Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 12810 4th St S, Afton, MN 55001

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the limited records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in approximately 1973) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal



Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

	Doc Type. Compliance and Emolement
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _9/4/2018	
	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to	public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent thr	eat to public health and safety
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwat	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro	_
 Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundw □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component # 	
	- Tremesmphant
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range	ne:
	or inspection: Property Transfer
Property owner: Pamela Lehmann Owner's	
or	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tative phone: _651-202-9980
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ry authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and rock trench drainfield.	
Comments or recommendations:	
Cantiliantian	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the of determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Brian Humpal/Christopher Uebe Certificati	on number:C5342/C9852
Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Licer	se number: L2896
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Man Pho	
Inspector signature: Pho	ne number: 651-492-7550
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	local ordinance
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Lic	
Troport outfilliary, I Toporty Illiothiation, Disciallier, Ele	01100

Property address: 12810 4th St S, Afton, MN 55001

Inspector initials/Date: 9/4/2018 **24**

1.	lm	Impact on Public Health — Compliance component #1 of 5								
	Co	Compliance criteria:			Ve	Verification method(s):				
·	Sy	rstem discharge sewage to the bund surface.	☐ Yes	⊠ No		☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/back				
		stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	 Excessive ponding in soil Homeowner testimony (Se "Black soil" above soil disp System requires "emerger Performed dye test 		(See Com	(See Comments/Explanation) lispersal system		
		rstem cause sewage backup into velling or establishment.	☐ Yes	⊠ No			-			
		Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)					
	Comments/Explanation: None of the above found.									
2.	Ta	ank Integrity — Compliance con	nponent	#2 of 5						
	Co	ompliance criteria:			Ve	rification method(s):			
	•	estem consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes	⊠ No		Probed tank(s) bottom				
		sspool, drywell, or leaching pit. epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be			_	Examined construction Examined Tank Integr		Attach)		
		mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.				Observed liquid level	-		lepth	
		ewage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		_	•		
		yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:								
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.				 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☑ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 					
	Comments/Explanation: Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK.									
3.	Other Compliance Conditions Compliance server and #2 of 5									
<u> </u>		Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow								
	a. h	_				•		⊠ No	☐ Unknown	
	 b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: 				Olikilowii					
	C.	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater								
	Explain:									

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Prop	perty address: 12810 4th St S, Afton, MN 5500	Inspector initials/Date: 9/4/2018				
4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5						
	Date of installation: 1973? Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	☐ Yes ☐ No	Verification method(s): Soil observation does not expire. Probservations by two independent parts.			
	Compliance criteria: For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,	☐ Yes ☐ No	unless site conditions have been all requirements differ. ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (in a previous verifications (Attaction of the comments of the com	tered or local Attach boring logs) ch boring logs) o drainfield) (Explanation)		
	beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No	A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	-	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation*			
_	Any "no" answer above indicates to Failing to Protect Groundwater.	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.				
5.	5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria					
	Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements to the description of the property of		☐ Yes ☐ No			
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and Any "no" answer indicates Noncom	? Yes No				

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats www.pca.state.mn.us • Page 3 of 3

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

Date of Inspection: September 4, 2018	Time: 9:00 AM			
Property Address: 12810 4 th St S, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001			
Property Owner: Pamela Lehmann	Phone:			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 □Fiberglass □Rock trench □Aerobic □Plastic □Gravelless trench □Lift □Metal □Chamber trench □Holding □Concrete □Seepage bed □Other: □Block □Mound □Other □At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \boxtimes Yes \square No *				
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole c				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	of the system.			
Year house built: 1965 Year septic installed: 1973?	Tank size (gals.):			
How long has seller owned the property? Number of	residents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by	gravity?			
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool ba	h?			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the	septic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other	buildings?			
	buildings?			
Location of septic system on lot? East Side				
Location of septic system on lot? East Side Location of water well on lot? North Side Is	the well a deep well? Y			
Location of septic system on lot? East Side	the well a deep well? Y as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,			
Location of septic system on lot? East Side Location of water well on lot? North Side Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, et to the system? If yes, explain: When was the system last pumped? 2013 Name of p	the well a deep well? Y as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, tc.; or have any repairs been made			
Location of septic system on lot? East Side Location of water well on lot? North Side Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, et to the system? If yes, explain: When was the system last pumped? 2013 Name of p	the well a deep well? Y as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, tc.; or have any repairs been made			
Location of septic system on lot? East Side Location of water well on lot? North Side Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, et to the system? If yes, explain: When was the system last pumped? 2013 Name of p How often pumped in previous years? Is system and previous years? Is system and previous years?	the well a deep well? Y as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, tc.; or have any repairs been made umper: em on a monitoring plan?			
Location of septic system on lot? East Side Location of water well on lot? North Side Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, et to the system? If yes, explain: When was the system last pumped? 2013 Name of p How often pumped in previous years? Is system	the well a deep well? Y as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, tc.; or have any repairs been made umper: em on a monitoring plan?			
Location of septic system on lot? East Side Location of water well on lot? North Side Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, et to the system? If yes, explain: When was the system last pumped? 2013 Name of p How often pumped in previous years? Is system and previous years? Is system and previous years?	the well a deep well? Y as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, tc.; or have any repairs been made umper: em on a monitoring plan? ning this system?			

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant:	Date:



Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 12810 4th St S, Afton, MN 55001						
		Inspect Minnesota		Date	9/4/18	
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket			Class	sification Systen	n: USDA	
Во	Boring Number: 1			Boring Number: 2		
Surface Elevation of Boring	Elevation of Same ground surface as last		Surface Elevation Boring	of Same gro	ound surface as last infield trench	
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	<u>Soils</u>	<u>Encountered</u>	
0-7 7-35 35-54 54-60 60-80	10YR 3/4 10YR 3/4 L Silt Lo 10YR 4, 10YR 4/4	/2 Silt Loam 4 Loamy Sand oamy Sand With oam Pieces /4 Fine Sand Fine Sand With 7.5YR 7/8 Redox	0-10 10-24 24-35 35-48 48-56	10YR 3/4 S 10YR 3/4 I Trac 10YR 4/4 Med Gravel ≈10 10YR 5/4	3/3 Silt Loam ilt Loam (Very Dry) Medium Sand With e Of Gravel um Coarse Sand With % Rock Fragments Fine Sand With 7.5YR 7/8 Redox	
60" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox		48"	Depth To End Of	Boring Or Redox		
Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System			Same	Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		
-48" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =12" Of Separation			-48" =0"			
Er	End Of Boring At: 80"			End Of Boring A	t: 56"	
Redox Present At: 60"				Redox Present A		
Standing Wa	ater Present At:	None	Standing	y Water Present A	t: None	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 48 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2018

Issued: 10/10/2017

es:

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #	Name	Certification Expire
C9633	Anthony P Scully	7/28/2018
	Installer, Designer (Conditional)	
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2020
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov,	Adv Designer, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2018
	Designer, Inspector	



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Charles & Thompson

Charles K Thompson, Supervisor Certification & Training Unit