1 of 10

## **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

P.O. Box 10853 White Be	ar Lake, MN 55110	Brian Humpal
651-492-7550/Brian@Mid	westsoiltesting.com N	APCA Licensed Advanced Inspector
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE	TREATMENT SYSTEM (	SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT
Date: May 3, 2018	<b>Time:</b> 12:30 PM	<b>Owner:</b> Mason Sorenson
<b>Inspection Address:</b> 8335 D	ale Rd, Woodbury, MN 55129	

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a chamber trench drainfield.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the second manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. It is also recommended that the tanks be pumped, as it appears that the second tank was not pumped in 2015.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system presently meets MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal Brian Humpal

System Status System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/3/2018

**Instructions:** Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner

Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant

#### **Property Information**

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Property address:	8335 Dale Rd, Woodbury, MN 55129	Reason for inspection: Property Transfer
Property owner: N	lason Sorenson	Owner's phone: 612-669-6150
or		
Owner's representat	live:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory aut	hority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6679
Brief system descrip	tion: <u>Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift ta</u>	ank, and a chamber trench drainfield.
<b>•</b> •		

Comments or recommendations:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the second manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. It is also recommended that the tanks be pumped, as it appears that the second tank was not pumped in 2015.

#### Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name:	Brian Humpal	Certification number:	L5342
Business name:	Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing	License number:	L2896
Inspector signature	: Brian Humpal	Phone number:	651-492-7550

#### **Necessary or Locally Required Attachments**

Soil boring logs	System/As-built drawing	Forms per local ordinance
Other information (list):	Report Summary, Property Information	n, Disclaimer, License

# **Compliance Inspection Form**

### Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

For local tracking purposes:

Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance

(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)

(SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

<b>Minnesota Pollution</b>
Control Agency
520 Lafavotto Road North

within 15 days

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194 2 of 10

#### 1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:	
System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
Ame "was" and a start a base indicate	a tha avatam ia

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.

Comments/Explanation:

None of the above found.

#### Verification method(s):

- Searched for surface outlet
- Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
- Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
- Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
- "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
- System requires "emergency" pumping
- Performed dye test
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

#### 2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

#### Compliance criteria:

System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		

## Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.

Comments/Explanation:

Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK. Lift pump and alarm were operational at the time of the inspection.

#### Verification method(s):

- Probed tank(s) bottomExamined construction records
- Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
- Observed liquid level below operating depth
- Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
- Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

#### 3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

- a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. 🗌 Yes\* 🛛 No 🗌 Unknown
- b. Other issues (*electrical hazards, etc.*) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  $\Box$  Yes\*  $\boxtimes$  No  $\Box$  Unknown \*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector □ Yes\* ⊠ No \*System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

#### **4. Soil Separation** – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation: 2005	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Soil observation does not expire. Pr observations by two independent pa	
Compliance criteria:		unless site conditions have been all	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	<ul> <li>requirements differ.</li> <li>Conducted soil observation(s) (<i>i</i></li> <li>Two previous verifications (Attac</li> <li>Not applicable (Holding tank(s), not</li> <li>Unable to verify (See Comments/</li> </ul>	ch boring logs) o drainfield)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation	• •
Non-performance systems built April 1,	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Comments/Explanation:	
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		Reviewed design and permit record	S.
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths of elevations	
or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation	
		D. Required compliance separation*	
Any "no" answer above indicates Failing to Protect Groundwater.	the system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent it Ordinance.	fallowed by Loca
Operating Permit and Nitrogen I	<b>3MP*</b> – Compliance	e component #5 of 5 🛛 🛛 Not app	licable
the system operated under an Operating Pe		· · ·	
the system required to employ a Nitrogen B			
		•	
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) spec			

a.	Operating Permit number:	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No

#### Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

**Upgrade Requirements** (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) *An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.* 

## Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing


#### Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: May 3, 2018	Time: 12:30 PM
Duran antes Addresses 9225 Dala D.d. Waadharma MD	Zin: 55120
Property Address: 8335 Dale Rd, Woodbury, MN	
Property Owner: Mason Sorenson	Phone: 612-669-6150
Septic 2       Fiberglass       Root         Aerobic       Plastic       Grading         Lift       Metal       Metal         Holding       Concrete       See         Other:       Block       Model	reatment System     Other       ek trench     Alternative system       velless trench     Experimental system       umber trench     Cesspool system       page bed     Other system       und
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? $\Box$ Y performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance holes and proper the ground surface to facilitate access and proper to	nance hole covers should be made accessible to
Year house built: 1959 Year septic installe	d: 2005 Tank size (gals.): 2-1000
How long has seller owned the property?	Number of residents in home?
Number of bedrooms?3Are all flow	ors drained by gravity? Y
Garbage disposal?	Whirlpool bath?
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles con Are any buildings on this property such as garages	
Are there any additional systems on this property	serving other buildings?
Location of septic system on lot? South Side	
Location of water well on lot? Unknown	Is the well a deep well? Unknown
Have you ever experienced any problems with the surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank o to the system? If yes, explain:	
When was the system last pumped? 2015	Name of pumper: Meyer's Sewer Service
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government a	
Is your property located in a shoreland manageme	
Do you have any additional information that should	

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant:



Ν

NO SCALE

8335 Dale Rd, Woodbury, MN 55129

### Log Of Soil Borings

Locat	ion of Project:	8335 Dale Rd, Woodl	ourv. MN 55	129	
		Inspect Minnesota	<i>our ;; ; : ::: ::::::::::::::::::::::::::</i>	Date:	5/3/18
		Hand/Bucket	Classif	fication System:	USDA
Bo	pring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface Elevation of Boring	Same grou	und surface as last nfield trench	Surface Elevation o Boring		
Depth In Inches	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	<u>Soils En</u>	icountered
0-10 10-18 18-32 32-48 48-58	10YR 3 10YR 4 10YR 4/4 Silt ≥35% Ro Refu	/2 Silt Loam /3 Silt Loam /4 Silt Loam /4 Silt Loam Loam With Gravel ock Fragments sal At 58"			
58" De	pth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	0	Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
Same Ele	evation Of Borin	g Relative To System	E	Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System
	pth To Bottom ( Separation	Of Distribution Media		Depth To Bottom O Df Separation	f Distribution Media
En	d Of Boring At:	58"		End Of Boring At:	
	dox Present At:	None		Redox Present At:	
	ater Present At:			Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 26 Inches

ECUCY MUDDINIVUS BI BI B2 B3 BI B2 B3 B1 B2 B3 B1 B2 B3 B1 B2 B3 B1 B2 B3 Clay LOAM CLAY LOAN COANT COANT TO SOIL DAVE BYOWN CLAY LOAN TO SOUN SOUND SOUL CLAY LOAM SYNYS SOUND SITT LOAN LOAN SANDY COANS SANDY LOAN SUNY SANDY COANS SA					
BI     BI     B2     B3       BIGLE 10PSUIT     BIGLE TOPSUIT     BIGLE TOPSUIT     BIGLE TOPSUIT     BIGLE TOPSUIT       1     Dark Brown     Red Provint     Red Provint     Rown       1     Dark Brown     Red Provint     Loa in Topsuit       2     Dark Brown     Red Provint     Loa in Topsuit       2     Dark Brown     Red Provint     Loa in Topsuit       2     Red Brown     Sandy Loa in Topsuit     Brown       3     Red Brown     Sandy Loa in Topsuit     Brown       3     Red Brown     Sandy Loa in Topsuit     Brown       3     Red Brown     Brown     Sandy Loa in Topsuit       4     Brown     Sandy Loa in Topsuit     Brown       5     Brown     Brown     Brown       5     Supryl     Sandy Loa in Topsuit       6     Tz Red Brown     Brown     Brown	Job: <u> </u>	LE DRAN, WUDD	рикиј		
BIBLE TOPSOIL BIBLE TOPSOIL DAVE Brown Dave Brown Clay LOBIN LOBIN TOPSOIL Dave Brown Shown Clay LOBIN LOBIN Shidy Clay LUDAN Clay LOBIN Shidy Shidy Rea Blumin Liny 200 Brown Shidy LOBIN 1044/5 LOBIN SUVYS 29 AND 1044/15 Rod Suver Sandy LOBIN 1044/15 Rea Brown Shid LOBIN 1044/15 And Avel 544/15 Suver Sandy Com 1044/15 Rea Brown and much 1044/15 Suver 13 Sandy 108 10810 and 1044/15 Suver Sandy 108 10810 and 1044/15 Suver Sandy 108 10810 and 1040 1080	Depth Feet			83	B4
Clay Loam Clay Loam Loam Syrys 20 Loam Syrys 20 Loam Syrys 20 Loam Syrys 20 Loam Syrys 20 Loam Syrys 20 Loam Syrys 20 Red Brown Sandy Loam Date and Aren Sandy Loam Red Brown Sandy Loam Red Brown and Nick Syrys 50 Syrys 1000 413 Syrys 1000 413 Syrys 1000 413 Syrys 1000 410 Syrys 1000 400 Syrys 10000		1 logdot	1	DAVIL Brown LOAM TOPSOIL	Black LOAM TUPSOIL
Loam Syrys 20 Sancy Loam 1044/2 Loam Syrys 20 and gravel, 1044/2 Red Brown 5414 13 Madium Brown Coavse Sandy 10 m 10 am and 541 13 Sandy 10 m 31 avel 22 Sandy 10 m 10 am and 54 41 merec Sandy 10 m		Clay Loam	FI SIMPLY PAC	₽	Red Brown Silt Luam Syr 413 20
Red Brown 54143 COAVSE SANAY 54143 COAVSE SANAY 54143 GVAVEI RED BIOWN 541413 541413 72 AND INTERESTIC		100 M SUNAM 104	Sandy Loam and gravel	1 .0	sandy sitt ivam 5 andy sitt ivam 5 yr413
graver graver 541413 FINE Sand Edimeransardy 200 Red merch Surfs 22		COAVSE SANAY	5/1 1/12		Red Brown Fine Sand
72 and lime tale sur 13 72		gravel 544413	Red Brown Fine Sand Syr43	and milich. Gravel and rock. Toys 4/3 40	and gravel Syrylz
		2			

Jun 27 05 07:36p

.

## **DISCLAIMER**

#### Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

#### Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

10 of 10

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

# Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2018

Issued: 10/10/2017

# Specialty Area(s):

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

# **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #	Name	<b>Certification Expires:</b>
C9633	Anthony P Scully	7/28/2018
	Installer, Designer (Conditional)	
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2020
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector	
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2018
	Designer, Inspector	

## MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Charles K Thompson, Supervisor Certification & Training Unit