

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms — additional local requirements and attached forms—additional local requirements.

Forlo	ocal track	ing purp	oses:	
		Ř		
	*			÷

requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days
System Status
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9 MA'V /6
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Property address: //600 /AYTON AVE SO MERCASE for inspection: Street Property owner: Owner's phone: Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: // Regulatory authority phone: Brief system description: // Non compliant Cesspac's on these dramps Comments or recommendations: lines
Certification hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No letermination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, lossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.
nspector name: Bob Freiermuth Certification number: C818
dusiness name: Bob Freiermuth Santitation, LLC License number: L492
Phone number: 651-437-5566
lecessary or Locally Required Attachments Soil boring logs System/As-built drawing Forms per local ordinance Other information (list):

1. Impact on Public Health — (Compliance comp	(mm/dd/yyyy)				
Compliance criteria:	, and a second					
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	Yes No	Verification method(s): Searched for surface outlet				
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☐ No	Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes				
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation:		☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system				
		☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
	at .					
2. Tank Integrity - Compliance of	omponent #2 of 5					
Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☑ Yes ☐ No	Probed tank(s) bottom				
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		☐ Examined construction records				
compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)				
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☑Yes ☐ No	Observed liquid level below operating depth				
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)				
Any "yes" answer above indica	260 - 46 -	Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"				
system is failing to protect grou	ues me Undwater	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
Comments/Explanation:		(Use Comments/Explanation)				
•						
Other Compliance Conditions -	- Compliance compo	nent #3 of 5				
Other Compliance Conditions - a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged	d, cracked, unsecured,	or appear to be structurally upper of				
 Maintenance hole covers are damaged Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to in *System is an imminent threat to put 	d, cracked, unsecured, omediately and adver-	or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown				
a. Maintenance hole covers are damagedb. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to in	d, cracked, unsecured, omediately and adver-	or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown				
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to in *System is an imminent threat to put Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water 	d, cracked, unsecured, of mmediately and adversiblic health and safety. Ber for other conditions a	or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown lely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown				
a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to in *System is an imminent threat to put Explain:	d, cracked, unsecured, of mmediately and adversiblic health and safety. Ber for other conditions a	or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown lely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown				

Property address: 1600 /AV	Ton Ave s			M
The state of the s	010/106		inspector initial	s/Date: St. F. Milly
4. Soil Separation - Compliance				(mm/dd/yyyy)
Date of installation:	and the same of th	of 5		:
(mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown		erification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☑ No	02	oil observation does not exposervations by two independents site conditions have to	dent nedice
Compliance criteria:		41	nless site conditions have b quirements differ.	een altered or local
Fof systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	_	Conducted soil observations	(Attach boring logs)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock,			Not applicable (Holding tand Unable to verify (See Commo Other (See Comments/Expla	nents/Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	Yes No	Co	mments/Explanation:	p Soil
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		35-	65" 10 /R 40	, Sandy Loam Med Sand
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	Yes No		icate depths or elevation	22 "
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		<u>C.</u> S	Periodically saturated soil/bedro	43"
Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	e system is	*May	equired compliance separation be reduced up to 15 perce inance.	ent if allowed by Local
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP* - Complis	2000 000		/
Is the system operated under an Operating F				Not applicable
is the system required to employ a Nitrogen I	2		If "yes", A below is re	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sp		s LINC	If "yes", B below is rea	quired
If the answer to both questions is "no	ecineu in ine system	n design		
Compliance criteria	, this section do	es not l	need to be completed.	
a. Operating Permit number:				
Have the Operating Permit requirements	heen mel?		☐ Yes ☐ No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place an				3
Any "no" answer indicates Noncon	u properly functionii	ng?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An discontinued within ten months of receipt of this noting ground water, the system must be upgraded, replacing not failing as defined in law, and has at least two this use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinates. Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection.	i imminent threat to pu ce or within a shorter p ed, or its use discontin eet of design-soil seps	ued within tration, the	the time required by local ordination of the time required by local ordination the system need not be upget	e system is failing to protect

Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Date:

Customer Name:

TAMATA HuppenT

Street Address:

Lay Town Ave so TI

City, State, Zip:

Histings MN 33033

Phone Number:

COMPANY DISCLAIMER

Based on what we were able to observe and on our experience with on-site wastewater technology, we submit this Onsite Sewage Treatment System Inspection Report based on the present condition of the onsite sewage disposal system.

Bob Freiermuth has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period of time in the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system, as well as the inability of our company to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the system, this report shall not be construed as a warranty by our company that the system will function properly for any particular buyer. Bob Freiermuth hereby DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTY, either expressed or implied, arising from the inspection of the septic system or this report. We are also not ascertaining any affect the system is having on the groundwater.

Inspecting Company	Phone	651-437-5566	(Office)
Bob Frefermuth Sanitation, LLC			
Owners significant			

Owners signature

I have studied the information contained herein and certify that my assessment is honest, thorough, and to the best of my ability, correct.

· Name:

Title: SSTS Inspector 818

