Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 12652 Point Douglas Dr S, Denmark Twp, MN 55033

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the history of the system with the owner, Pete Nelson. I have contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This very old system (installed in 1973) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the history of the system with the owner, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:		
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days			
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):9/13/2018			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to the Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat the Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwate Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	eat to public health and safety er otect groundwater ater		
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rang	ge:		
Property address: 12652 Point Douglas Dr S, Denmark Twp, MN 55033 Reason f			
· · ·	ohone: 651-403-0306		
	itative phone:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655		
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Comments or recommendations:			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
Inspector name: Brian Humpal/Christopher Uebe Certificat	on number: _C5342/C9852		
Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Licer	se number: _L2896		
Inspector signature: Pho	ne number: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments			
	local ordinance		
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Lic			
- 1. 1			

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 1 of 3

Property address: 12652 Point Douglas Dr S, Denmark Twp, MN 55033 Inspector initials/Date: 9/13/2018

1.	lm	npact on Public Health – Cor	npliance	component #	1 of 5	
	Compliance criteria: System discharge sewage to the ground surface.			⊠ No	Verification method(s): ☐ Searched for surface outlet ☐ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home	
	Sy	stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxesHomeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)	
		stem cause sewage backup into velling or establishment.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	 □ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system □ System requires "emergency" pumping □ Performed dye test 	
		ny "yes" answer above indicates Imminent Threat to Public Head	•		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
		omments/Explanation: one of the above found.				
2.	Та	ank Integrity – Compliance con	nponent	#2 of 5		
	Co	ompliance criteria:			Verification method(s):	
		stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☑ Probed tank(s) bottom☐ Examined construction records	
		epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.			Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)Observed liquid level below operating depth	
	de	ewage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"	
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.					
	Comments/Explanation: Lowered underwater camera into tank - baffles and tank walls OK.					
3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5						
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown					
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety					
	Explain:					
	C.	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater				
	Explain:					

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 12652 Point Douglas Dr S, Denmark Twp, MN 55033

Inspector initials/Date: _9/13/2018

A. Bottom of distribution media Boring Lo C. System separation C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Lo Ordinance. D. Required compliance separation The May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Lo Ordinance. D. Required compliance separation The May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Lo Ordinance. Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	١.	Soil Separation — Compliance compor	nent #4 c	of 5			
Lodging?		Date of installation: 1973/1975	Unkr	nown	V	erification method(s):	
Compliance criteria: For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Weilhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least at two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, and protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Weilhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under pre-2008 Rules (7080, 2350 or 7080, 2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. See Attac Bottom of distribution media Boring Le C. System separation between the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. The produced up to 15 percent if allowed by Lordinance. Description of the system operated under an Operating Permit?			☐ Yes	⊠ No			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* Tainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or U systems built u					uı	nless site conditions have been a	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* Yes No No Notation Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* Yes No Notation Not	_	not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	⊠ Yes	□No		Conducted soil observation(s) (Two previous verifications (Atta Not applicable (Holding tank(s), r	nch boring logs) no drainfield)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required ls the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.					\boxtimes	Other (See Comments/Explanation	n)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Description: Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Description: "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" See Attac Boring Lot A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Lordinance. Ordinance. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	_	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,	☐ Yes	□No		•	ds.
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Description: Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Solution: Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	_	separation distance from periodically					
See Attact Boring Lot A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by LOT Ordinance. C. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	_		☐ Yes	□No	In	dicate depths of elevations	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by LOrdinance. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.		or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector			_A.	Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Dordinance. *No Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required *BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.		Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically				•	
Failing to Protect Groundwater. Ordinance. Ordinance. Ordinance. Ordinance. Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	_				D.	Required compliance separation*	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	ividy be reduced up to 10 percent in anowed by Eocal						
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?) <u>.</u>	Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	MP* – C	Complianc	e com	ponent #5 of 5 🔀 Not app	olicable
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	ŀ	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?					
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	ŀ	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?					
		BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design					
	I	f the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion does	s not r	need to be completed.	
Compliance criteria	(Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number:	_						
Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	_					∐ Yes ∐ No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No		b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?			☐ Yes ☐ No		
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.	_						

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3


Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: September 13, 2018	Time: 11:30 AM				
Property Address: 12652 Point Douglas Dr S, Denmark Twp, MN Zip: 55033					
Property Owner: Pete Nelson	Phone: 651-437-5000				
Tank(s) Tank(s) Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Other Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If no, performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system proper maintenance must be should be made accessible to				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the s	system.				
Year house built: 1973 Year septic installed: 1973/1975 Tank	k size (gals.): 1000				
How long has seller owned the property? 1973 Number of reside					
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gravit	ty? Y				
Garbage disposal? Y Whirlpool bath? N					
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N	0.37				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic	system? N				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N					
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? N					
Location of septic system on lot? Southeast Side					
Location of water well on lot? North Side	ll a deep well? Y				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? Y If yes, explain: Replaced tank in approximately 1975 after it being damaged by a contractor.					
When was the system last pumped? 8/2018 Name of pumper: Meyer Sewer Service					
How often pumped in previous years? Every 1-3 Is system on a monitoring plan? N					
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? N					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? N					

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Pete Nelson's Signature On File Date: 9/13/2018



Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 12652 Point Douglas Dr S, Denmark Twp, MN 55033					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota				Date:	9/13/18
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket			Classi	ification System:	USDA
E	Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface Elevation of Boring Same ground surface as last drainfield trench		Surface Elevation Boring			
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils Er	ncountered_
0-10 10-20 20-30 30-45 45-52 52-60 60-67	10YR 3 10YR 3 10YR 4/4 L 10YR 5/4 L 10YR 3/4 Loam ≈15% Ro 10YR 3/6	/2 Silt Loam /3 Silt Loam /4 Silt Loam oamy Fine Sand oamy Fine Sand ny Sand With Gravel ock Fragments Medium Sand sal At 67"			
67" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox			Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox	
Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System			Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System	
-40" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media				of Distribution Media	
≥27" Of Separation			Of Separation		
End Of Boring At: 67"			End Of Boring At:		
	Redox Present At:	None		Redox Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None			Standing	Water Present At:	
Ctantaing V	Tatal Francisco Att	None	Starianing	acci i i cociic Ati	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 40 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2018

Issued: 10/10/2017

es:

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #	Name	Certification Expire
C9633	Anthony P Scully	7/28/2018
	Installer, Designer (Conditional)	
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2020
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov,	Adv Designer, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2018
	Designer, Inspector	



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Charles & Thompson

Charles K Thompson, Supervisor Certification & Training Unit