1 of 10

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear I	Brian Humpal				
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwes	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector				
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT					
Date: December 5, 2018	Time: 10:15 AM	Owner: Chet Moutrie			
Inspection Address: 4855 Jerome Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN Site Conditions: 3" Snow 3" Frost					

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This very old system (installed in 1985) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

(SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:					
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days						
System Status						
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): <u>12/5/2018</u>						
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)						
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)						
Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to	public health and safety					
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent three	eat to public health and safety					
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate	er					
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro	tect groundwater					
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa	ater					
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #	ŧ5) – Noncompliant					

Property Information

Parcel	ID# or	Sec/	Twp/Range:

Property address:	4855 J	erome Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Reason for inspection:	Property Transfer
Property owner:	Chet Mo	utrie	Owner's phone: 651	-770-5647
or				
Owner's representation	ative:		Representative phone:	
Local regulatory au	thority:	Washington County	Regulatory authority pl	none: 651-770-5647
Brief system descri	ption:	A pre-cast septic tank with a rock trench	drainfield.	
A				

Comments or recommendations:

Certification

wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name: Brian Humpal/Christopher Uebe					Certification number:	С	C5342/C9852	
Business name:	Inspect	t Minnesota, N	lidwe	st Soil Testing		License number:	L	2896
Inspector signatur	re:	Brian ;	Hu	mpal Africa	_1/1	Phone number:	6	51-492-7550
Necessary or	Local	ly Require	d A	ttachment	S			
🛛 Soil boring lo	ogs	🛛 Syst	em/A	s-built drawing	J	🗌 Forms per local ordina	nce	
🛛 Other inform	ation (lis	st): Report S	umn	ary, Property I	nforn	nation, Disclaimer, License		
www.pca.state.mn.	us •	651-296-6300	•	800-657-3864	•	TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864	•	Available in alternative formats

1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	 Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	□ Yes ⊠ No □ Yes ⊠ No	 Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.		 Denomination dynamic dyna

2. Tank Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:	-	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	□ Yes	No No
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.

Comments/Explanation:

None of the above found.

Lowered underwater camera into tank - baffles and tank walls OK.

Verification method(s):

- Probed tank(s) bottom
 Examined construction records
 Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
 Observed liquid level below operating
- □ Observed liquid level below operating depth
- Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
- Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

a.	Maintenance hole covers are damaged	, cracked, unsecured,	or appear to structurally unsound.	□ Yes*	🖾 No	Unknov
a.	Maintenance noie covers are damaged	, clackeu, unseculeu,	, or appear to structurally unsound.			

b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ⊠ No *System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation: 1985	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Soil observation does not expire. Pro observations by two independent pa	
Compliance criteria:	1	unless site conditions have been alt	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	⊠ Yes 🗋 No	 requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (A Two previous verifications (Attac Not applicable (Holding tank(s), not Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation, Other (See Comments/Explanation, 	h boring logs) o drainfield) Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	🗌 Yes 📋 No	Comments/Explanation: Reviewed design and permit records	5.
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Indicate depths of elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation	
		D. Required compliance separation*	
Any "no" answer above indicates t Failing to Protect Groundwater.	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Local
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	MP* – Compliance	e component #5 of 5 🛛 🛛 Not appl	icable

 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?
 ☐ Yes ☑ No
 If "yes", A below is required

 Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?
 ☐ Yes ☑ No
 If "yes", B below is required

BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria

5.

a.	Operating Permit number:	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information


This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: December 5, 2018	Time: 10:15 AM					
Property Address: 4855 Jerome Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042					
Property Owner: Chet Moutrie	Phone: 651-770-5647					
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system					
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \Box Yes \boxtimes No *If reperformed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of th	ers should be made accessible to					
Year house built: 1985 Year septic installed: 1985	Fank size (gals.): 1250					
*	sidents in home?					
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gr	ravity? Lower Pumped					
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?						
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?						
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?						
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu Location of septic system on lot? North Side	ildings?					
	well a deep well? Y					
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as:						
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:						
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service					
	on a monitoring plan?					
Have you received notices from any government agency concernin	ng this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N						
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner'?					

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant:

6 of 10



	tion of Duciest	AOFE Jamama Ava N			
		4855 Jerome Ave N,	саке сипо,	Date:	17/5/10
DU		Inspect Minnesota Hand/Bucket	Classification System:		12/5/18 USDA
			Class		USDA
	oring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface	Same grou	Ind surface as last	Surface		
Elevation of		nfield trench	Elevation	of	
Boring			Boring		
Depth In	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In	Soils Er	countered
Inches			Inches		
0-24 24-33		2 Sandy Loam /3 Silt Loam			
		am (Moist) Intermixed			
55 11		7.5YR 4/4			
41-50	7.5YR 4/4	Clay Loam With			
		Silt Coatings			
50-56		ny Sand With Gravel ments & Iron Nodules			
56-60		bam (Saturated) With			
50 00		10YR 6/2 Redox			
56" D	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox		Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
	-	g Relative To System		•	Relative To System
		-			
	<u>epth_lo_Bottom (</u> f Separation	Of Distribution Media		<u>Depth To Bottom C</u> Of Separation	of Distribution Media
E	nd Of Boring At:	60"		End Of Boring At:	
	edox Present At:	56"		Redox Present At:	
	ater Present At:			Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 25 Inches

8/7/85

Lot 6 Block 2


-SOIL BORINGS-

Soil borings are made in order to determine the type and structure of soils at various depths as well as the location of the water table, impervious strata or bedrock.

Borings are most easily made with a hand auger, however other expedients may be utilized - back hoe, post hole auger, etc.

Soils encountered at various depths should be listed as to appearance, texture and composition.

Depth at which water, bedrock or heavy clay layer is encountered should be recorded.



LOG OF SOIL BORINGS

BORI	NG NO. I	BORI	NG NO. 2	BORI	NG NO. 3	BORIN	IG NO. 4
DEPTH IN FEET	SOIL	OEPTH IN FEET	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN FEET	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN FEET	SOIL DESCRIPTION
0	Ve	ry O Dar	k Grayish Bi	own O L	amy Fine Sand	0	
1/2		1/2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1/2	a second a s	1/2	
1	Light Grayish	1	Light Grayish	ł	Brown	1	Dark Brown
11/2	Brown Loamy Fine	. 11/2	Brown	11/2	·	11/2	Loamy Fine
2	Sand	2	Loamy Fine	2	Loamy Fine	2	Sand
21/2	Dark Brown	21/2	Sand	21/2	Sand	21/2	
3	Learne Drover	3		3	alad a lay a survey for (10) major distances, constraints a fill front to targe 1007 by alayment of	3	
31/2	· · ·	31/2	Dark Brown	31/2		31/2	
4	Silt Loam	4		4	Dark Brown	4	Dark Brown
41/2		41/2	Silt Loam	41/2		41/2	
5		5		5		5	Cond
51/2	Dark Brown	51/2		51/2		51/2	Sand,
6	Sand	6	Mottling	6	Sand,	6	
61/2	, ·	61/2	Depth: 66"	61/2	Loamy Sand	61/2	Loamy Sand
7	Mottling	7	-	7		7	,
71/2	Depth: 73"	71/2		71/2		71/2	v
8	1	8] .	8		8	
81/2		81/2	. .	81/2		81/2	•
9]	9		9	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9	

8 of 10

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License Expires: 12/22/2019

Issued: 11/20/2018

Specialty Area(s):

License # L2896

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires:
C9633	Anthony P Scully	3/5/2020
•	Installer, Designer (Apprentice)	
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2023
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv D	esigner, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2021
	Designer, Inspector	

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit