Sys	tem separation	3.6'		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Poquired compliance congretion*		3.0'		
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.						
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable						
Is the system operated under an Operating		☐ No				
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?						
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.						
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number:			□ Voc. □ No.			
Have the Operating Permit requirement	ents been met?		Yes No			
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functionin	g?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.						

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

15040 Oakhill Road Scandia, MN 55047

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

11/14/2017

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 2
0-6"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam	0-10"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam
6-18"	10YR 5/3 sandy loam, redox present below 8"	10-20"	10YR 4/4 sandy loam, redox present below 16"
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole 0.7 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring 1.3 feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
O End of boring at	teet	O End of boring at	feet
Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	le: feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth feet of depth	Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth feet of depth

Relative Elevations (in feet)

B1: 100.0, redox 99.3 B2: 100.2, redox 98.9

Bottom of rock: 102.5

B1 Separation: 3.2 B2 Separation: 3.6

Benchmark: 103.6 (top of powerbox on lift)

Height of instrument: 104.0

