

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement


Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Ager requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements	may also apply	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) within 15 days	and system owner	5
System Status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):	1	
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	Noncompli (See Upgrade I	iant – Notice of Noncompliance Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1 Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #2) - Failing Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) - Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Component #4)) – Imminent threat to pent #3) – Imminent threat to protect groundwater ent #3) – Failing to prote	at to public health and safety act groundwater
Property owner: Mary Enge ber Tsend or Owner's representative: WASh under the Solid College of the Solid College	bottom	ive phone: with prossure bed 20 to k is an order
and lift Station is	compliant	· Drainfield is congliant
cer threation		
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered	d to determine the comp	pliance status of this system. No Inditions during system construction.
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be mossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future with spector name: Bob Freiermuth	d to determine the comp lade due to unknown co ater usage.	muluons auring system construction,
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be mossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future will inspector name: Bob Freiermuth Business name: Bob Freiermuth Santitation, LLC	d to determine the comp nade due to unknown co ater usage. Certification n	umber: C818 umber: L492
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be moossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future was larger to make the system.	d to determine the comp nade due to unknown co ater usage. Certification n	number: _C818_

Impact on Public Health — (Compliance criteria:			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☑ No	Verification method(s): Searched for surface outlet	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	Yes No	☐ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☑ No	 ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system 	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods per lists of the	
Comments/Explanation:		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation	
Tank Integrity – Compliance of Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
		Verification method(s):	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	Yes No	Probed tank(s) bottom	
Seepage pits meeting 7080 2550 may be	15TTANK	Examined construction records	
compliant if allowed in local ordinance.	ONLY	Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their lesigned operating depth.	Yes No	Observed liquid level below operating depth	
yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	
		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"	
my fire off	ates the	Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
Iny "yes" answer above indicates	ares the		
system is failing to protect gro	undwater.	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
Any "yes" answer above indicated by stem is failing to protect group to ments/Explanation:	undwater.	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
system is failing to protect gro	undwater.	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
system is failing to protect gro	undwater.	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
system is failing to protect gro	undwater.	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
system is failing to protect gro	undwater.	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
ther Compliance Conditions	undwater. - Compliance compo	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Onent #3 of 5	
ther Compliance Conditions Maintenance hole covers are damage Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to i	— Compliance composite, cracked, unsecured, immediately and adverse	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Onent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown	
ther Compliance Conditions Maintenance hole covers are damage	— Compliance composite, cracked, unsecured, immediately and adverse	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Onent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknow	
ther Compliance Conditions Maintenance hole covers are damage Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to i	— Compliance composite, cracked, unsecured, immediately and adverse	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Onent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown	
ther Compliance Conditions Maintenance hole covers are damage Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to i	— Compliance composed, cracked, unsecured, immediately and adversublic health and safety	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Onent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown Sely impact public health or safety.	

Property address: 78/0 113d	que So.	Inspector initials/E	Date 11 / /
A Cail Canada			(mm/dd/yyyy)
4. Soil Separation – Compliance Date of installation: 2007	CONTROL MANAGEMENT AND	5	
(mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	Yes No	Soil observation does not expire observations by two independe unless site conditions have bee	nt nartice are a ser .
		requirements differ.	n altered or local
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes ☐ No	Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ I wo previous verifications (A	Attach boring logs)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Unable to verify (See Commer	
		Other (See Comments/Explana	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance	Yes No	Comments/Explanation: B	1
systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		1-43" 104R 3/4 43-57" 104R 3/4 7-66" 104R 5/4	medsand
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		73-37 10 yr 3/4	med Spee
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	10000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000		med SAND
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	60	6-12 10/R 5/8	med SAN
systems built under pre-2008 Rules: Type IV	Yes No	Indicate depths or elevation	S
or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		A. Bottom of distribution media	28-18"
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		 B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 	None - 72"
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation	441
The second secon		D. Required compliance separation*	361
Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	e system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent Ordinance.	t if allowed by Local
Operating Power's and No.			
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP* - Complia	nce component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating F		s No If "yes", A below is requ	iired
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen		s 🗌 No If "yes", B below is requ	ired
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sp	ecified in the system	design	
If the answer to both questions is "no	", this section do	es not need to be completed.	
Compliance criteria			
a. Operating Permit number:			
Have the Operating Permit requirement		☐ Yes ☐ No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place ar	nd properly functioning	ng?	
Any "no" answer indicates Noncor	npliance.		
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) And discontinued within ten months of receipt of this not ground water, the system must be upgraded, replact is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordin Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection.	ed, or its use discontinu feet of design soil sepa	ued within the time required by local ordinariation, then the system need not be upgrated.	system is failing to protect ance. If an existing system ded. renaired replaced or

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 3 of 3

Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Date:

6 Jul 18

Customer Name:

MARY EngeberTson

Street Address:

7810 113th Ave Sou TI

City, State, Zip:

Cottage Give 5506

Phone Number:

651-459-1656

COMPANY DISCLAIMER

Based on what we were able to observe and on our experience with on-site wastewater technology, we submit this Onsite Sewage Treatment System Inspection Report based on the present condition of the onsite sewage disposal system.

Bob Freiermuth has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period of time in the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system, as well as the inability of our company to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the system, this report shall not be construed as a warranty by our company that the system will function properly for any particular buyer. Bob Freiermuth hereby DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTY, either expressed or implied, arising from the inspection of the septic system or this report. We are also not ascertaining any affect the system is having on the groundwater.

Inspecting	Company
------------	---------

Phone

651-437-5566 (Office)

Bob Freiermuth Sanitation, LLC

License No. 8

Owners signature

I have studied the information contained herein and certify that my assessment is honest, thorough, and to the best of my ability, correct.

Name:

Title:

100

SSTS Inspector 818