

wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 3

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agenc requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements m			
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) a within 15 days			
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/7/2018			
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncomplianc (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	9)		
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Immin ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Compone ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Compone ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety to protect groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater g to protect groundwater		
	ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 21.029.21.22.0010		
Property address: 2811 Imperial Ave N Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Reason for inspection: property sale		
Property owner: Pat O'Malley or	Owner's phone: 651-777-8990		
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:		
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655		
Brief system description: Precast septic tank and gravity rock t			
Comments or recommendations:			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather determination of future system performance has been nor can be possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future	made due to unknown conditions during system construction,		
Inspector name: Tom Trooien	Certification number: 323		
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568		
Inspector signature: Tom Troocin	Phone number: 612-594-4496		
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments			
 Soil boring logs □ Other information (list): System/As-built drawing	☐ Forms per local ordinance		
www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 •	TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formation		

Co	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	stem discharges sewage to the bund surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ✓ Searched for surface outlet ✓ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home ✓ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ✓ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping 		
	stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No			
	stem causes sewage backup into relling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
sy	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public nealth and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	nments/Explanation: Ink Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of !			
	ompliance criteria:	oomponent nz or	Verification method(s):		
Sy	stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	⊠ Probed tank(s) bottom		
Se	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☑ Examined construction records☑ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)		
de	signed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
If y AI Sy	signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: restem is failing to protect gi	cates the	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)		
lf y AI Sy Co	signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ray "yes" answer above indi	cates the roundwater.	 □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 		
lf y AI Sy Co	signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indivisem is failing to protect gromments/Explanation: ther Compliance Condition	cates the roundwater.	 □ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 		
de: If y AI Sy Co	signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indivisem is failing to protect gromments/Explanation: Ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama	cates the roundwater. as – Compliance coraged, cracked, unsecur to immediately and additional control immediately additional control immediately and additional control immediately additional control immediately and additional control immediately additional control immediately additional control immediately additional control imm	□ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety.		
de If y AII syy Co	signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indivisem is failing to protect gromments/Explanation: Ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	cates the roundwater. as – Compliance coraged, cracked, unsecur to immediately and additional control immediately additional control immediately and additional control immediately additional control immediately and additional control immediately additional control immediately additional control immediately additional control imm	□ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety.		
de If y AII syy Co	signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above individed in the stem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation: Ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	cates the roundwater. as – Compliance coraged, cracked, unsecur to immediately and adaptive public health and sa	□ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) mponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely.		

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 8/7/2018

Property address: 2811 Imperial Ave N Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Property address: 2811 Imperial Ave N Lake I	Elmo, MN 55042	Inspector initials/Date: TT 8/7/2018 (mm/dd/yyyy)		
1. Soil Separation — Compliance co	omponent #4 of	5		
Date of installation: 9/7/1997 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage odging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local		
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.	red or local	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, peverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	 ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation) 		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
Non-performance systems built April 1,	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:		
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead		B-1 0-6 topsoil	10 YR 3/2	
Protection Areas or serving a food,		6-40 course sand		
everage, or lodging establishment:		40-51 sandy clay loam	5 YR 5/6	
Prainfield has a three-foot vertical eparation distance from periodically		51-72 course gravelly sand		
Evnerimental" "Other" or "Portermance"	□ Voc. □ No.	la dicata double ou double ou		
Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations		
r V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		A. Bottom of distribution media	32"	
icense required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	72"	
Prainfield meets the designed vertical eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation	40"	
Any "no" answer above indicates t		D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if a	36"	
Operating Permit and Nitroger Is the system operated under an Operating	ı BMP* – Compli		ot applicable	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogei	n BMP?	res ⊠ No If "yes", B below is require	ed	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified in the syste	em design		
If the answer to both questions is "r	o", this section (does not need to be completed		
	,			
Compliance criteria				
a. Operating Permit number: n/a		☐ Yes ☐ No		
Have the Operating Permit requirement				
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place		oning?		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	ompliance.			
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55, discontinued within ten months of receipt of this ground water, the system must be upgraded, rep is not failing as defined in law, and has at least to its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of	notice or within a short placed, or its use disco vo feet of design soil s	ter period if required by local ordinance. If the sy ntinued within the time required by local ordinan reparation, then the system need not be upgrade	stem is failing to prot ce. If an existing sys ed, repaired, replaced	

