

wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 3

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution C requirements and attached forms – additional local red	
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government within 15 days	nent (LUG) and system owner
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/3	1/2019
Compliant – Certificate of Compl (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shor frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	
 ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component : ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Complian ☒ Soil Separation (Compliance Component 	t #1) - Imminent threat to public health and safety ace Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety #2) – Failing to protect groundwater ace Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Property Information	Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 08.029.20.33.0011
Property address: 4665 Neal Ave N Stillwater, MN	
Property owner: Shin Hak & Mikyum Kim	Owner's phone:
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: Two precast septic tanks	s and a pump tank lifting to a gravity drainfield.
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has determination of future system performance has been possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenan	s been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No n nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, ace, or future water usage.
Inspector name: Tom Trooien	Certification number: 323
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568
Inspector signature: Tom Troown	Phone number: _612-594-4496
Necessary or Locally Required Attach	ments
 Soil boring logs ☐ Other information (list): 	
www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657	7-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats

	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	stem discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Searched for surface outlet
Sys	ound surface. stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Hemogyupor tootimany (See Comments/Cyrlenetics)
	stem causes sewage backup into elling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.			☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Со	mments/Explanation:		
Ta	nk Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of 5	
Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☑ Probed tank(s) bottom
	epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		Examined construction records
	mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		 ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth
	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
~~	res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
	oo, willon sowage lank(s) leaks.		
If y	ny "yes" answer above indi		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
If y Ar Sy			
Ar sy Co	ny "yes" answer above indicatem is failing to protect gromments/Explanation: ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama	ns – Compliance con	□ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) nponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unk
Ar sy	ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	ns – Compliance con aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) nponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety.
Ar sy Co	ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	ns – Compliance con aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) nponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety.
Ar sy Co	ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	ns – Compliance con aged, cracked, unsecure to immediately and adv public health and sat	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) inponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkverty.

Property address: 4665 Neal Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 7/31/2019

Property address: 4665 Neal Ave N Stillwater		Inspector initials/Date: TT 7/31/2019				
					(mm/dd/yyyy)	
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	omnone	nt #4 of 5				
			-			
Date of installation: 11/10/1994 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown	Verification method(s):			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	obse	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local		
Compliance criteria:			requirements differ.			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	⊠ No	 ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) 			
			Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)			
Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes	□No	Com	ments/Explanation:		
1996, or later or for non-performance			B-1		10 VP 3/2	
systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,				0-9 sandy loam10 YR 3/2 9-21 loamy sand5 YR 4/6		
beverage, or lodging establishment:						
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical				21-36 fine loamy sand		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*				Redox concentrations & de	epletions @ 18"	
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes	□ No	India	ata dantha ar alayatiana		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV			maic	ate depths or elevations		
or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector			A. Bo	ttom of distribution media	3	
License required)			B. Pe	riodically saturated soil/bedrock	1.5	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical				stem separation	0	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.						
Any "no" answer above indicates to				guired compliance separation* be reduced up to 15 percent i	2.0	
failing to protect groundwater. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP*		Ordir	nance.	Not applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	☐ Ye	s 🛭 No	If "yes", A below is requi	red	
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	BMP?	☐ Ye	s 🛭 No	If "yes", B below is requi	red	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s	specified i	n the system	n design			
If the answer to both questions is "n	o", this	section do	es not i	need to be completed.		
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number: n/a						
Have the Operating Permit requireme	nts been	met?		☐ Yes ☐ No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and prope	erly functioni	ing?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	ompliar	ice.				
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) discontinued within ten months of receipt of this reground water, the system must be upgraded, rep is not failing as defined in law, and has at least twits use discontinued, notwithstanding any local or Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in continued.	notice or wi laced, or its vo feet of d rdinance th	ithin a shorter s use disconti lesign soil sep at is more stri	period if renued within paration, th	equired by local ordinance. If the something the time required by local ordination the system need not be upgraphysion does not apply to systems	system is failing to protect ance. If an existing system ded, repaired, replaced, or in shoreland areas	

