### **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

P.O. Box 383 Hugo	, MN 55038	Brian Humpal				
651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com		MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector				
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT						
Date: May 11, 2016         Time: 11:15 AM         Owner: Rich Fuller						
Inspection Address: 8875 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042						

### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system, have reviewed the history of the system with the owner, Rich fuller, and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This very old system (installed in 1979) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the outlet baffle on the septic tank is missing and should be replaced as soon as possible. Additionally, a retaining wall wall and fill soil has been placed over the drainfield. This work has most likely negatively impacted the system and reduced the life expectancy of the system due to the soil compaction that has occurred from the construction and placement of the fill. Please note that it is impossible for me to guarantee the future performance of this system or any system.

Predicated on my inspection of the system, my review of the history of the system with the owner, and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA <u>minimum</u> compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpol

Brian Humpal

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	-	e Inspection Form
520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194	-	(SSTS)
		Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement
Instructions: Inspection results based on Mi requirements and attached forms – additional		For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of G within 15 days	Government (LUG) and system owner	
System Status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy	):5/11/2016	
Compliant – Certificate of (Valid for 3 years from report date, frame outlined in Local Ordinance.	, unless shorter time (See Upg	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance <i>(c</i>	heck all applicable)	
Impact on Public Health (Compl	iance Component  #1) – Imminent threat to	public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (C	Compliance Component #3) – Imminent thr	eat to public health and safety
Tank Integrity (Compliance Con	nponent  #2) – Failing to protect groundwat	er
Other Compliance Conditions (C	Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro	tect groundwater

Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant

### **Property Information**

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Property address:	8875 42nd St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Reason for inspection: Property Sale
Property owner:	Rich Fuller	Owner's phone: 651-777-0019
or		
Owner's represent	ative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory a	uthority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-4052
Brief system descr	iption: Pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drai	infield.

Comments or recommendations:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the outlet baffle on the septic tank is missing and should be replaced as soon as possible. Additionally, a retaining wall wall and fill soil has been placed over the drainfield. This work has most likely negatively impacted the system and reduced the life expectancy of the system due to the soil compaction that has occurred from the construction and placement of the fill. Please note that it is impossible for me to guarantee the future performance of this system or any system.

### Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name:	Brian Humpal	Certification number:	L5342
Business name:	Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing	License number:	L2896
Inspector signatur	e: Brian Humpal	Phone number:	651-492-7550

### **Necessary or Locally Required Attachments**

🛛 Soil boring logs	🛛 System/As-built drawing	Forms per local ordinance
Other information (list):	Report Summary, Property Information	, Disclaimer, License

### 1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	<ul> <li>Searched for surface outlet</li> <li>Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li> </ul>
System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	<ul> <li>Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>
System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	<ul> <li>"Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li> <li>System requires "emergency" pumping</li> <li>Performed dye test</li> </ul>
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety		<ul> <li>Denomination dynamics</li> <li>Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>

Comments/Explanation:

None of the above found.

A retaining wall wall and fill soil has been placed over the drainfield. This work has most likely negatively impacted the system and reduced the life expectancy of the system due to the soil compaction that has occurred from the construction and placement of the fill. Please note that it is impossible for me to guarantee the future performance of this system or any system.

### 2. Tank Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	<ul> <li>Probed tank(s) bottom</li> <li>Examined construction records</li> </ul>
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		<ul> <li>Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> </ul>
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Commonte/Evalenation		

Comments/Explanation:

Lowered underwater camera into tank - tank walls OK.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the outlet baffle on the septic tank is missing and should be replaced if the system is to remain in operation.

### 3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

a.	Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.	□ Yes*	🛛 No	🗌 Unknown

b. Other issues (*electrical hazards, etc.*) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. **System is an imminent threat to public health and safety** 

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector  $\Box$  Yes\*  $\boxtimes$  No \*System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

### 4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation: 1979	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous s observations by two independent parties are	
Compliance criteria:		unless site conditions have been alt	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	⊠ Yes □ No	<ul> <li>requirements differ.</li> <li>Conducted soil observation(s) (A</li> <li>Two previous verifications (Attac</li> <li>Not applicable (Holding tank(s), not</li> <li>Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>Other (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>	h boring logs) o drainfield) Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	<i>Comments/Explanation:</i> Reviewed design and permit records	S.
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Indicate depths of elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation	
		D. Required compliance separation*	
Any "no" answer above indicates t Failing to Protect Groundwater.	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Loca
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	<b>MP*</b> – Complianc	e component #5 of 5 🛛 🖂 Not appl	icable
Is the system operated under an Operating Per	mit? 🗌 Yes	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	IP? 🗌 Yes	⊠ No If "yes", B below is required	
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specif	ied in the system de	sign	
If the answer to both questions is "no",	this section does	s not need to be completed.	
Compliance criteria		-	

a.	Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

### Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

**Upgrade Requirements** (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) *An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.* 

### <u>Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing</u>

### Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information


This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: May 11, 2016	Time: 11:15 AM				
Draw and Address 9975 400 d St N. J. also Fluxe MA	7				
Property Address: 8875 42nd St N, Lake Elmo, MN	· · ·				
Property Owner: Rich Fuller	Phone: 651-777-0019				
SepticFiberglassRock tAerobicPlasticGrave	less trench  Experimental system    ber trench  Cesspool system    ge bed  Other system    1				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? $\Box$ Yes					
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintena	nce hole covers should be made accessible to				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper ma	intenance of the system.				
Year house built: 1979 Year septic installed:	1979 Tank size (gals.): 1250				
How long has seller owned the property? 1983	Number of residents in home? 2				
	drained by gravity? Y				
Garbage disposal? Y Wh	nirlpool bath? N				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N					
Are any buildings on this property such as garages of	out-buildings connected to this system? N				
Are there any additional systems on this property ser	ving other buildings? N				
Location of septic system on lot? South Side					
Location of water well on lot? N/A	Is the well a deep well? City Water				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the sy	stem such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,				
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank ove	rflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made				
to the system? N If yes, explain:					
When was the system last summad? 2015	Name of avances Dialasta Couver Convice				
	Name of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years? Every 1	Is system on a monitoring plan? N				
Have you received notices from any government age Is your property located in a shoreland management					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? N					
	Se given to the new Owner! IN				

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Rich Fuller's Signature On File

Date: 5/11/2016



### Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 8875 42nd St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota		Date:		5/11/16	
		Hand/Bucket	Classification System:		USDA
B	oring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface Elevation of Boring	Same grou	und surface as last nfield trench	Surface Elevation o Boring	_	
Depth In Inches	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils En	icountered
0-8 8-27 27-47 47-62 62-70	7.5YR 3/ 10YR 4/3 Loa Trace C 7.5YR 3/3 10YR 4/3 Loa 7.5YR 4/4 7.5YR 4/4 Fine 5YR 4/4 Fine Sa 7.5YR 3/4 Loa	<ul> <li>/3 Medium Sand</li> <li>3 Loamy Sand</li> <li>my Fine Sand With</li> <li>of Gravel And</li> <li>Lamellae Bands</li> <li>my Fine Sand With</li> <li>Lamellae Bands</li> <li>Sandy Loam (Moist)</li> <li>andy Loam (Moist) With</li> <li>7/8 Redox</li> <li>amy Fine Sand With</li> <li>arse Sand Layers</li> </ul>			
70" De	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	C	Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
Same El	evation Of Borin	g Relative To System	E	Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System
	epth To Bottom ( f Separation	Of Distribution Media		Depth To Bottom O Df Separation	f Distribution Media
Ei	nd Of Boring At:	80"		End Of Boring At:	
	edox Present At:	70"		Redox Present At:	
Standing W	ater Present At:	None	Standing	Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: ≈35 Inches

### **DISCLAIMER**

### Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems



Non-transferable

### License # L2896

Date of Issuance: Maintainer License Expires: Installer License Expires: Adv Inspector License Expires: Adv Designer License Expires:

### Oct 28, 2015 Dec 22, 2016 Dec 22, 2016 Dec 22, 2016 Dec 22, 2016

# **Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing**

Designated Certified Individual (DCI)	Brian L. Humpal	Christopher R. Uebe	Christopher R. Uebe				
Ĩ Ď	B	B	B	Б	Ы	Ъ	Ъ

Certificatio Expires		10/15/2017	10/15/2017	 10/15/2017		
	-			 	$\mathbf{U}$	$\sim$

Ä



## **Minnesota Pollution Control Agency**

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194



Road North

Steven Giddings Manager Environmental Business Assistance Section