ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Gary and Tami Hanson 9155 182nd St N Marine on St Croix, MN 55047

September 17th, 2019

Dear Gary and Tami Hanson,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>non-compliant</u> due to a lack of vertical separation between the bottom of your drain field and indicators of seasonally wet soil (redoximorphic features). Therefore, this system is considered "failing to protect groundwater" and <u>is not considered an imminent threat to public health</u>. I am required to provide copies of this report to you and to your local unit of government. You should contact them as to the next steps that will be required to bring the system into compliance.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE EMAIL

651-249-1346 benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MP requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may als			
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and sy within 15 days	stem owner		
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/17/2019			
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Im Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to pro Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to p) – Imminent threat to public health and safety otect groundwater) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater		
Property Information Parcel ID# o	or Sec/Twp/Range:		
Property address: 9155 182 nd St N Forest Lake, MN 55025	Reason for inspection: Sale		
Property owner: Gary and Tami Hanson	Owner's phone: 651-433-2501		
or	•		
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:		
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655		
Brief system description: Pre cast septic tank with sectional lid, grav	rity rock trench drainfield		
Comments or recommendations:			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water	e due to unknown conditions during system construction,		
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke	Certification number: C9594		
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	License number: L119		
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing Inspector signature:	License number: L119 Phone number: 651-249-1346		
2 - 7 (0			
Inspector signature:			

	-		(mm/dd/yyyy)			
1.	Impact on Public Health –	Compliance com	opent #1 of 5			
	Compliance criteria:	Compliance comp	Verification method(s):			
1.5	System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Searched for surface outlet			
	ground surface.	☐ res ☐ No				
	System discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes			
	tile or surface waters.		☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)			
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system			
-		diagram tha	System requires "emergency" pumping			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		Performed dye test			
			 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 			
6	Comments/Explanation:		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
		es with leakage or bac	kups. No signs of ponding during site visit 9/13/2019.			
2.	Tank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 o	f 5			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom			
	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		☐ Examined construction records			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth			
	designed operating depth.	☐ 1C3 ☑ 140	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
102	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	Any "yes" answer above in		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
11	system is failing to protect	groundwater.				
	Comments/Explanation:					
			0/2019 by Smilies with no issues noted. During site visit 9/13/2019 I nal. Tank will be abandoned when system is replaced.			
	one one of the same same of	oraning rever mac men	.a.i. , a.iii. za asaii.asiisa iii.ai eyeteiii is ispiasse.			
2	Other Compliance Condition	ma Ossas Essas s				
3.	······································					
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. 🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 🗍 Unknown					
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
	Explain:					
	•					
	 System is non-protective of groun *System is failing to protect ground 		itions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No			
	Explain:					

Inspector initials/Date: BZ | 9/17/2019

Property address: 9155 182nd St N Forest Lake, MN 55025

 $www.pca.state.mn.us \quad \bullet \quad 651-296-6300 \quad \bullet \quad 800-657-3864 \quad \bullet \quad TTY \ 651-282-5332 \ or \ 800-657-3864 \quad \bullet \quad Available \ in \ alternative \ formats \\ wq-wwists 4-31b \quad \bullet \quad 6/4/14$

Property address: 9155 182nd St N Forest Lal		Inspector initials/Date: BZ 9/17/2019						
				(mm/dd/yyyy)				
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5							
Date of installation: 1975 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verif	ication method(s):					
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes ☒ No obs		observation does not expire. Previous soil ervations by two independent parties are sufficient, ss site conditions have been altered or local irements differ.					
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☒ No		onducted soil observation(s) (A	ttach boring logs)				
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	L les Milo	Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)						
Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)						
beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)						
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically								
saturated soil or bedrock.			Other (See Comments/Explanation)					
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	96, or later or for non-performance stems located in Shoreland or Wellhead otection Areas or serving a food,		Comments/Explanation:					
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*								
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indic	ate depths or elevations					
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bo	ttom of distribution media	97.6'				
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector								
License required)		B. Pe	riodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.0'				
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. Sy	stem separation	-0.4'				
saturated soil or bedrock.		D D.	avisad caraclianae caracetian*	2.0'				
Any "no" answer above indicates t	ha evetam ie		quired compliance separation* be reduced up to 15 percent it	I——————————				
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.			Ordinance.					
E Operation Descrit and Nitrogram	BAAD* O E		ur er 🖂					
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5								
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	☐ No	No If "yes", A below is required					
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?			☐ No If "yes", B below is required					
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.								
								, and could be a complete and the could be a complete and
Compliance criteria			T					
a. Operating Permit number:			☐ Yes ☐ No					
Have the Operating Permit requirement								
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning		g?	☐ Yes ☐ No					
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.								

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

9155 182nd St N Forest Lake, MN 55025

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

9/13/2019

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2	
0-20"	10YR 3/2 sandy loam	0-16"	10YR 3/2 sandy loam, 5/2 reductions present below 14"	
20-24"	10YR 4/3 sandy loam, redox present below 24"	16-24"	10YR 4/3 sandy loam, redox present below 16"	
24-28"	10YR 5/4 clay loam	24-28"	10YR 4/4 clay loam	
			*1' separation credit	
	7.7 600		2.3 foot	
End of boring at Standing water table: Present at Standing water not present in hole Mottled Soil: Observed at 2 feet of depth Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments: Hours after boring X		End of boring at 2.3 feet Standing water table: Present at feet of depth Standing water not present in hole Mottled Soil: Observed at 1 feet of depth Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments:		
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4	
0	feet	0	feet	
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not y Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water ta Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	ble: feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth	