

Compliance Inspection Form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

<b>Inspection results</b> based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (I requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may	VIP CM)	For local tracking purposes:						
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days								
System Status								
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/14/2019								
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	Noncompliant - Notice of (See Upgrade Requirements on page	•						
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) —  Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #2) — Failing to #2  Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #2) — Failing to #2  Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) — Failing to #2  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Compliance Component #4) — Failing to #4  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Compliance Component #4) — Failing to #4  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4) — Failing to #4  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4) — Failing to #4  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4) — Failing to #4  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4) — Failing to #4  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4) — Failing to #4  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4) — Failing to #4  Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	#3) – Imminent threat to public health and protect groundwater #3) – Failing to protect groundwater p protect groundwatér							
•	or Sec/Twp/Range: 2102921120017							
Property address: 2773 Inwood Ave N Lake Elmo, 55042	Reason for inspection: Property Transfer							
Property owner. Jeff Riegle <b>or</b>	Owner's phone: 651-747-786	5						
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:							
Local regulatory authority: Washington County  Brief system description: 1 Septic thak and 1 Lift Tank to drainfiel  Comments or recommendations:	Regulatory authority phone: 6	51-430-6655						
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be mappossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future wa	ide due to unknown conditions during sy:	is system. No stem construction,						
Inspector name: Dave Brown	Certification number: 9370							
Business name: David R Brown	License number: 3649	and the state of t						
Inspector signature: Paris P.T.	Phone number: 651-788	-3296						
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments								
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	☐ Forms per local ordinance							
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments  ☑ Soil boring logs ☑ System/As-built drawing ☐ Other information (list):	☐ Forms per local ordinance							

Prop	perty	address: 2773 Inwood Ave N Lak	e Elmo, 55042	Inspector initials/Date: DB   6/14/2019 (mm/dd/yyyy)		
1.	Imp	pact on Public Health – C	Compliance component	#1 of 5		
	Cor	mpliance criteria:	and the second s	Verification method(s):		
		tem discharges sewage to the und surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>         ⊠ Searched for surface outlet     </li> <li>         ⊠ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home     </li> </ul>		
		tern discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul> <li>☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☑ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
		tem causes sewage backup into illing or establishment.	☐ Yes ☑ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping		
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.			<ul> <li>☐ Performed dye test</li> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
	Con	nments/Explanation:				
2.	Tar	nk Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of 5			
	Cor	mpliance criteria:	ragio del calco alguno de la comprese proprie del distribuió de la consecución del consecución de la c	Verification method(s):		
	Sys	tem consists of a seepage pit, spool, drywell, or leaching pit.	∐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☑ Examined construction records</li></ul>		
	See,	page pits meeting 7080.2550 may be pliant if allowed in local ordinance	engan a sama	<ul> <li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> </ul>		
	des	vage tank(s) leak below their igned operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes ဩ No	<ul><li>Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li><li>Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li></ul>		
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.			<ul> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
	Comments/Explanation:					
3.	. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5					
	a.	Maintenance hole covers are dam	aged, cracked, unsecured, o	r appear to be structurally unsound. 🗌 Yes* 🗵 No 🗍 Unknown		
	b.	Tyes* No T Unknown				
		Explain:				
	C.	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector				
		Explain:				

Property address: 2773 Inwood Ave N Lake E	lmo, 5504	12	Inspector initials/Date: DB   6/14/2019 (mm/dd/yyyy)				
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	ompone	nt #4 of 5					
Date of installation:	⊠ Unkr	nwor	Verification method(s):				
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☐ No	□No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local				
Compliance criteria:		e donat, e le estado es su esta	requirements differ				
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		⊠ No	<ul> <li>✓ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)</li> <li>☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)</li> <li>☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)</li> </ul>				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		- Name of Charlesgon arranged names up a black.	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)				
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	Yes No Comments/Explanation:					
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*							
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	Yes No	□No	Indicate depths or elevations				
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080, 2350 or 7080, 2400 (Advanced Inspector			A. Bottom of distribution media 24"				
License required)			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 40"				
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			C. System separation 16"  D. Required compliance separation* 36"				
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater.	he systi	em is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.				
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP*	– Complian	ce component #5 of 5 🔀 <b>Not applicable</b>				
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	☐ Yes	☐ No If "yes", A below is required				
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?						
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "n	o", this	section doe	es not need to be completed.				
			and a great control to the second of the sec				
a. Operating Permit number:	nte heen	met?	☐ Yes ☐ No				
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?						
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc			191				
, any moralism managed memory	<b>/-</b>						
discontinued within ten months of receipt of this ground water, the system must be upgraded, rep is not failing as defined in law, and has at least to its use discontinued notwithstanding any local of	notice or w nlaced, or it wo feet of c rdinance th	íthin a shorter p Is usa discontin design soil sepa nat is more strío	blic health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect used within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system aration, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or it. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, ge, and lodging establishments as defined in law.				

(B) = SOIL BORING TANK

(B) = SOIL BORING TANK

(B) = SOIL BORING TROCE

SCIL BORING LOG (B) ("-7"=10763/4 SAWA LOAM/FILE 7"-23" = 10743/4 SAWA LOAM/FILE 23"-28"=10764/3 SAWA CLAYLOAM 28"-36"=10764/3 SAWA CLAYLOAM 28"-36"=10764/3 SAWA CLAYLOAM 28"-36"=75763/4 SAWA CLAYLOAM

A SWASAA CLOSSAAI

1