#### **ZIERKE SOIL TESTING**

Rich Evans 11120 Mayberry Trail Marine on St Croix, MN 55047

9/13/2019

Dear Rich Evans,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Compliance Inspection Form**

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

<b>Inspection results</b> based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/13/2019	
y a commence of the commence o	pliant – Notice of Noncompliance le Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent to Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwer Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwer Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwer Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	nreat to public health and safety nter rotect groundwater vater
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Ra	nge:
Property address: 11120 Mayberry Trl Marine on St Croix, MN 55047 Reason	for inspection: Sale
Property owner: Rich Evans Owner's	s phone: 651-433-2501
Owner's representative: Represe	antativa abana
•	entative phone:ory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: Pre-cast septic tank and gravity rock trench drainfield	ory authority priorite
Comments or recommendations:	
Passed inspection in 2004, those documents also attached as they are not present in	Washington County files.
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke Certifica	ation number: C9594
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing Lice	ense number: _L119
Inspector signature: Pr	one number: 651-249-1346
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
⊠ Soil boring logs	r local ordinance
☐ Other information (list): Tank Integrity Form, previous inspection	

			(min/da/yyyy)		
1.	Impact on Public Health - 0	Compliance compor	nent #1 of 5		
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Searched for surface outlet		
8	ground surface.		Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home		
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes		
		☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)		
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ res ☐ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system		
	Any "yes" answer above ind	icates the	<ul> <li>☐ System requires "emergency" pumping</li> <li>☐ Performed dye test</li> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
	system is an imminent threa				
	health and safety.		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Comments/Explanation:				
	Rich has not had any issues with the	system. No signs of pon	ding or leakage during site visit 9/13/2019.		
2.	Tank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of 5			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom		
	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		☐ Examined construction records		
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.				
1.9	Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Observed liquid level below operating depth		
	designed operating depth.		Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)		
9	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		<ul><li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li><li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li></ul>		
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
8	system is failing to protect g	roundwater.	_ Street methods not listed (eee Somments Explanation)		
	Comments/Explanation:	San attacked			
	Tank pumped 8/29/2019 by Smilies. S	see attached.			
3.	Other Compliance Condition	<b>ns</b> — Compliance com	monent #3 of 5		
			ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.				
	Explain:				
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No				
	*System is failing to protect gro	unawater.			
	Explain:				

Inspector initials/Date: BZ | 9/13/2019

Property address: 11120 Mayberry Trl Marine on St Croix, MN 55047

4 Soil Congration Compliance				(mm/dd/yyyy)	
<b>4. Soil Separation</b> – Compliance conditions of the separation – Compliance conditions 1986	Unknown	Verif	cation method(s):	4-	
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil of obser unless	bservation does not expire. Pr vations by two independent pa s site conditions have been alt ements differ.	arties are sufficient,	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □ No		nducted soil observation(s) (A	ttach boring logs)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead			☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)		
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		drainfield)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Un	able to verify (See Comments/E	explanation)	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Oti	ner (See Comments/Explanation)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comm	nents/Explanation:		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevatio		Г	
or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bot	tom of distribution media	95.8'	
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)				00.71	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical	_		riodically saturated soil/bedrock	92.7'+	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			stem separation	3.1'+	
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater.  5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	•	*May Ordir	quired compliance separation* be reduced up to 15 percent if nance.  nponent #5 of 5	2.0' fallowed by Local  Not applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	s 🗌 No			
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge		s 🗌 No			
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)		design	• 33 • 23 0 0 0 0		
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.					
Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number:					
Have the Operating Permit requirement	ents been met?		☐ Yes ☐ No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functioni	ng?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc					
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55 discontinued within ten months of receipt of this ground water, the system must be upgraded, re- is not failing as defined in law, and has at least t its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in con	notice or within a shorter placed, or its use disconti wo feet of design soil sep ordinance that is more stri	period if re nued withi aration, th ct. This pr	equired by local ordinance. If the s n the time required by local ordina en the system need not be upgrad ovision does not apply to systems	system is failing to protect ance. If an existing system ded, repaired, replaced, or in shoreland areas,	

Inspector initials/Date: BZ | 9/13/2019

Property address: 11120 Mayberry Trl Marine on St Croix, MN 55047

651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats www.pca.state.mn.us • wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 3 of 3

### **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project:

11120 Mayberry Trl Marine on St Croix, MN 55047

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

9/13/2019

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0 0-6" 6-28" 28-60"	Boring Number 1  10YR 3/3 loamy sand  10YR 4/3 loamy sand, 5% rock  10YR 4/4 fine sand, 5% rock  10YR 6/3 fine sand, fine 4/6 bands, 0% rock	Depth, in Inches O	Boring Number 2
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring  feet of depth Feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tabl Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth
Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 4
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring  feet of depth Feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tabl Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not press Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth



# Email to : Tich . evans @ hbFuller . Com

## SECTION 13: Forms and Reference = 13-53

Parcel number:	system status:   Compliant  Noncompliant  as determined by this form)	
Tank Integrity and Safety Compliance – Compliance	e Inspection Form for Existing SSTS	
Compliance Issue #2 of 4	Souling house	
Date of observation: 8 39 9 Reason for observation:	Sentes	
This form expires on (three years):	The state of the Park	
Compliance questions/criteria: (Required)	Verification Method**: (Optional)	
(Check the appropriate box)	(Check the appropriate box)	
Does the system consist of a seepage pit*, Yes No cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit?	Probed tank bottom Observed low liquid level	
Do any sewage tanks) leak below their	☐ Examined construction records	
designed operating depth?	Examined empty (pumped) tank	
If yes, identify which	Probed outside tank for "black soil"	
sewage tank leaks.  Any "yes" answer indicates that the system is falling to protect	Pressure/vacuum check	
ground water.	Other:	
* Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed		
in ordinance by local permitting authority.	** No standard protocol exists. This list is not exhaustive, in sequential order, nor does it indicate which combinations are necessary to make this determination.	
Safety Check  1. Are maintenance hole covers damaged, cracked, or appeared to be  2. Were maintenance hole covers replaced in a secured manner (e.g.,  3. Was secondary access restraint present (safety pan, second cover,  4. Are other safety/health issue present?		
Explain: *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
Certification  This form is to be completed and attached to the Summary Form of the Inspection Form for Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System to the Summary Form of the Inspection Form for Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System for Existing System for Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System for Existing System	ne Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) Compliance tems. Observations, interpretations, and conclusions must be different must be submitted to the local unit of government within	
Property address.		
Property owner's address (if different):  Property owner phone:		
I hereby certify that I personally made the observations, interpretation	and conclusions reported on this form and that they are	
correct. Kally Valente	Certification number:	
Name:	Sewer Service 12428 or	
Business license hallis and hallist	rea County a batio	
Name of local unit of government:	Date: 8 29 19	
Signature:	Available in alternative formats	
www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 = 800-657-3864 • wg-wwists4-31 • 4/24/09	TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in atternative formats Page 3 of 8	

THOMAS R. BORCHARDT 6032 410th St., PO Box 484 North Branch, MN 55056 MPCA # 935 651-674-7974 Voice 651-674-5658 Fax

## **Point of Sale Sewer Inspection**

Reference # 04-043	Date: 7-19-04
Owner: Donald & Constance Tommerdahl	
Property Address: 11120 Mayberry Tr. Sca	ndia, MN 55073
Ordered By: same	
Address: 24397 Olinda Tr. Scandia, MN 5	5073
To Whom It May Concern:	
An inspection of the above-described property was	conducted on 7-19-04
The system's location, elevation and design is:	
X In Compliance In Nonco	mpliance
with existing ordinances as adopted and enforced by 7080.	y Minnesota MPCA rules, Chapter
Certification of this system does not warrant any fube hydraulically overloaded as a result of improper	nture use. Any System, old or new, can maintenance and/or heavy usage.
NOTES: Tank was opened, pumped and checked, levels in tank were normal. A boring was done: 0-8 Drainfield is 4 gravity trenches. 2 trenches are not a Tank is Ok	34" 5/4 10yr sand and gravel.
Separation does exist. No backups found or indicate	ted.
Please contact this office with any questions you m 7974	ay have about this matter. 651-674-
A	
Sincerely,	

Thomas R. Borchardt Septic Inspector

MPCA # 935