1 of 9

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 10853 White H	Bear Lake, MN 55110	Brian Humpal		
651-492-7550/Brian@M	idwestsoiltesting.com	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector		
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT				
Date: June 10, 2019	Time: 1:15 PM	Owner: Todd Handevidt		
Inspection Address: 1495 Oakgreen Ave N, West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082				

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the history of the system with the owner, Todd Handevidt. I have contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This very old system (installed in approximately 1973) consists of a fiberglass septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the past performance of fiberglass tanks from this era has been substantially unreliable and often leak at the joint between the bottom and top half of the tank. Since it is not feasible to excavate the entire tank, it is impossible for me to guarantee the future performance of the tank or that the tank will not be found watertight in the future. Additionally, the septic tank outlet baffle is missing.

The drainfield distribution box is made of wood. The wood has deteriorated and is now considered a cesspool due to the box no longer being water tight.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the cesspool and the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

the 1/h

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal





520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

(SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA)	
requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days

System Status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): ____6/10/2019

Compliant – Certificate of Compliance

(Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

⊠ Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance

For local tracking purposes:

(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant

Property Information

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Property address:	1495 O	akgreen Ave N, West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082	Reason for inspec	ction:	Property Transfer
Property owner:	Todd Ha	ndevidt	Owner's phone:	651-42	28-3877
or					
Owner's represent	ative:		Representative ph	hone:	
Local regulatory a	uthority:	Washington County	Regulatory author	rity phor	ne: 651-430-6655
Brief system descr	ription:	A fiberglass septic tank and a rock trench drain	field.		

Comments or recommendations:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the past performance of fiberglass tanks from this era has been substantially unreliable and often leak at the joint between the bottom and top half of the tank. Since it is not feasible to excavate the entire tank, it is impossible for me to guarantee the future performance of the tank or that the tank will not be found watertight in the future. Additionally, the septic tank outlet baffle is missing.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name:	nspector name: Brian Humpal/Christopher Uebe		C5342/C9852	
Business name:	Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing		L2896	
Inspector signatur	e: Brian Humpal Afra Ma	Phone number:	651-492-7550	
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments				
🗌 Soil boring lo	gs	Forms per local ordinan	се	
Other information	ation (list):Report Summary, Property Information	, Disclaimer, License		

Property address: 1495 Oakgreen Ave N, West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082

Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria: Verification method(s): Searched for surface outlet □ Yes ⊠ No System discharge sewage to the Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home ground surface. Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes System discharge sewage to drain tile 🗌 Yes 🖾 No Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) or surface waters. "Black soil" above soil dispersal system □ Yes ⊠ No System cause sewage backup into System requires "emergency" pumping dwelling or establishment. Performed dye test Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.

Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

Comments/Explanation:

1.

The drainfield distribution box is made of wood. The wood has deteriorated and is now considered a cesspool due to the box no longer being water tight.

2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
System consists of a seepage pit,	🖾 Yes 🔲 No	Probed tank(s) bottom		
cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		Examined construction records		
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)		
compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Observed liquid level below operating depth		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	🖾 Yes 🔲 No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Distribution Box	Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
Any "yes" answer above indic system is Failing to Protect G		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		

Comments/Explanation:

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the past performance of fiberglass tanks from this era has been substantially unreliable and often leak at the joint between the bottom and top half of the tank. Since it is not feasible to excavate the entire tank, it is impossible for me to guarantee the future performance of the tank or that the tank will not be found watertight in the future. Additionally, the septic tank outlet baffle is missing.

Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

- Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* 🖾 No Unknown a.
- Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. 🗌 Yes* 🖾 No b. Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector 🖾 No □ Yes* C. *System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

	Date of installation: 1973?	🛛 Unkr	nown	v	erification method(s):		
	Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	Yes	🛛 No		Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient,		
	Compliance criteria:			u	nless site conditions have been al		
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes	☐ Yes ⊠ No		 requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other (See Comments/Explanation) 		
	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	🗌 No	C	omments/Explanation:		
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.	/ 🗌 Yes	🗌 No	lr	ndicate depths of elevations	See Attached	
	2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)			<u>A</u> .	Bottom of distribution media	Boring Log(s)	
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				Periodically saturated soil/bedrock System separation		
	Any "no" anowar above indicates	the evet	om io		Required compliance separation*		
	Any "no" answer above indicates Failing to Protect Groundwater.	the syst	em is		May be reduced up to 15 percent i Drdinance.	f allowed by Local	
5.	Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP* – C	Complian	ce com	ponent #5 of 5 🛛 🗌 Not app	licable	
	Is the system operated under an Operating P	ermit?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", A below is required		
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen E	MP?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", B below is required		
	BMP=Best Management Practice(s) spec	cified in the	system de	esign			
	If the answer to both questions is "no	", this sec	tion doe	es not r	need to be completed.		
	Compliance criteria						
	a. Operating Permit number:						
	Have the Operating Permit requirements		been met?		Yes No		
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place ar	nd properly	functionin	g?			
	Any "no" answer indicates Nonco			-	·		

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information


This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: June 10, 2019	Time: 1:15 PM			
Property Address: 1495 Oakgreen Ave N, West Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55082			
Property Owner: Todd Handevidt	Phone: 651-428-3877			
Tank(s)Tank(s)MaterialSoil Treatment SystemSeptic 1FiberglassRock trenchAerobicPlasticGravelless trenchLiftMetalChamber trenchHoldingConcreteSeepage bedOther:BlockMoundOtherAt-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system Wood Distrib Box			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \Box Yes \boxtimes No *If r performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t	ers should be made accessible to			
Year house built: 1973 Year septic installed: 1973	Tank size (gals.):			
1	sidents in home? 2			
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by g				
Garbage disposal? Y Whirlpool bath?	Y			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings? N			
Location of septic system on lot? North Side				
	e well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? N If yes, explain:				
	per: Unknown			
How often pumped in previous years? Every 2Is system on a monitoring plan? N				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system? N			
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner? N			

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Todd Handevidt's Signature On File

Date: 6/10/2019



Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 1495 Oakgreen Ave N, West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota			Date:		6/10/19
	Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Classif	fication System:	USDA
В	oring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface Elevation of Boring	Same grou	und surface as last nfield trench	Surface Elevation c Boring		
Depth In Inches	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	<u>Soils En</u>	countered
	10YR 3/6 Loan Sandy .5YR 4/4 Loamy Sandy Loa 7.5YR 5/8 &	oamy Fine Sand oamy Fine Sand my Fine Sand With Loam Layers Fine Sand (Moist) With am Layers And 7.5YR 6/8 Redox			
40" De	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	C	Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
Same Ele	evation Of Borin	g Relative To System	E	levation Of Boring	Relative To System
	epth To Bottom (Separation	Of Distribution Media		Depth To Bottom O Df Separation	f Distribution Media
Er	nd Of Boring At:	57"		End Of Boring At:	
	edox Present At:			Redox Present At:	
Standing Wa	ater Present At:	None	Standing \	Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 50 Inches

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage[®]Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License Expires: 12/22/2019

Issued: 11/20/2018

Specialty Area(s):

License # L2896

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires:
C9633	Anthony P Scully	3/5/2020
•	Installer, Designer (Apprentice)	
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2023
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv De	esigner, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2021
	Designer, Inspector	

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit