### **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN 55038

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Inspection Address:** 9955 Perkins Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This older system (installed in 1988) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the outlet baffle on the septic tank is missing and should be replaced when possible.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal



St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

### **Compliance Inspection Form**

# Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPC requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.  Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owners.	
within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/11/2016	
	compliant – Notice of Noncompliance Upgrade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent thre ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect ground ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect ground ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	nt threat to public health and safety dwater o protect groundwater ndwater
Property Information	
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/F	-
•	on for inspection: Property Sale er's phone:
Or	
Owner's representative: Jonathan Lindstrom (Re/Max) Repre	esentative phone: 651-439-7672
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	latory authority phone: 651-430-4052
Brief system description: Pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.	
Comments or recommendations:	
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the outlet baffle on the s when possible.	eptic tank is missing and should be replaced
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unk possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certif	fication number: L5342
Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing	icense number: L2896
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal	Phone number: 651-492-7550
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	per legal ordinance
_	per local ordinance
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer	, License

1.	Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5				
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul><li>☑ Searched for surface outlet</li><li>☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li></ul>		
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>☑ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☑ "Plack soil" above soil disposal system</li> </ul>		
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul><li>"Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li><li>System requires "emergency" pumping</li><li>Performed dye test</li></ul>		
	Any "yes" answer above indicate an Imminent Threat to Public Hea		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Comments/Explanation: None of the above found. A soil boring over the drainfield indicated	d no signs of ponding	or black/gray soils.		
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance cor	mponent #2 of 5			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☑ Examined construction records</li></ul>		
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		<ul><li>Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li><li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li></ul>		
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)		
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.		<ul> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
3	Comments/Explanation: Lowered underwater camera into tank - Although not a compliance criteria, it she replaced when possible.  Other Compliance Condition:	ould be noted that the	e outlet baffle on the septic tank is missing and should be		
<u>J.</u>	·	·			
	<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown</li> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown</li> <li>*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety</li> </ul>				
	Explain:				
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater  Explain:				

Property address: 9955 Perkins Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: 4/11/2016

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • 3 off9TY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

1.	Soil Separation – Compliance compor	nent #4 c	of 5				
	Date of installation: 1988  Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	Unkr		S	erification method(s):		
	Compliance criteria:				bservations by two independent pa nless site conditions have been ali		
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes	□ No		requirements differ.  Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring log  Two previous verifications (Attach boring log  Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
	Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No		omments/Explanation: eviewed design and permit record	S.	
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.	☐ Yes	□No	_In	dicate depths of elevations	See Attached	
	2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)				Bottom of distribution media	Boring Log(s)	
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			-	Periodically saturated soil/bedrock  System separation		
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.  D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.  Ordinance.  D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Pen		 ☐ Yes	'	• •		
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?						
	BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.							
	Compliance criteria						
	a. Operating Permit number:			☐ Yes ☐ No			
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?						
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?			☐ Yes ☐ No			
	Any "no" answer indicates Noncom	pliance	•				

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 800-657-3864 wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3


Property address: 9955 Perkins Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: 4/11/2016

### **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

## Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: April 11, 2016	Time: 8:45 AM				
Property Address: 9955 Perkins Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN	Zip: 55082				
Property Owner:	Phone:				
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System  Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench  Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench  Lift Metal Chamber trench  Holding Concrete Seepage bed  Other: Block Mound  Other At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible?   Yes   No *If performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface.	ers should be made accessible to				
1	Γank size (gals.): 1250				
	sidents in home?				
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g					
Garbage disposal? Y Whirlpool bath?					
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	s connected to this system?				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? North Side					
	e well a deep well? Y				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:					
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years?  Is system on a monitoring plan?					
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?					
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.					
Owner/Occupant:	Date:				



### **Log Of Soil Borings**

Boring Made By:   Inspect Minnesota	Location of Project: 9955 Perkins Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082					
Surface   Elevation of Boring   Soils Encountered   10YR 3/3 Sandy Loam   10YR 3/3 Loam   10YR 3/3 Medium Coarse Sand   10YR 3/3 Medium Coarse Sand   10YR 4/3 Medium Coarse Sand   10Y						4/11/16
Surface Elevation of Boring  Depth In Inches  0-19 19-43 19-43 10YR 4/3 Loam 10YR 4/3 Medium Coarse Sand  10YR 4/3 Medium Coarse Sand  80" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Same Elevation of Boring Relative To System -30" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥50" Of Separation  End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:  Surface Elevation of Boring Surface as last drainfield trench Boring  Surface Elevation of Boring Surface Elevation of Boring Soils Encountered  Soils Encountered  Soils Encountered  Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox  Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox  Elevation Of Boring Relative To System -30" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥50" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:  Soils Encountered  Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox  Elevation of Boring Or Redox  Elevation Of Boring Relative To System -30" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media 2 End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:  None  Soils Encountered  Elevation of Boring Or Redox  Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox  Elevation of Bor		Auger Used:	Hand/Bucket			USDA
Elevation of Boring  Depth In Inches  O-19 19-43 43-70 70-80  Boring  Boring  10YR 4/3 Loam 10YR 4/3 Loamy Sand 10YR 4/3 Medium Coarse Sand  Boring  Depth In Inches  10YR 4/3 Medium Coarse Sand  Blevation of Boring At:  Elevation of Boring Or Redox  Depth To End Of Boring At:  Elevation of Boring At:  Redox Present At:  Belevation of Boring At:  Boils Encountered Inches  Soils Encountered  Depth In Inches  Soils Encountered  Inches  Soils Encountered  Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox  Elevation of Boring Or Redox  Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox  Elevation of Boring Relative To System  Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media  Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media  End Of Boring At:  Redox Present At:  None  End Of Boring At:  Redox Present At:		Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Inches   10YR 3/3 Sandy Loam   10YR 4/3 Medium Coarse Sand	Surface Elevation of Same ground surface as last		Elevation of Boring	of		
19-43 43-70 70-80 10YR 4/3 Loamy Sand 10YR 4/3 Medium Coarse Sand  80" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System -30" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥50" Depth To Boring At: Redox Present At: None  Redox Present At:  None  Redox Present At:	· · ·	Soils E	ncountered	•	Soils Er	ncountered
Same       Elevation Of Boring Relative To System       Elevation Of Boring Relative To System         -30"       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media         ≥50"       Of Separation         End Of Boring At:       80"       End Of Boring At:         Redox Present At:       None       Redox Present At:	19-43 43-70	10YR 10YR 3/4	4/3 Loam 1 Loamy Sand			
-30" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥50" Of Separation  End Of Boring At:  Redox Present At:  None  Redox Present At:	80"	80" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox			Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
≥50" Of Separation  End Of Boring At: 80" End Of Boring At:  Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:		ame Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		E	Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System
End Of Boring At: 80" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:					of Distribution Media	
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:	≥50"  Of Separation			Of Separation		
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:		End Of Borina At:	80"		End Of Borina At:	
	3					

Bottom Of Distribution Medium A	t: 30 Inches

#### **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable



License # L2896

Date of Issuance:

Maintainer License Expires:
Installer License Expires:
Adv Inspector License Expires:
Dec 22, 2016

### Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Designated Certified Individual (DCI)	Certification Type	Certification Expires
Brian L. Humpal	Maintainer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Advanced Designer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Advanced Inspector (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Installer (Certified)	10/15/2017
Brian L. Humpal	Service Provider (Certified)	10/15/2017
Christopher R. Uebe	Designer (Certified)	03/04/2018
Christopher R. Uebe	Inspector (Certified)	03/04/2018



St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings Manager Environmental Business Assistance Section