## **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110	Brian Humpal
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTE	M (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT
Date: 6/11/2019 & 6/12/2019 Time: 2:00 PM & 10:3	0 AM <b>Owner:</b> Dave & Jana Isaac
Inspection Address: 5727 Roscoe Rd, Pine Springs, MI	N 55128

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system, have reviewed the history of the system with the owner, Jana Isaac, and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This older system (installed in 1996) consists of two precast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mound.

Predicated on my inspection of the system, my review of the history of the system with the owner, and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	Compl
520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul. MN 55155-4194	Existing Sub

# iance Inspection Form

#### surface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 3

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA)	For local tracking purposes:
requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days

#### System Status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/12/2019

#### Compliant – Certificate of Compliance

(Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

#### Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance

(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

2 of 9

Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant

#### **Property Information**

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Property address:	5727 Roscoe Rd, Pine Springs, MN 55128	Reason for inspection: Property Transfer		
Property owner: Dave & Jana Isaac		Owner's phone: 612-221-3730		
or				
Owner's represen	tative:	Representative phone:		
Local regulatory authority: Washington County		Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655		
Brief system desc	ription: <u>Two pre-cast septic tanks</u> , a pre-cast lift	tank, and a mound.		
Commonte or roce	mmondations			

Comments or recommendations:

#### Certification

wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name:	Brian Hum	pal/Christo	pher	Uebe			Certific	ation number:	C	5342/C9852
Business name:	Inspect Mi	nnesota, M	lidwe	st Soil Testing			Lic	ense number:	L2	:896
Inspector signatur	re:	Prim ?	Hu	mpal After	11		PI	hone number:	65	1-492-7550
Necessary or	Locally	Require	d A	ttachment	S					
🛛 Soil boring lo	ogs	🛛 Syste	em/A	s-built drawing	I		Forms pe	er local ordinar	ice	
🛛 Other inform	ation (list):	Report S	umn	nary, Property I	nform	nation, Dis	claimer, L	icense		
www.pca.state.mn.	us • 651	-296-6300	•	800-657-3864	•	TTY 651-2	82-5332 o	r 800-657-3864		Available in alternative formats

#### 1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No

an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.

Comments/Explanation:

None of the above found.

#### Verification method(s):

- Searched for surface outlet
- Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
- Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
- Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
- "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
- System requires "emergency" pumping
- Performed dye test
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

#### 2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	<ul> <li>Probed tank(s) bottom</li> <li>Examined construction records</li> </ul>		
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form ( <i>Attach</i> )		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	<ul> <li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> <li>Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> </ul>		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
Any "yes" answer above indic system is Failing to Protect Gi		<ul> <li>Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		

Comments/Explanation:

Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK. Lift pump and alarm were operational at the time of the inspection.

#### 3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

a.	Maintenance hole covers are damaged	<ol> <li>cracked, unsecured,</li> </ol>	or appear to structurally unsound.	□ Yes*	🖾 No	Unknown

b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  $\Box$  Yes\*  $\boxtimes$  No  $\Box$  Unknown \*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector □ Yes\* ⊠ No \*System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

#### **4. Soil Separation** – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation: 1996	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Soil observation does not expire. Pr	
Compliance criteria:		observations by two independent pa unless site conditions have been alt	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No	<ul> <li>requirements differ.</li> <li>Conducted soil observation(s) (Attack</li> <li>Two previous verifications (Attack</li> <li>Not applicable (Holding tank(s), not</li> <li>Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation</li> <li>Other (See Comments/Explanation</li> </ul>	ch boring logs) o drainfield) Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	<i>Comments/Explanation:</i> Reviewed design and permit record	S.
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	Indicate depths of elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	
Any "no" answer above indicates t Failing to Protect Groundwater.	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Local
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	<b>MP*</b> – Compliance	component #5 of 5 Xot app	licable
Is the system operated under an Operating Per	-	□ No If "yes", A below is required	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	/IP? □Yes [	□ No If "yes", B below is required	
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specif		•	

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

#### **Compliance criteria**

5.

a.	Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No

#### Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

**Upgrade Requirements** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

## Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing


#### Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: 6/11/2019 & 6/12/2019       Time: 2:00PM &         Property Address:       5727 Roscoe Rd, Pine Springs, MN       Zip: 55128         Property Owner:       Dave & Jana Isaac       Phone: 612-221-37         Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System       Other         Septic 2       Fiberglass       Rock trench       Alternative system         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench       Experimental system         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed       Other system         Other:       Block       Mound       Other system         Other:       Block       Mound       Image: State of the system         Property of the maintenance covers accessible?       Yes       No       *If no, proper maintenance at the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.         Year house built:       1996       Year septic installed:       1996       Tank size (gals.): 1-1	730 m					
Property Owner:       Dave & Jana Isaac       Phone: 612-221-37         Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System       Other         Septic 2       Fiberglass       Rock trench       Alternative system         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench       Experimental system         Metal       Chamber trench       Cesspool system       Other system         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed       Other system         Other:       Block       Mound       Other system         Other       At-grade       If no, proper maintenance performed through the maintenance holes.       Maintenance hole covers should be made a the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.         Year house built: 1996       Year septic installed: 1996       Tank size (gals.): 1-1	m					
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System       Other         Septic 2       Fiberglass       Rock trench       Alternative system         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench       Experimental system         Alternative system       Other       Experimental system         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench       Experimental system         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench       Despool system         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed       Other system         Other:       Block       Mound       Other system         Other       Other       At-grade       Image: Seepage bed       Other system         Are the tank maintenance covers accessible?       Yes       No       *If no, proper maintenance         performed through the maintenance holes.       Maintenance hole covers should be made a       the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.         Year house built:       1996       Year septic installed:       1996       Tank size (gals.): 1-1	m					
Septic 2       Fiberglass       Rock trench       Alternative system         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench       Experimental system         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench       Cesspool system         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed       Other system         Other:       Block       Mound       Other system         Other       At-grade	m 					
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made a the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.Year house built: 1996Year septic installed: 1996Tank size (gals.): 1-3	accessible to					
(0, 0)	1500, 1-1000					
	,					
How long has seller owned the property? 2010 Number of residents in home? 6						
Number of bedrooms?5Are all floors drained by gravity? Lower Pump	ped					
Garbage disposal? Y Whirlpool bath? N						
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N						
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N						
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? N						
The there any additional systems on and property serving other outlands. It						
Location of septic system on lot? North Side						
Location of water well on lot? East Side Is the well a deep well? Y	Y					
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? N If yes, explain:						
When was the system last pumped? 2017 Name of pumper: Pinky's Sewer S						
How often pumped in previous years? Every 2-3 Is system on a monitoring pla	an? N					
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? N						
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y						
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? N						

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Jana Isaac's Signature On File



6 of 9

### Log Of Soil Borings

Locat	ion of Project:	5727 Roscoe Rd, Pine	Sprinas, N	MN 55128	
		Inspect Minnesota		Date:	6/12/19
	Auger Used:	Hand/Bucket	Classi	fication System:	USDA
B	oring Number:	1		Boring Number:	
Surface Elevation of Boring		top of mound on inal contour	Surface Elevation Boring	of	
Depth In Inches	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils En	countered
0-7 7-15 15-32 32-37	10YR 4 10YR 3, 10YR 3/4 (	/2 Silt Loam /2 Silt Loam /4 Clay Loam Clay Loam With 10YR 6/2 Redox			
32" De	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	[	Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
+24" Ele	evation Of Borin	g Below Top Of Mound			
	epth To Bottom ( Separation	Of Distribution Media		Depth To Bottom O Of Separation	f Distribution Media
Er	nd Of Boring At:	37"		End Of Boring At:	
	dox Present At:	32"		Redox Present At:	
Standing W	ater Present At:	None	Standing	Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 25 Inches

#### Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

#### Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage<sup>®</sup>Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

# Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License Expires: 12/22/2019

Issued: 11/20/2018

## **Specialty Area(s):**

License # L2896

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

# **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #	Name	<b>Certification Expires:</b>
C9633	Anthony P Scully	3/5/2020
•	Installer, Designer (Apprentice)	
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2023
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv De	esigner, Adv Inspector
C9852	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2021
	Designer, Inspector	

## MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Nich Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit