#### **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** January 21, 2019 **Time:** 9:30 AM **Owner:** Tom LaCasse

Inspection Address: 13375 Elmcrest Ave, Hugo, MN Site Conditions: 0" Snow 24" Frost

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the history of the system with the owner, Tom LaCasse. I have contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This very old system (installed in 1976) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



## **Compliance Inspection Form**

## Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

,	
<b>Instructions:</b> Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 1/21/2019	
	pliant – Notice of Noncompliance ade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent three Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	eat to public health and safety er tect groundwater tter
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range	e:
	r inspection: Property Transfer
Property owner: Tom LaCasse Owner's p	hone: 612-581-0436
or	
•	tative phone:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.	
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the condetermination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Brian Humpal/Christopher Uebe Certification	on number: <u>C5342/C9852</u>
Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Licens	se number: _L2896
Inspector signature: Brian Thumpal fiftee the Phor	ne number: _ 651-492-7550
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring logs	ocal ordinance
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Lice	

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 1 of 3

Property address: 13375 Elmcrest Ave, Hugo, MN 55110

Inspector initials/Date: 1/21/2019 84 CM

1.	Impact on Public Health – C	ompliance component	: #1 of 5			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>☑ Searched for surface outlet</li> <li>☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li> <li>☑ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☑ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>			
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No				
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li> <li>☐ System requires "emergency" pumping</li> <li>☐ Performed dye test</li> </ul>			
	Any "yes" answer above indicat an Imminent Threat to Public He		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation: None of the above found.					
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance co	omponent #2 of 5				
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☑ Examined construction records</li></ul>			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		<ul><li>Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li><li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li></ul>			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	Any "yes" answer above indi system is Failing to Protect 6		<ul> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>			
3.	Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition	<b>ns</b> – Compliance com	ponent #3 of 5			
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damag	ged, cracked, unsecured,	or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown			
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety					
	Explain:					
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater  Explain:					

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

ор	erty address: 13375 Elmcrest Ave, Hugo, MN	I 55110			Inspector initials/Date: _ 1	121/2019 <b>BH</b> ()
	Soil Separation — Compliance compor	nent #4 c	of 5			
	Date of installation: 1976	Unkr	nown	V	erification method(s):	
	Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?  Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes	⊠ No	ol	oil observation does not expire. Foservations by two independent paless site conditions have been a	parties are sufficie
_	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	Yes	⊠ No	re ⊠	quirements differ.  Conducted soil observation(s) Two previous verifications (Attalian) Not applicable (Holding tank(s), Unable to verify (See Comments) Other (See Comments/Explanation)	(Attach boring logs) ach boring logs) no drainfield) s/Explanation)
=	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□No	_ C	omments/Explanation:	
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
_	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes	□No		Bottom of distribution media	See Attacher Boring Log(s
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			C.	Periodically saturated soil/bedrock  System separation	
_	Any "no" answer above indicates to Failing to Protect Groundwater.  Operating Permit and Nitrogen B.			*N	Required compliance separation*  May be reduced up to 15 percent ordinance.  Ponent #5 of 5 Not app	·
	s the system operated under an Operating Pen				If "yes", A below is required	
ı	s the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	IP?	☐ Yes		•	
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system des			esign	•		
ı	f the answer to both questions is "no",		-	•	need to be completed.	
(	Compliance criteria					
_	Operating Permit number:     Have the Operating Permit requirements by	oeen met'	?		☐ Yes ☐ No	
-	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and			q?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
				_	. — · · · <b>—</b> · · ·	

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 www.pca.state.mn.us • wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3


## Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: January 21, 2019	Time: 9:30 AM			
Property Address: 13375 Elmcrest Ave, Hugo, M	N Zip: 55110			
Property Owner: Tom LaCasse	Phone: 612-581-0436			
	relless trench			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? $\boxtimes$ Ye				
performed through the maintenance holes. Mainter				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper m	aintenance of the system.			
Year house built: 1976 Year septic installed	: 1976 Tank size (gals.): 1250			
<u> </u>	Number of residents in home? 1-7			
	rs drained by gravity? Y			
Garbage disposal? N V	Vhirlpool bath? N			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles com	nected to the septic system? N			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? N				
Location of septic system on lot? West Side				
Location of water well on lot? East Side	Is the well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? N If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 2016	Name of pumper: Smilie's Sewer Service			
How often pumped in previous years? Every 3	Is system on a monitoring plan? N			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? N				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? N				

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Tom LaCasse's Signature On File Date: 1/21/2019



#### **Log Of Soil Borings**

Loc	Location of Project: 13375 Elmcrest Ave, Hugo, MN 55110				
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota			Date:	1/21/19	
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Class	ification System:	USDA	
	Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface Elevation Boring	of Same grou	und surface as last ofield trench	Surface Elevation Boring		
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils En	countered
0-10 10-21 21-36	10YR 3/ 7.5YR 7.5YR 4/4 Iron Nodules, 0	2/2 Loam /4 Loam With . 5/8 Redox Clay Loam With Calcium Carbonates, nd 10YR 6/2 Redox			
10"	Depth To End Of B	oring Or Redox		Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
Same	Elevation Of Boring	g Relative To System		Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System
-32" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			Depth To Bottom O	f Distribution Media	
=0"	Of Separation			Of Separation	
	E 100B :	25"		E 106B	
	End Of Boring At:			End Of Boring At:	
Redox Present At: 10"		O: ':	Redox Present At:		
Standing	Water Present At:	None	Standing	Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 32 Inches	

#### **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

# Business License

## Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2019

Issued: 11/20/2018

### Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

## **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires:
C9633	Anthony P Scully	3/5/2020
	Installer, Designer (Apprentice)	, v , v
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2023
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv	Designer, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2021
	Designer, Inspector	



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit