Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 10853 White I	Bear Lake, MN 55110	Brian Humpal				
651-492-7550/Brian@M	idwestsoiltesting.com	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector				
SUBSURFACE SEWA	AGE TREATMENT SY	STEM COMPLIANCE REPORT				
Date: July 25, 2018	Time: 9:30 AM	Owner: John & Cindy Bartunek				
Inspection Address: 13936 Isleton Ave N, Hugo, MN 55038						

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I have contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This very old system (installed in approximately 1987) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal





St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

(SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control A requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may als	5 , ()
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and sy within 15 days	stem owner
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):	─ Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	

Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) Noncompliant

Property Information

Parcel	ID# or	Sec/Tw	p/Range:
	$1D\pi 01$		

Property address:	13936 Isleton Ave N, Hugo, MN 55038	_ Reason for inspection: _ Property Transfer		
Property owner: John & Cindy Bartunek		Owner's phone: 651-260-9582		
or				
Owner's represen	tative:	Representative phone:		
Local regulatory a	authority: Washington County	_ Regulatory authority phone: _651-260-9582		
Brief system desc	ription: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainf	field.		
A				

Comments or recommendations:

Certification

wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name: Brian Humpal/Christopher Uebe				Certification nur	nber:	C5342/C9852				
Business name:	Inspect	t Minnesota, M	lidwe	st Soil Testing			License nur	nber:	L2	896
Inspector signatur	re:	Brian ;	Hu	npal After	_1/1		Phone nun	nber:	65	1-492-7550
Necessary or	Local	ly Require	d A	ttachment	S					
🛛 Soil boring lo	ogs	🛛 Syst	em/A	s-built drawing	J		Forms per local o	rdinar	ce	
Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License										
www.pca.state.mn.	us •	651-296-6300	•	800-657-3864	•	TTY 651-2	82-5332 or 800-657	-3864	•	Available in alternative formats

1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	 Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	 Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	 "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test
Any "yes" answer above indicate an Imminent Threat to Public Hea	-	 Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

2. Tank Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Probed tank(s) bottom
cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		Examined construction records
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		 Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
Any "yes" answer above indic system is Failing to Protect G		 Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

Comments/Explanation:

None of the above found.

Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK.

3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

a.	Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.	□ Yes*	🛛 No	Unknown
----	---	--------	------	---------

b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector □ Yes* ⊠ No *System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation: 1987?	🛛 Unkr	nown	Verification method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging? Compliance criteria:	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are suffi unless site conditions have been altered or local		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes	No No	 requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (A Two previous verifications (Attack Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) 	h boring logs) drainfield) xplanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	🗌 No	Comments/Explanation:		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	□ Yes	□ No	Indicate depths of elevations		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			C. System separation		
			D. Required compliance separation*		
Any "no" answer above indicates t Failing to Protect Groundwater.	em is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Loca Ordinance.			

5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	If "yes", B below is required

BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria

a.	Operating Permit number:	🗌 Yes 🔲 No
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: July 25, 2018	Time: 9:30 AM					
Descrite Address 1202(Libber Ass N Horse MON 75						
Property Address: 13936 Isleton Ave N, Hugo, M Property Owner: John & Cindy Bartunek	N Zip: 55038 Phone: 651-260-9582					
	eatment System Other					
Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock	trench Alternative system					
	elless trench Experimental system					
	nber trench Cesspool system age bed Other system					
Other: Block Mou						
Other At-g						
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \Box Ye	es \boxtimes No *If no, proper maintenance must be					
performed through the maintenance holes. Mainter						
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper m	aintenance of the system.					
Year house built: 1987 Year septic installed	: 1987? Tank size (gals.): 1250					
How long has seller owned the property?	Number of residents in home?					
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floor	rs drained by gravity? Y					
Garbage disposal?	/hirlpool bath?					
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?						
Does this property have any footing drain tiles conr	nected to the septic system?					
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?						
Are there any additional systems on this property so	arving other buildings?					
Are there any additional systems on this property so	living other oundings:					
Location of septic system on lot? South Side						
Location of water well on lot? North Side	Is the well a deep well? Y					
Have you ever experienced any problems with the s						
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank ov						
to the system? If yes, explain:						
When was the system last pumped? 2016	Name of pumper: Smilie's Sewer Service					
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?					
Have you received notices from any government ag						
Is your property located in a shoreland managemen						
Do you have any additional information that should	be given to the new owner?					

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant:



Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 13936 Isleton Ave N, Hugo, MN 55038						
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota			Date:	7/25/18		
Auger Used: Ha			Classification System:		USDA	
Boring Number:		1	Boring Number:			
Surface Same grou		und surface as last nfield trench	Surface Elevation o Boring	f		
Depth In Inches	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Soils Encountered		
0-27 27-37 37-47 7 47-61	10YR 3/3 L 5YR 3/4 Loamy Wit 7.5YR 4/4 L (Moist To S	4 Sandy Loam .oamy Fine Sand Fine Sand (Saturated) th Redox .oamy Fine Sand Saturated) With 10YR 7/1 Redox				
37" De	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox			
Same El	Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		Elevation Of Boring Relative To System			
-41"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media=0"Of Separation			Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation			
End Of Boring At: 61"			E	End Of Boring At:		
Redox Present At: 37"				edox Present At:		
Standing Water Present At: None			Standing V	Vater Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 41 Inches

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2018

Issued: 10/10/2017

Specialty Area(s):

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Name	Certification Expires:	
Anthony P Scully	7/28/2018	
Installer, Designer (Conditional	1)	
Brian L Humpal	10/15/2020	
Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov	, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector	
Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2018	
Designer, Inspector		
	Anthony P Scully Installer, Designer (Conditional Brian L Humpal Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov Christopher R Uebe	

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Charles K Thompson, Supervisor Certification & Training Unit