

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

	Its based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agenc attached forms – additional local requirements m	
	ed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) a	
System Statu	S	
System sta	tus on date (mm/dd/yyyy):11/5/2019	
(Valid for 3	nt – Certificate of Compliance years from report date, unless shorter time ed in Local Ordinance.)	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s)	for noncompliance (check all applicable	e)
	lic Health (Compliance Component #1) – Immin	
		ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
	Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing	
	Compliance Conditions (Compliance Compone	
	eparation (Compliance Component #4) – Failin	
∟ Opera	ating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Com	oliance Component #5) – Noncompliant
		0,5
Proporty Info	rmation	
Property Info		ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 11.28.20.24.0030
Property address:	1470 Quasar Ct S Lakeland, MN 55043	Reason for inspection: property sale
Property owner:		Owner's phone:
Owner's represen	totivo: Voronico Spruto Kaller Williams Bask	Decree and all or all areas 054 000 0004
Owner's represen		
Local regulatory a		Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system desc Comments or red		ity, rock trench drainfield.
Certification		
determination of fo	at all the necessary information has been gather uture system performance has been nor can be the system, inadequate maintenance, or future	red to determine the compliance status of this system. No made due to unknown conditions during system construction, water usage.
Inspector name:	Tom Trooien	Certification number: 323
Business name:	All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568
Inspector signatur	e: Ton room	Phone number: 612-594-4496
	Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring log		☐ Forms per local ordinance
☐ Other informat	ion (list):	
www.pca.state.mn. wg-wwists4-31b •		TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats

System discharges sewage to the ground surface component #1 of 5	Property address: 1470 Quasar Ct S Lake	land, MN 5	55043	Inspector initials/Date: TT 11/5/2019 (mm/dd/yyyy)			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface. Yes No ground surface. Yes No ground surface. Yes No tile or surface waters. Yes No tile or surface waters. Yes No dwelling or establishment. Yes No twelling or establishment. Yes No twelling or establishment. Yes No twelling or establishment. Yes Yes No twelling or establishment. Yes							
System discharges sewage to the ground surface. System discharges sewage to drain Yes No Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Excessive pondi	1. Impact on Public Health – C	Complian	ce compo	onent #1 of 5			
Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/d-backup in ponding in soil system System in soil soil system in soil soil system in soil soil system/d-backup in ponding in soil system System in soil soil system in soil soil system in soil soil system/d-backup in ponding in soil system Sy	Compliance criteria:			Verification method(s):			
System discharges sewage to drain Yes No title or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 mey be compliant Seepage pits meeting 1080.2550 mey be compliant Seepage pits meeting 400 metals Seepage pits meeting 5080.2550 mey be compliant Seepage pits meeting 600 metals Seepage pits meeting 600 metals Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 mey be compliant Seepage pits meeting 600 metals Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 mey be compliant		☐ Yes	⊠ No				
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments Explanation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080 2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Weiffication method(s): System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes No cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080 2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Yes No designed operating depth. Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not liste		☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments Explanation: Comments Explanation: Comments Co		☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system			
2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria:	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public			☐ Performed dye test☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes No No Examined construction records Examined tank (s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Yes No No Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No U *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: Yes* No Ves* Yes* No Ves* System is failing to protect groundwater. Yes* No Ves* Yes* No Ves* Yes* Ye	Comments/Explanation:						
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	2. Tank Integrity — Compliance	compon	ent #2 of 5	5			
cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ U *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . □ Yes* ⋈ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.	Compliance criteria:			Verification method(s):			
Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth Yes No Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No U *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain:		☐ Yes	⊠ No				
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.			☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) □ Othe		☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
Any yes answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:						
 3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.				☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. \[\text{ Yes* } \times \ \text{ No } \[\text{ U} \] b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. \[\text{ Yes* } \times \ \text{ No } \[\text{ U} \] *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. \[\text{ Explain:} \] c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . \[\text{ Yes* } \times \ \text{ No } \] *System is failing to protect groundwater. 		os Com		concernt #2 of E			
 b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ U *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 							
 c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. 	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	to immedi	ately and adv	versely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow			
*System is failing to protect groundwater.		o public he	ealth and sa	fety.			
Explain:			other condition	ons as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No			
	Explain:						

wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 1470 Quasar Ct S Lakeland	, MN 55043	Inspector initials/Date: TT 11/5/2019				
				(mm/dd/yyyy)		
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	mnonent #4 of 5					
Date of installation: 9/8/1994 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verifi	cation method(s):			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage	☐ Yes ☒ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local				
lodging?	☐ Yes ☒ No					
Compliance criteria:			ements differ.	10704 07 7004		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)				
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	2.00 2.00		o previous verifications (Attac			
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)				
		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically						
saturated soil or bedrock.			ici (dee dominents/Explanation	,		
Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comn	nents/Explanation:			
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead		B-1				
Protection Areas or serving a food,		0-12 to	psoil	10 YR 3/2		
beverage, or lodging establishment:			loamy sand/gravel			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			,			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			course sand/gravel			
			course sand		+	
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations				
or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bot	tom of distribution media	3		
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector						
License required)		B. Per	iodically saturated soil/bedrock	5.5		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation		2.5		
saturated soil or bedrock.	D Re		quired compliance separation*	2		
Any "no" answer above indicates t	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local				
failing to protect groundwater.		Ordin	ance.			
5 Operating Permit and Nitroger	RAAD* Complia	200 000	anonont #5 of 5	Not applicable		
5. Operating Permit and Nitroger						
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit? LJ Ye	s 🗌 No	If "yes", A below is requ	ired		
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge	n BMP?	s 🗌 No	If "yes", B below is requ	ired		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified in the systen	n design				
If the answer to both questions is "r	no". this section do	oes not i	need to be completed.			
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number: <u>n/a</u>			☐ Yes ☐ No			
Have the Operating Permit requirement	ents been met?					
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly function	ing?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	ompliance.					
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55 discontinued within ten months of receipt of this						
ground water, the system must be upgraded, re	olaced, or its use discont	inued withi	n the time required by local ordin	nance. If an existing syst	tem	
is not failing as defined in law, and has at least t its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local o	rdinance that is more str	rict. This pro	ovision does not apply to system	s in shoreland areas,	ı, or	
Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in cor	nnection with food, bever	age, and lo	dging establishments as defined	in law.		

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 3 of 3 10001 1000 1470, Quasar Au S. Lh St Creix Brach Mr