

wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may	
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and within 15 days	system owner
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _9/23/2019	
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) — Imminent☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) — Failing to☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) — Failing to☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	#3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety protect groundwater #3) – Failing to protect groundwater o protect groundwater
Property Information Parcel ID	# or Sec/Twp/Range: 27.031.21.43.0005
Property address: 8010 139th St N White Bear Lake, MN 55110	Reason for inspection: property sale
Property owner: Dan Boardman	Owner's phone: 651-308-7313
or	
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: _651-430-6655
Brief system description: Precast septic tank and a gravity drainf	ield.
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be m possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future wa	ade due to unknown conditions during system construction,
Inspector name: Tom Trooien	Certification number: 323
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568
Inspector signature: Ton Proocen	Phone number: 612-594-4496
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
☐ Soil boring logs ☐ System/As-built drawing	☐ Forms per local ordinance
	☐ Forms per local ordinance

Im	pact on Public Health – C	compliance compon	ent #1 of 5
Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	stem discharges sewage to the bund surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ✓ Searched for surface outlet ✓ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
	stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☒ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☒ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
	stem causes sewage backup into elling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping
sy	ny "yes" answer above indicates the vstem is an imminent threat to public ealth and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Co	mments/Explanation:		
Ta	nk Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5	
Co	empliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
Sy	stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☑ Probed tank(s) bottom☑ Examined construction records
	epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be npliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their ☐ Yes ☒ No designed operating depth.		☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
lf y	res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
	ny "yes" answer above indi vstem is failing to protect gi		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Co	mments/Explanation:		
0+	bor Countings Condition		
Ut	her Compliance Condition		
a.			d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkr
b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unexpected in the safety.		
	Explain:		
C.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.		
	Explain:		

Property address: 8010 139th St N White Bear	r Lake, MN 55110	Inspector initials/Date: TT 9/23/2019 (mm/dd/yyyy)		
4. Soil Separation — Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5			
Date of installation: 10/26/1990 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local		
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	Yes No	 ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) 		
Protection Area or not serving a food,				
beverage or lodging establishment:		Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:		
systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,				
beverage, or lodging establishment:		0-8 topsoil		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		8-30 loamy sand7.5 YR 4/4		
separation distance from periodically		30-42 loamy sand10 YR 4/3		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		42-66 sandy clay loam10 YR 4/4		
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		A. Bottom of distribution media	2	
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	5.5	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	3.5	
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	3	
Any "no" answer above indicates t	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if		
failing to protect groundwater. 5. Operating Permit and Nitroger	ı BMP* – Complia	Ordinance. nce component #5 of 5	Not applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	s 🗌 No If "yes", A below is requi	red	
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	n BMP? ☐ Ye	s 🗌 No If "yes", B below is requi	red	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified in the system	n design		
If the answer to both questions is "r				
Compliance criteria				
a. Operating Permit number: _n/a				
Have the Operating Permit requirement	ents been met?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly function	ing? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc				
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55 discontinued within ten months of receipt of this ground water, the system must be upgraded, rej is not failing as defined in law, and has at least tits use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in cor	notice or within a shorter placed, or its use disconti wo feet of design soil sep ordinance that is more str	period if required by local ordinance. If the sinued within the time required by local ordina paration, then the system need not be upgra- ict. This provision does not apply to systems	system is failing to protect ance. If an existing system ded, repaired, replaced, or in shoreland areas,	

