1 of 10

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

| P.O. Box 10853 White Be | ar Lake, MN 55110 | Brian Humpal | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| 651-492-7550/Brian@Mid | MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector | | | | |
| SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT | | | | | |
| Date: June 5, 2019 | Time: 1:45 PM | Owner: Ron Losee | | | |
| Inspection Address: 7749 Manning Ave N, Stillwater, MN 55082 | | | | | |

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system, have reviewed the history of the system with the owner, Ron Losee, and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1986) consists of a precast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

Predicated on my inspection of the system, my review of the history of the system with the owner, and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 520 Lafayette Road North

St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

(SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

| Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) |
|---|
| requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply. |

For local tracking purposes:

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days

System Status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/5/2019

Compliant – Certificate of Compliance

(Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance

(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

2 of 10

Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant

Property Information

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

| Property address: 7749 Manning Ave N, Stillwater, MN 55082 | | | Reason for insp | ection: Property Transfer |
|---|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Property owner: | Ron Los | ee | Owner's phone: | 651-439-0732 |
| or | | | | |
| Owner's represen | tative: | | Representative | phone: |
| Local regulatory a | uthority: | Washington County | Regulatory auth | ority phone: 651-430-6655 |
| Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and | | | nk, and a rock trench drair | nfield. |
| Commonto or roo | ommondo | liona: | | |

Comments or recommendations:

Certification

wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

| Inspector name: | Brian Hu | umpal/Christo | opher | Uebe | | | Certification n | umber: | C5 | 342/C9852 |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-------|------------------|-------|--------------|------------------|-----------|----|----------------------------------|
| Business name: | Inspect | Minnesota, N | lidwe | st Soil Testing | | | License n | umber: | L2 | 896 |
| Inspector signatu | re: | Brian ; | Hu | mpal After | 11 | l | Phone n | umber: | 65 | 1-492-7550 |
| Necessary or | Locall | y Require | ed A | ttachment | s | | | | | |
| Soil boring lo | ogs | 🛛 Syst | em/A | s-built drawing | I | | Forms per local | l ordinan | се | |
| Other inform | ation (list |): Report S | Sumn | nary, Property I | nforn | mation, Disc | claimer, License | е | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| www.pca.state.mn. | us • | 651-296-6300 | • | 800-657-3864 | • | TTY 651-2 | 82-5332 or 800-6 | 57-3864 | • | Available in alternative formats |

1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

| 🗌 Yes 🖾 No |
|------------|
| 🗌 Yes 🖾 No |
| 🗌 Yes 🖾 No |
| |

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.

Comments/Explanation:

None of the above found.

Verification method(s):

- Searched for surface outlet
- Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
- Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
- Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
- "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
- System requires "emergency" pumping
- Performed dye test
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

| Compliance criteria: | | Verification method(s): |
|--|------------|--|
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. | 🗌 Yes 🖾 No | Probed tank(s) bottom Evamined construction records |
| Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be | | Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) |
| compliant if allowed in local ordinance. | | Observed liquid level below operating depth |
| Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. | 🗌 Yes 🖾 No | Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) |
| If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | | Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" |
| Any "yes" answer above indic system is Failing to Protect G | | Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) |

Comments/Explanation:

Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK. Lift pump and alarm were operational at the time of the inspection.

3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

| a. | Maintenance hole covers are damaged, crac | cked, unsecured, | or appear to structurally uns | ound. 🗌 Yes* | 🛛 No | 🗌 Unknown |
|----------|---|------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------|-----------|
| ~ | indinite nere eere ale damagea, era | | or appoint to our diotant any arrest | | | |

b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. \Box Yes* \boxtimes No \Box Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector □ Yes* ⊠ No *System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

| Date of installation: 1986 | Unknown | Verification method(s): | | | | |
|---|--------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | Soil observation does not expire. Pro | | | | |
| Compliance criteria: | | observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local | | | | |
| For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | ⊠ Yes □ No | requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (A Two previous verifications (Attac Not applicable (Holding tank(s), not Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation, Other (See Comments/Explanation, | h boring logs) o drainfield) Explanation) | | | |
| Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: | ☐ Yes ☐ No | Comments/Explanation: Reviewed design and permit records | 5. | | | |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* | | | | | | |
| "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" | 🗌 Yes 🔲 No | Indicate depths of elevations | | | | |
| systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) | | A. Bottom of distribution media | See Attached Boring Log(s) | | | |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical | | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | | | | |
| separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | C. System separation | | | | |
| | | D. Required compliance separation* | | | | |
| Any "no" answer above indicates t Failing to Protect Groundwater. | he system is | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance. | allowed by Local | | | |
| Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable | | | | | | |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required | | | | | | |
| Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? | | | | | | |
| BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria

5.

| a. | Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
|----|--|------------|
| b. | Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.


| Date of Inspection: June 5, 2019 | Time: 1:45 PM | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Property Address: 7749 Manning Ave N, Stillwater, MN | Zip: 55082 | | | | | | |
| Property Owner: Ron Losee | Phone: 651-439-0732 | | | | | | |
| Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System | Other | | | | | | |
| $\boxed{\texttt{Septic 1}} \qquad \boxed{\texttt{Fiberglass}} \qquad \boxed{\texttt{Son Treatment System}} \\ \boxed{\texttt{Septic 1}} \qquad \boxed{\texttt{Fiberglass}} \qquad \boxed{\texttt{Rock trench}} \\ \boxed{\texttt{Rock trench}} \\ \boxed{\texttt{Septic 1}} \qquad \boxed{\texttt{Fiberglass}} \\ \boxed{\texttt{Rock trench}} \\ \\ \boxed{\texttt{Rock trench}} \\ \boxed{\texttt{Rock trench}} \\ \\ \\ \boxed{\texttt{Rock trench}} \\$ | Alternative system | | | | | | |
| Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench | Experimental system | | | | | | |
| Lift Metal Chamber trench | Cesspool system | | | | | | |
| ☐ Holding ☐ Concrete ☐ Seepage bed ☐ Other: ☐ Block ☐ Mound | Other system | | | | | | |
| Other At-grade | | | | | | | |
| Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \boxtimes Yes \square No *If r | no, proper maintenance must be | | | | | | |
| performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cove | | | | | | | |
| the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the | | | | | | | |
| Year house built: 1986 Year septic installed: 1986 T | Capit size (gals): 1250 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Fank size (gals.): 1250 sidents in home? 2 | | | | | | |
| Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gr | | | | | | | |
| Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bath? | ž 1 | | | | | | |
| More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N | 1 | | | | | | |
| Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the set | ptic system? N | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bui | ildings? N | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Location of septic system on lot? Northwest Side | 11 1 110.11 | | | | | | |
| | well a deep well? Y | | | | | | |
| Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: | | | | | | | |
| surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; | | | | | | | |
| to the system? Y If yes, explain: Replaced lift pump in approxima | tely 2004. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| When was the system last pumped? 2017 Name of pump | ner: Smilie's Sewer Service | | | | | | |
| When was the system last pumped? 2017Name of pumper: Smilie's Sewer ServiceHow often pumped in previous years? Every 3Is system on a monitoring plan? N | | | | | | | |
| | Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? N | | | | | | |
| Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N | | | | | | | |
| Do you have any additional information that should be given to the | e new owner? N | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Ron Losee's Signature On File

Date: 6/5/2019

6 of 10



Log Of Soil Borings

| Locat | ion of Project. | 7749 Manning Ave N | Stillwator | MN 55082 | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Inspect Minnesota | , Stinwatel, | Date: | 6/5/19 |
| | | Hand/Bucket | Classi | fication System: | USDA |
| B | oring Number: | | | Boring Number: | |
| Surface Elevation of | Same grou | und surface as last | Surface Elevation o | | |
| Boring | draii | nfield trench | Boring | | |
| Depth In Inches | <u>Soils E</u> | ncountered | Depth In Inches | Soils En | icountered |
| 0-12 12-35 35-54 54-63 | 10YR 3 10YR 4/4 10YR 4/4 M | 3 Sandy Loam 76 Silt Loam 9 Medium Sand 9 Medium Sand With 10 T/8 Redox | | | |
| 54" De | epth To End Of B | oring Or Redox | [| Depth To End Of Bo | oring Or Redox |
| | evation Of Borin | g Relative To System | Elevation Of Boring Relative To System | | Relative To System |
| | epth To Bottom (Separation | Of Distribution Media | | Depth To Bottom O Df Separation | f Distribution Media |
| Fr | nd Of Boring At: | 63" | | End Of Boring At: | |
| | dox Present At: | 54" | | Redox Present At: | |
| | ater Present At: | ÷ . | | Water Present At: | |

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 27 Inches

See-Attached-Legal see Attached Legal 3 • . - Soil Borings -XXX TÖPSÖL - Soil Borings -Soil borings are made in order to determine the type and structure of soils at various depths as well as the location of the water table, impervious strata or bedrock. <u>____</u> <u>____</u> Soil borings are made in order to determine the type and structure of soils at various depths as well as the location of the water table, impervious strata or bedrock. SANDY LOAM SANDY LOAM SANDY CLAY SANDY CLAY Borings are most easily made with a hand auger, however other expedients may be utilized - back hoe, post hole auger, etc. Borings are most easily made with a hand auger, however other expedients may be utilized - back hoe, post hole surger ato auger, etc. Soils encountered at various depths : should be listed as to appearance, text-ure and composition. Soils encountered at various depths ; should be listed as to appearance, text-ure and composition. <u>ee</u> Depth at which water, bedrock or heavy clay layer is encountered should be recorded. Depth at which water, bedrock or heavy clay layer is encountered should be recorded. TYPICAL TYPICAL Soil Borings done by <u>John - Garberg</u>, MPCA Certification Number <u>00650</u>, on <u>8-23-85</u>. (date) Soil Borings done by John - Garbero, NPCA Certification Number 650, on 8-16-864 LOG OF SOIL BORINGS ' LOG OF SOIL BORINGS ' BORING NO. 1 BORING NO. 2 BORING NO. 3 BORING NO. 4 BORING NO. 1 BORING NO. 2 BORING NO. 3 BORING NO. 4 DEPTH SOIL IN DESCRIPTION DEPTH IN DESCRIPTION DEPTH IN FEET DEPTH SOIL DESCRIPTION DEPTH DEPTH SOIL IN DESCRIPTION DEPTH SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION IN FEET O DEPTH 0 5940Y -SOIL DESCRIPTION IN FEET FEET IIIZ Loand III Sand With 0 Sandy 1/2 Loam 0 sandy 1/2 Loam FEET Sandy Loam 0 Saudy-1/2 Loam Sandy Logun O Sandy 1/2 Loam 0 0 1/2 1/2 Loand 1/2 1 Med Sand 1 11/2 with clay 11/2 1 Silty To-11/2 Fine San sandy clay 1 Med-sand 11/2 with clay sandy. elay sand-with 1 Fine-sand 11/2 11/2 \downarrow 11/2 clay 2 21/2 Fine To 3 Med Sand 2 21/2 Fine 2 2 1/2 2 21/2 2 2 1/2 2 2 1/2 2 2 1/2 -2 3 sand 21/2 \downarrow 3 3 3 31/2 silty sand 4 Fine To. 41/2 Med. Sand 3 3 31/2 Med. Sand 31/2 4 with clay 4 3 .31/2 Fine-4 59ud 31/2 Nauy sulal 31/2 Med. Sand 31/2 . 31/2 4 Fine - sana 41/2 Fine - To 4 med. Sand 4 41/2 4 41/2 5 Med. sand J 41/2 41/2 5 100 Sand Med. sand 5 Med. sand 5 5 5 Med.sand 5 51/2 . 5 51/2 51/2 51/2 51/2 \downarrow 51/2 6 6 wet. Same 6 Moist. Saudy 6 6 6 6 61/2 61/2 wet-sa 7 weter. 71/2 51/21 8 61/2 Fine saud; 61/2 61/2 Wateriat 7 51/21 61/2 61/2 61/2 7 Med. 5940 71/2 ۹ 71/2 71/2 71/2 Fine sand 8 81/2 water 71/2 \downarrow 71/2 71/2 \downarrow \checkmark \mathbf{V} 8 8 8 8 8 81/2 8 8 81/2 81/2 81/2 81/2 81/2 81/2

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License Expires: 12/22/2019

Issued: 11/20/2018

Specialty Area(s):

License # L2896

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

| Cert # | Name | Certification Expires: | | |
|--------|---|------------------------|--|--|
| C9633 | Anthony P Scully | 3/5/2020 | | |
| • | Installer, Designer (Apprentice) | | | |
| C5342 | Brian L Humpal | 10/15/2023 | | |
| | Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv D | esigner, Adv Inspector | | |
| C9852 | Christopher R Uebe | 3/4/2021 | | |
| | Designer, Inspector | | | |

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit