

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:		
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system own within 15 days	ner		
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/9/2018	The second secon		
	ompliant – Notice of Noncompliance ograde Requirements on page 3.)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)			
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to pu ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Immine ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groun ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groun ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	ent threat to public health and safety ndwater I to protect groundwater undwater		
Droporty Information			
nd nd	o/Range: 35.030.21.43.0017		
	ason for inspection: <u>property sale</u>		
Property owner: Gary Heesch Ow	ner's phone:		
Owner's representative: Angela Fox Rep	presentative phone: 651-829-1732		
	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655		
Brief system description: septic tank & gravity trench drainfield.	<u> </u>		
Comments or recommendations:			
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine	o the compliance statue of this system. No		
determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to ur possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	hknown conditions during system construction,		
	rtification number: 323		
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568		
Inspector signature: /om/nooca	Phone number: 612-594-4496		
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments			
	s per local ordinance		
www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-53 wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14	32 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative format		

System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Yes No cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Seepage pits answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Seepage pits method for "black soil" See Comments/Explanation: See Comme	MN 55082 Inspector initials/Date: TT 5/9/2018 (mm/dd/yyyy)	perty address: 10555 62nd St N Stillwa	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface. System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Yes ⋈ No welling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Yes ⋈ No welling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Yes ⋈ No welling or establishment. Yes ⋈ No welling in soil dispersal system or support to the surface outlet in Search of seeping in yard/backup in Searched for surface outlet in Searched for seeping in yard/backup hemoewner testimony (Searched for seeping in yard/backup hemoewner testimony (Searched Jabove System remerser) when the store in seminent threat to public health and safety. Verification method(s): Searched for serable per femere comment #2 of 5 Verification method(s): System requires "emergency" pumpin performed dye test system in yard-backup hemoewner testimony (Searched Indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Verification method(s): Seepage plts method for seeping in yard-backup hemoewner testimony (Searched Indicates the system is an imminent threat to publi		Impact on Public Hoalth	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface. System discharges sewage to drain title or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: 2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Verification method(s): System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. yes* "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. yes* "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. yes*			
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: 2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Sewage tank (s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Sewage tank (s) leak coli" Yes No	☐ Yes ☒ No ☒ Searched for surface outlet	System discharges sewage to the	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: 2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Seepage in the sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Holling above soil dispersal syste "Black soil" above soil dispersal system requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explan Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Deserved liquid level below operating de Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation: Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation: Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation: Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation:		System discharges sewage to drain	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explan Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanat		System causes sewage backup into	
Comments/Explanation: Compliance criteria:	tes the ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Verification method(s): Probed tank(s) bottom Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Dobserved liquid level below operating depth (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments) Other methods not listed (See Comments) Other methods not listed (See Comments) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety."		Comments/Explanation:	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Souther Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Sepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Dobserved liquid level below operating do Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Dispance of Computing Conditions Comments/Explanation: Other methods not listed (See Comments) Other methods not listed (See Comments) Other methods not listed (See Comments)	mponent #2 of 5	Tank Integrity — Compliance	
cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Comments/Explanation: Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth. Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments) Other methods not listed (See Comments) Other methods not listed (See Comments) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. "Yes" "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	Verification method(s):	Compliance criteria:	
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Are system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.			
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. And Yes Total Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation Other methods not listed (See Comments Other methods not listed (See Comments Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Destructurally unsound. Yes* b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes*	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	designed operating depth.	
Comments/Explanation: 8. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	tes the	Any "yes" answer above indicates the	
a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	illuwater.		
b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	- Compliance component #3 of 5	Other Compliance Condition	
System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	d, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unknow	a. Maintenance hole covers are dama	
Explain:	mmediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown thic health and safety.	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	
		Explain:	
c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ № *System is failing to protect groundwater.			
Explain:		Explain:	

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

Property address: 10555 62nd St N Stillwater, MN 55082		Inspector initials/Date: TT 5/9/2018 (mm/dd/yyyy)				
4. Soil Separation – Compliance co	ompopont #4 of F					
1978	mponent #4 or 5					
Date of installation: Unknown (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage Yes No		Verification method(s): Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil				
		observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.				
Compliance criteria:		☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (A	ttach horing logs)			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Two previous verifications (Attach				
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)				
beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)				
Non-performance systems built April 1,	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:				
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead		Soil Boring B-1				
Protection Areas or serving a food,		0-11 topsoil				
beverage, or lodging establishment:		11-29 sandy clay loam				
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		29-76 med sand				
saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations				
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV			01			
or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		A. Bottom of distribution media	3'			
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6'			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	3'			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			01			
Any "no" answer above indicates ti	ha system is	D. Required compliance separation**May be reduced up to 15 percent if	3' allowed by Local			
failing to protect groundwater.	ie system is	Ordinance.	anowa			
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5						
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	es 🗌 No If "yes", A below is requir	red			
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?						
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.						
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number: n/a		☐ Yes ☐ No				
Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		Lifes Lino				
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly function	ning?				
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	ompliance.					
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) discontinued within ten months of receipt of this is ground water, the system must be upgraded, rep is not failing as defined in law, and has at least to its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in confidence.	notice or within a shorte laced, or its use discont vo feet of design soil se rdinance that is more sti	r period if required by local ordinance. If the s tinued within the time required by local ordinal paration, then the system need not be upgrad rict. This provision does not apply to systems	ystem is failing to protect nce. If an existing system led, repaired, replaced, or in shoreland areas.			

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists 4-31b • 6/4/14

