

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/16/2016	
	liant – Notice of Noncompliance Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwate Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	eat to public health and safety er otect groundwater oter
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rang	15 022 24 44 0004
Description of the state of the	or inspection: Property Sale
Drawards assessed as a constant of the constan	phone: 612-673-6887
or	712 07 3-0007
Owner's representative: Represen	tative phone:
	y authority phone: <u>651-430 6673</u>
Brief system description: 1,250 gallon septic tank, 1,000 gallon pump tank and press	sure bed drainfield.
Comments or recommendations: Pressure bed drainfield 42" deep. Gravel has been installed over a portion of the drainfi pump tank in spring of 2015. Old cesspool was disconnected and abandoned, but not relonger in use and is dry.	ield for trailer parking. New riser installed on emoved and filled in. The cesspool is no
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the condetermination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	ompliance status of this system. No n conditions during system construction,
Inchester name: Taxa Taxa'	on number: 323
Pusings name: All Chats Court's Court of	se number: 1568
Inancetor signature: 77272	ne number: 612-594-4496
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring logs	ocal ordinance
Other information (list):	ooal ordinarioo

		-	(mm/dd/yyyy)
1.	Impact on Public Health — C	ompliance compon	ent #1 of 5
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
,	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Searched for surface outlet
,	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	⊠ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home □ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes □
,	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ✓ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
,	Any "yes" answer above indi system is an imminent threat health and safety.		 ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	Comments/Explanation:		
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of 5	
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
3	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	□ Probed tank(s) bottom
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		 ☑ Examined construction records ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) ☐ Probable outside tank(s) for "block soil"
1	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	Comments/Explanation:		
3.	Other Compliance Condition	s – Compliance com	ponent #3 of 5
	a. Maintenance hole covers are dama	ged, cracked, unsecure	ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to		ersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	Explain:		
	c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect ground Explain:		ns as determined by inspector . □ Yes* ⊠ No

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 3/16/2016

Property address: 8255 Scandia Trail N, Forest Lake MN 55025

ite of installation: 6/8/1985	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
(mm/dd/yyyy) horeland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage dging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Pre observations by two independent par unless site conditions have been alte	ties are sufficient,
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)	
peverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		(planation)
Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:	
1996, or later or for non-performance		0-8 topsoil 10 YR 3/2	2
systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,		8-17 fine silty loam 10 YR 4/3	3
beverage, or lodging establishment:		17-32 clay 7.5 YR 4	/4
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		32-74 clay loam 10 YR 4/	4
saturated soil or bedrock.*		Redox at 68"	
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	42"
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	N/A
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	24" plus
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	24"
Any "no" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroge		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	fallowed by Local
Is the system operated under an Operating		es 🛮 No If "yes", A below is requi	957
Is the system operated under an operation list the system required to employ a Nitrog	_	es 🛮 No If "yes", B below is requi	
		A00.00 00000000000000000000000000000000	
) specified in the system		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s		bes not need to be completed.	
	"no", this section d		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s	"no", this section d		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s If the answer to both questions is	"no", this section d	☐ Yes ☐ No	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s If the answer to both questions is Compliance criteria		☐ Yes ☐ No	

Property address: 8255 Scandia Trail N, Forest Lake MN 55025

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 3/16/2016

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats

Page 3 of 3

Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas,

