Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O

Inspection Address: 9700 68th Court N, Grant, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2013, which were on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1986) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

Predicated on my inspection of the system, my review of the history of the system with the owner, and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

	Doc Type. Compliance and Emolcement		
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:		
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days			
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):10/23/2018			
	mpliant – Notice of Noncompliance grade Requirements on page 3)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)			
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat t	o public health and safety		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent the			
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwa	ter		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro	otect groundwater		
☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundw			
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	#5) – Noncompliant		
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Ran	ge:		
Property address: 9700 68 th Court N, Grant, MN 55082 Reason f	or inspection: Property Transfer		
Property owner: Danielle Jeffrey Owner's	Owner's phone: 651-210-4121		
or			
	Representative phone:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655		
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.			
Comments or recommendations:			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
Inspector name: Brian Humpal/Christopher Uebe Certification	ion number:C5342/C9852		
Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Lice	nse number: L2896		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal for the Pho	one number: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments			
	local ordinance		
	local ordinance		
	JE119E		

Property address: 9700 68th Court N, Grant, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: 10/23/2018

1.	lm	Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5					
	Sygro Sygro Sydw All ar	ompliance criteria: vistem discharge sewage to the ound surface. vistem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters. vistem cause sewage backup into vielling or establishment. my "yes" answer above indicates in Imminent Threat to Public Heal of Imminent Sexplanation: one of the above found.	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☒ No	Verification method(s): Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
2.	Ta	ank Integrity – Compliance com	nponent #2 of 5				
	Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	Sy	stem consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ⊠ No	□ Probed tank(s) bottom			
		sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		Examined construction records			
		epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
		ewage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
		yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	A	ny "yes" answer above indica /stem is Failing to Protect Gro		 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☑ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 			
3.	Comments/Explanation: Lowered underwater camera into tank - baffles and tank walls OK. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5						
	a.	Maintenance hole covers are damaged	d, cracked, unsecured, or ap	pear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown			
	b.						
		Explain:					
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater Explain:						

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Inspector initials/Date: 10/23/2018 Property address: 9700 68th Court N, Grant, MN 55082 4. Soil Separation - Compliance component #4 of 5 Date of installation: 1986 Unknown Verification method(s): Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil Lodging? observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local Compliance criteria: requirements differ. ☐ Yes ☐ No For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and □ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) beverage or lodging establishment: ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) separation distance from periodically ○ Other (See Comments/Explanation) saturated soil or bedrock. Non-performance systems built April 1, Comments/Explanation: 1996, or later or for non-performance Reviewed previous compliance inspection from 2013. systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, Reviewed design and permit records. beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* ☐ Yes ☐ No "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" Indicate depths of elevations systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV See Attached or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. A. Bottom of distribution media Boring Log(s) 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically C. System separation saturated soil or bedrock. D. Required compliance separation* Any "no" answer above indicates the system is *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Failing to Protect Groundwater. Ordinance. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable ☐ Yes ⊠ No Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria a. Operating Permit number: ☐ Yes ☐ No Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

☐ Yes ☐ No


651-296-6300 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats www.pca.state.mn.us • 800-657-3864 Page 3 of 3

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of co.	nducting an Wi CA Compilance inspection.			
Date of Inspection: October 23, 2018	Time: 2:00 PM			
Property Address: 9700 68 th Court N, Grant, MN	Zip: 55082			
Property Owner: Danielle Jeffrey	Phone: 651-210-4121			
	Experimental system aber trench			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)				
performed through the maintenance holes. Mainten				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper ma	aintenance of the system.			
Year house built: 1967 Year septic installed:	1986 Tank size (gals.): 1250			
How long has seller owned the property?	Number of residents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors	s drained by gravity? Y			
<u> </u>	hirlpool bath?			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles conn	ected to the septic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages of	or out-buildings connected to this system?			
Are there any additional systems on this property se	rving other buildings?			
Location of septic system on lot? South Side				
Location of water well on lot? North Side	Is the well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 2017 Name of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this papert, that I/way are ultimately representable for payment of all food for all work performed relative to this inspection.				

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

0 10	~
Owner/Occupant:	Date:



Log Of Soil Borings

Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota Auger Used: Hand/Bucket Boring Number: Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches	Location of Project: 9700 68th Court N, Grant, MN 55082					
Surface Elevation of Boring Opential Soils Encountered O-7 7-15 15-26 15-26 26-80 80" Depth To End Of Boring Neck Fragments					Date:	10/23/18
Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches 0-7 7-15 15-26 26-80 80" Depth To End Of Boring Relative To System -48" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥32" Of Separation End Of Boring At: Roy Inches desired trench Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Soils Encountered Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Soils Encountered Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Elevation Of Boring Or Redox Elevation Of Boring Relative To System Elevation Of Boring Relative To System Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Elevation Of Boring Relative To System Elevation Of Boring Or Redox Elevation				Class	ification System:	USDA
Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches O-7 7-15 15-26 15-26 26-80 Bo" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Same Elevation Of Boring Or Redox Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System -48" Depth To Bottom Of Boring At: Redox Present At: Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Depth To Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Depth To Inches Soils Encountered Inches		Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered 0-7 7-15 15-26 7-578 2.5/3 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 4/3 Fine Loamy Sand With Gravel ≈ 10% Rock Fragments 7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam With Gravel ≈10% Rock Fragments 26-80 7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam With Gravel ≈10% Rock Fragments 80" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System -48" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥32" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: 80" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:	Elevation	of Same grou		Elevation		
7-15 15-26 15-26 7.5YR 4/3 Fine Loamy Sand With Gravel ≈ 10% Rock Fragments 7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam With Gravel ≈10% Rock Fragments 26-80 80" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System -48" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥32" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: None 80" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Elevation Of Boring Relative To System Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Performance End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: None Redox Present At: Redox Present At:	•	Soils E	ncountered		Soils En	countered
SameElevation Of Boring Relative To SystemElevation Of Boring Relative To System-48"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution MediaDepth To Bottom Of Distribution Media≥32"Of SeparationOf SeparationEnd Of Boring At: Redox Present At:80"End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:	0-7 7-15 15-26 26-80	7.5YR 2.5, 7.5YR 4/3 Fine Gravel ≈ 10% 7.5YR 3/4 Sand	/3 Sandy Loam Loamy Sand With Rock Fragments Ly Loam With Gravel			
-48" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥32" Of Separation End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: None Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:	80"	Depth To End Of B	oring Or Redox		Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
≥32" Of Separation End Of Boring At: 80" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:	Same	Elevation Of Boring	g Relative To System		Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System
End Of Boring At: 80" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:					f Distribution Media	
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:	≥32"				Of Separation	
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:		End Of Boring At:	80"		End Of Boring At:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-		
•				Standing		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 48 Inches	

Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 9700 68th Court N, Grant, MN 55082					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota				Date:	1/24/13 & 1/27/13
	Auger Used:	Hand/Bucket	Classification System: USDA		
Во	ring Number:	1		Boring Number:	2
Surface		98.60'	Surface		
Elevation of		= 100.00' garage	Elevation		97.80'
Boring	floor at	overhead door	Boring		
Depth In	Soils Er	ncountered	Depth In	Soils E	ncountered
Inches 0-5	10YR	2/2 Loam	Inches 0-6	7 5YR	2.5/2 Loam
	7.5YR 3/4 Loan	n With Trace Gravel sal At 64"	6-22 22-50 50-102	7.5 ⁻ 7.5YR	YR 2.5/3 R 3/4 Loam Sandy Loam
92.30' Ele	vation To Botto	m Of Drainfield	92.30'	Elevation To Bottor	n Of Drainfield
-93.27' Depth To Redox Or End Of Boring			-89.30' Depth To Redox Or End Of Boring		
Of Separation			≥3'/36"	Of Separation	
Fn	d Of Boring At:	64"		End Of Boring At:	102"
	dox Present At:	None	Redox Present At: None		
Standing Water Present At: None			Standing Water Present At: None		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 48" Or Elevation 92.30' At Soil Probe

LOG OF SOIL BORINGS

	RING NO. /		ING NO.		ING NO. 3	BORI	NO NO. 4
DEPTH IN PERT	DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PEET	DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PERT	BOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PEST	SOIL DESCRIPTION
0	DARKSTOWN	0	OACKBROW	0	OACE 1500 WH	0	DAKK BAIN
	RISIE SANDY	ļ	piùa saus		RING SAWAY		Kind Endry
	unm	 	come		10 m		40 am
	- ·	6-		6		۰۰جی	
7.	†						
	Brown Rial		at Mound		CT. 1820 Growing		atidiowal
	Samy comm		Kind landy		Find lonmy		40 mg
ļ	JROCES		FRECES		VROCKS		UMOCKS
ļ	4		- 4. ,				1
1,2"		 					
ļ	CT. Brown	16		36.	e7°,	200	
	Ride leamy		I.Res Brown		REE BIOWN	38	
	Sure.		Saway com		smuy wan	I	T.RED
22			Victoria				Brown Ring
ļ	RLO 8-0W4			46			V MACKS
	sound com	<u> </u>			ceo bown		
	FROCKS		ļ.		Sausy Com		- 1
 			-		yrocks		
			j -		-	52"	
			1-		J -		TONWA
	j		}-		 -	——- <i>,</i>	ind conney
	ŀ		-		<u> </u>		SAMO,
	j		J		 -		(com (miss)
	ŀ		-		F		rocks
					-	61"	
-	}		 -		-		ted drown
			-		-	 {	Shop comy
	 		-				WEFERS
	t		} -		 -	615"	1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-		-	5.5	
	<u>+</u>		 		⊢		todopum tomos le ous
	 		 -		+	 	weeks
	-		 		 		ı
	F		-		-	 	
g: o· ·	-	9:0-		<u>ان : ا</u>	<u> </u>		Į
	140 P .	640			7	· •	

C40 8. 1

•••••

ENC. 8. =

640 8.5

Obstruction:

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2018

Issued: 10/10/2017

es:

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #	Name	Certification Expir
C9633	Anthony P Scully	7/28/2018
	Installer, Designer (Conditional)	
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2020
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov,	Adv Designer, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2018
	Designer, Inspector	



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Charles & Thompson

Charles K Thompson, Supervisor Certification & Training Unit