ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Roy Childers 18550 July Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025

5/21/2019

Dear Roy Childers,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. Proper care and maintenance of the system can prolong lifespan – see https://septic.umn.edu/septic-system-owners for more information. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also app Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system within 15 days System Status						
	ncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance e Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)					
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant						
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec Property address:18550 July Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025	:/Twp/Range: Reason for inspection: Sale					
Property owner: Roy Childers	Owner's phone: 651-492-0608					
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:					
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655					
Brief system description: 1500 gallon septic tank, 1000 gallon lift station,	gravelless pipe drop box drainfield					
Comments or recommendations: Certification						
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due	to unknown conditions during system construction,					
possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke	ge. Certification number: C9594					
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	License number: L119					
Inspector signature:	Phone number: 651-249-1346					
Necessary or Legally Poquired Attachments						
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments ☐ Soil boring logs ☐ System/As-built drawing ☐ I ☐ Other information (list):	Forms per local ordinance					

			(mm/dd/yyyy)
1.	Impact on Public Health – C	ompliance compon	ent #1 of 5
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
5	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☒ Searched for surface outlet☒ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	Comments/Explanation:		
	Roy has not had any issues with the sy	/stem.	
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of 5	
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Probed tank(s) bottom
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		Examined construction recordsExamined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
	compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth
	designed operating depth.	Lies Milo	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
	Any "yes" answer above indi system is failing to protect gr		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	Comments/Explanation: Present for pumping by Smilies Sewer	E/17/2010	
	Present for pumping by Similes Sewer	3/1/12019.	
3.	Other Compliance Condition		
			d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to		ersely impact public health or safety.
	Explain:		
	c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect ground		ns as determined by inspector . Yes* No
	Explain:		

Property address: 18550 July Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025

Inspector initials/Date: BZ | 5/21/2019

Property address: 18550 July Ave N Forest La	Inspector initials/Date:	Inspector initials/Date: BZ 5/21/2019			
			(mm/dd/yyyy)		
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	mponent #4 of 5				
Date of installation: 7/22/1992 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local			
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □ No	□ Conducted soil observation(s) (A)	ttach boring logs)		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food.		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)			
beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)			
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations			
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	96.4'		
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector			00.51		
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	93.5'		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	2.9'		
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	2.0'		
Any "no" answer above indicates t	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	f allowed by Local		
failing to protect groundwater.		Ordinance.			
5. Operating Permit and Nitroger	BMP* - Complian	nce component #5 of 5	Not applicable		
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	□ No If "yes", A below is requi	red		
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge	n BMP?	No If "yes", B below is requi	red		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified in the system	design			
If the answer to both questions is "r	no", this section do	es not need to be completed.			
Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number:					
Have the Operating Permit requirement	ents been met?	☐ Yes ☐ No] No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place		ng? Yes No			
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc					
Ungrado Poquiromente (Minn, Stat. & 115.55). An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITDHS) must be ungraded, replaced, or its use					

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 3 of 3

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

18550 July Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

5/17/2019

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-12"	10YR 3/3 loamy fine sand		
12-54"	10YR 4/4 medium sand, 0-5% coarse fragments		
54-78"	10YR 5/4 coarse sand, 5-10% coarse fragments no redox		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tabl Present at Standing water not pi Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not prese Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
O	Teet	O	feet
End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth present in hole feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth

