ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

David Schultz 23964 Itasca Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025

6/26/2019

Dear David Schultz,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. Proper care and maintenance of the system can prolong lifespan – see https://septic.umn.edu/septic-system-owners for more information. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/26/2019	
	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance and Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect grounds Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect ground Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	threat to public health and safety vater protect groundwater dwater
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Ra	ange.
	n for inspection: Sale
	's phone: 612-282-8451
or	-
	sentative phone:
200 20 103 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	atory authority phone: _651-430-6655
Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks, 1000 gallon lift station, m	ound dispersal system
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine th determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkn possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	e compliance status of this system. No own conditions during system construction,
	cation number: C9594
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing Lic	cense number: L119
Inspector signature:	hone number: 651-249-1346
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	er local ordinance
☐ Other information (list):Tank Integrity Form	

			(mm/dd/yyyy)	
1.	Impact on Public Health - C	ompliance comp	onent #1 of 5	
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
	System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Searched for surface outlet	
10-	ground surface.		⊠ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home	
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 	
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system	
-			System requires "emergency" pumping	
	Any "yes" answer above indi system is an imminent threat		Performed dye test	
	health and safety.	to public	Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
82	Comments/Explanation:		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
	A=0 000 00 00 0000	ith the system. No sid	gns of past leakage or ponding during site visit 6/24/2019.	
	Tromcowner has not had any issues w	iui uie system. No się	gris of past leakage of portuing during site visit 0/24/2019.	
2.	Tank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of	5	
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
	System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom	
	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		Examined construction records	
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	
-	compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth	
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"	
-	Any "yes" answer above indi	rates the	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
	system is failing to protect gr		○ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
	Comments/Explanation:			
	Tanks pumped and OK'ed by Olson's.	See attached tank in	tegrity form.	
3.	Other Compliance Condition	s – Compliance co	mponent #3 of 5	
	a. Maintenance hole covers are dama	ged, cracked, unsecu	red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.			
	Explain:			
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.			
	Explain:			

Inspector initials/Date: BZ | 6/26/2019

Property address: 23964 Itasca Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025

			(mm/dd/yyyy)
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5		
Date of installation: 6/19/2002	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☒ No o	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local	
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.	ered or local
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No	□ Conducted soil observation(s) (A	ttach boring logs)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)	
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	A. B.	Indicate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	101.2'
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector			
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.0'
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	3.2'
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0'
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is *Max *Max *Max *Max *Max *Max *Max *Max		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	L
raining to protect groundwater.			
5. Operating Permit and Nitroger	BMP* – Complian	ce component #5 of 5	Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is requi	red
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	n BMP? Yes	☐ No If "yes", B below is require	red
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design			
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.			
Compliance criteria			
a. Operating Permit number:			
Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		Yes No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functioning	g? Yes No	
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	ompliance.		
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or			

Inspector initials/Date: BZ | 6/26/2019

Property address: 23964 Itasca Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025

651-296-6300 • www.pca.state.mn.us • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 3 of 3

its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

23964 Itasca Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

6/24/2019

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in	Boring Number 1	Depth, in	Boring Number 2
Inches	boring reamour z	Inches	5011118 11411111001 2
0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0	
0-10"	10YR 3/3 fine sandy loam		
10-28"	10YR 5/4 fine sandy loam, redox present below 24"		
End of boring at Standing water tab	2.3 feet le: feet of depth Hours after boring	End of boring at Standing water table	teet e: feet of depth Hours after boring
Present at Standing water not p	A TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	Present at Standing water not pr	The state of the s
Mottled Soil:		Mottled Soil:	
Observed at Mottled soil not pres	2 feet of depth ent in bore hole	Observed at Mottled soil not prese	feet of depth
Comments:		Comments:	
Donth in		Donth in	
Depth, in	Boring Number 3	Depth, in	Boring Number 4
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3		Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4
Inches O End of boring at	test	Inches 0 End of boring at	leet
Inches O End of boring at Standing water tab	feet	Inches O End of boring at Standing water table	leet e:
Inches O End of boring at	teet le: feet of depth Hours after boring	Inches 0 End of boring at	leet e: feet of depth Hours after boring
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not i	teet le: feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole	End of boring at Standing water tabl Present at Standing water not promote the standing water table	leet e: feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p	le: feet of depth Flours after boring resent in hole	End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not pr	teet e: feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole

Google Earth B1 Separation: 3.2 Benchmark: 98.1 Bottom of rock: 101.2 B1: 100.0, redox 98.0 Relative Elevation (in decimal feet) Height of instrument: 105.3 (manhole cover on 1st septic tank) Tanks B1 Mound System

Service Order

Olson's Sewer Service, Inc. 17638 Lyons Street N.E. Forest Lake, MN 55025 651-464-2082 6/25/2019 Date: Preferred Time: 7:00 AM Road Restrictions (Tons) **IMPORTANT NOTE** Ben called & will not be there; did his part on Addr: 23964 Itasca Avenue North Monday so JH or BD need to pump this site. Name: David Schultz H: (651) 464-1407 City: Forest Lake, MN 55025 C1: (612) 282-8451 Cty: Washington Twp: Driving Dir 2-4-03 - NO alarm went off here. Dave's cell # 612-282-8451 (He is the son; parents out of town. Dad's name is also David.) Work # is son's h Tank Type Pre-cast PreT T1C **T2** T1 Treatment Type Mound System Sizes: 1000 1000 1000 Treatment Area Depth to MH: C 3" C Grade Dist to Tank 1 100 Ft Riser Feet: 1.5 1.5 Dist to Lift Tank 100 Ft LS Outlet to Bottom: PreT T1 TIC T2 **T3** LS Water Meter Power Disconnect at Lift Covers Secure: Y Effluent Filter Looped Infiltration ↑ OL: N N N Two Techs # Bedrooms Infiltration J. OL: N N N City Sewer N Pump Breaker Scum Depth: 10 0 0 Install Date 6/13/2002 **Baseline Equal Dist Hgt** Sludge Depth: 10 5 4 Installer Mitch Perry Inlet Baffle Intact: Y Y 1 Outlet Baffle Intact: 2 5 As Built Pump Function: 3 6 Alarm Function: Cleanout Y Filter Alarm Function: Lift Pump 34gpm@20' of head W/150 gallons per dose **Last Service** Mobilize At Site Complete Disposal Leave Disposal Service Type Date Time Time Time Time Time 1 Lift Station Maintenance 10:15 AM 11:05 AM 12:25 PM 2 Maintenance Pumping 2/5/2003 3 LUG Permit Time Dosing Iron Filter S&E Quality Eq Dist Hgt 1 Readings Previous **Functioning** Lint Filter Sump Pump PH Reading 2 Event/Cycle Ctr Switch Tree Ejector Pump Non Dom 3 Elapsed Time Wastes **Event Counter** Mgmt Plan 4 Time Dosing Garbage Disp. Monitoring TA Visual 5 Water Meter Insp Water Softener Irrigation 6 **Gal Pumped Dump Site** CSR NS Garden Hose Chemicals Reminder 6/25/2022 Metro 2530 CBYD/Date Lift Station Last Service Total: 2530 Holding Vehicle 09 Septage Commercial Tank Service Person BD Sewage Type Disposed X Inv# 89405 Amt Billed 537.00 Payment Type Pd Ck #6747 Follow Up Service Order Dave will have manhole covers exposed for us - we are NOT meeting Ben Zierke so need JH or BD to do this. Customer never called Ben to Comments confirm this date/time. Covers ARE dug open. Site Comments Price Quoted \$520.00 plus \$17.00 permit Post Pumped tanks. Comments

Pro	operty address: 2396 The	asca pued	OPH Inspector initials/Date:	
4	Impact on Dublic Use let		(mm/dd/yyyy)	
1 a	Impact on Public Health – Compliance compone Compliance criteria:			
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠No	Verification method(s): ☐ Searched for surface outlet	
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes No	Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes	
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes 反No	☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system	
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
2.	Comments/Explanation: Tank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of		
	Compliance criteria:	Personal plus de minima en managen de plus de la proposition de la proposition de la managen de la managen de la proposition della proposi	Verification method(s):	
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be	☐ Yes 🔀 🕅 b	Probed tank(s) bottom Examined construction records	
	compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes □ Yes	Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	
)	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		'☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
,	Comments/Explanation:	a man a da a a da de		
3.	Other Compliance Condition	ns – Compliance con	aponent #3 of 5	
	 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.			
	Explain:			
	 System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . \[