1 of 10

## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear L	ake, MN 55110	Brian Humpal
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwest	tsoiltesting.com	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TR	EATMENT SYSTEM	(SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT
Date: January 21, 2020	<b>Time:</b> 9:30 AM	<b>Owner:</b> Jay Johnson
<b>Inspection Address:</b> 13885 44 <sup>th</sup> S	St S, Afton, MN Site	Conditions: 6" Snow 6" Frost

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This older system (installed in 1991) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194 COMPLIA Existing Subsur

# **Compliance Inspection Form**

# Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA)	For local tracking purposes:
requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days

#### System Status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 1/21/2020

#### Compliant – Certificate of Compliance

(Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

#### ] Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance

(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) Noncompliant

#### **Property Information**

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Property address: 13885	44 <sup>th</sup> St S, Afton, MN 55001	Reason for inspection: Property Transfer
Property owner: Jay Johr	ison	Owner's phone: 651-387-9051
or		
Owner's representative:		Representative phone:
Local regulatory authority:	Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description:	A pre-cast septic tank and a rock tre	ench drainfield.
Commonto or recommondo	lional	

Comments or recommendations:

#### Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name:	Brian Humpal/	Christopher Uebe	Cer	tification number:	C5342/C9852	
Business name:	Midwest Sewer	r Services		License number:	L2896	
Inspector signature	e:	an Humpal Afren Va		Phone number:	651-492-7550	
Necessary or	Locally Rec	quired Attachments				
🛛 Soil boring lo	gs 🛛 🖂	System/As-built drawing	🗌 Form	s per local ordinan	ice	
I Other information	ation (list): <u>Re</u>	eport Summary, Property Informa	ation, Disclaime	er, License		

2 of 10

#### 1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:	1
System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
Any "ves" answer above indicates	s the system is

an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.

Comments/Explanation:

None of the above found.

#### Verification method(s):

- Searched for surface outlet
- Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
- Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
- Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
- "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
- System requires "emergency" pumping
- Performed dye test
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

#### 2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		

#### Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.

Comments/Explanation:

Lowered underwater camera into tank - baffles and tank walls OK.

#### Verification method(s):

Probed tank(s) bottom
 Examined construction records
 Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
 Observed liquid level below operating depth
 Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
 Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
 Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
 Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

#### 3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

~	Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unse	actived or appear to atrusturally		
a.	Indifice the covers are darraged, clacked, unse	eculeu, or appear to structurally		

b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  $\Box$  Yes\*  $\boxtimes$  No  $\Box$  Unknown \*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes\* No **\*System is failing to protect groundwater** 

Explain:

#### 4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation: _ 1991	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	Soil observation does not expire. Pr	
Compliance criteria:		observations by two independent pa unless site conditions have been alt	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	⊠ Yes 🔲 No	<ul> <li>requirements differ.</li> <li>Conducted soil observation(s) (A</li> <li>Two previous verifications (Attac</li> <li>Not applicable (Holding tank(s), not</li> <li>Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>Other (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>	h boring logs) o drainfield) Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1,	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Comments/Explanation:	
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		Reviewed design and permit record	S.
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	□ Yes □ No	Indicate depths of elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation	
		D. Required compliance separation*	
Any "no" answer above indicates t Failing to Protect Groundwater.	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Local
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	<b>MP*</b> – Compliance	component #5 of 5 🛛 🛛 Not appl	icable
Is the system operated under an Operating Per	mit? □ Yes [	□ No If "yes", A below is required	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM		□ No If "yes", B below is required	
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specif		•	

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

#### **Compliance criteria**

5.

a.	Operating Permit number:	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

#### Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.


**Upgrade Requirements** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

### <u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: January 21, 2020	Time: 9:30 AM
Property Address: 13885 44 <sup>th</sup> St S, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001
Property Owner: Jay Johnson	Phone: 651-387-9051
Tank(s)Tank(s)MaterialSoil Treatment SystemSeptic 1FiberglassRock trenchAerobicPlasticGravelless trenchLiftMetalChamber trenchHoldingConcreteSeepage bedOther:BlockMoundOtherOtherAt-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No *If	
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t	
*	Tank size (gals.): 1500
	sidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 3         Are all floors drained by grades	
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	s connected to this system?
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings?
Location of septic system on lot? North Side	
	e well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as:	
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service
	on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to th	e new owner?

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



#### 7 of 10

## Soil Observations Log

Observations Made By:       Midwest Sewer Services       Date:       1/21/2020         Classification System:       USDA       Soil Observation:       ST-1       Soil Observation:       Surface         Elevation of Observation       Same ground surface as last drainfield trench       Surface Elevation of Observation       Surface       Elevation of Observation         Depth In Inches       Rock %       Soils Encountered       Depth In Inches       Rock %       Soils Encountered         0-14       10YR 3/2 Silt Loam       IOYR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel       Refusal At 66"       Refusal At 66"         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Observation Or Redox         3ame       Elevation Of Observation Relative To System       Elevation Of Observation Media       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media		Locati	on of Project:	13885 44th St S, A	fton, M	N 5500	1	
Soil Observation:       ST-1       Soil Observation:         Surface Elevation of Observation       Same ground surface as last drainfield trench       Surface Elevation of Observation         Depth In Inches       Rock %       Soils Encountered       Depth In Inches       Rock %       Soils Encountered         0-14       10YR 2/2 Silt Loam       Inches       Reck %       Soils Encountered       Inches         0-14       10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel       Refusal At 66"       Inches       Inches       Inches         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Relative To System       Elevation Of Observation Relative To System         -36"       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	Ot							1/21/2020
Surface Elevation of Observation       Same ground surface as last drainfield trench       Surface Elevation of Observation         Depth In Inches       Rock %       Soils Encountered       Depth In Inches       Rock %       Soils Encountered         0-14 14-66       ≈10       10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel Refusal At 66"       Depth In INCHES       Rock %       Soils Encountered         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Relative To System       Elevation Of Observation Relative To System         -36"       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	C	lassific	ation System:	USDA				
Elevation of Observation       Same ground surface as last drainfield trench       Elevation of Observation         Depth In Inches       Rock %       Soils Encountered       Depth In Inches       Rock %       Soils Encountered         0-14       10YR 2/2 Silt Loam       10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel       Refusal At 66"       Refusal At 66"         0       Refusal At 66"       Refusal At 66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Relative To System       Elevation Of Observation Relative To System         -36"       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media		Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
Inches       Rock %       Solis Encountered       John Rock %       Solis Encountered         0-14       10YR 2/2 Silt Loam       Inches       Inches       Inches       Inches         14-66       ≈10       10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel       Refusal At 66"       Inches       Inches       Inches         Refusal At 66"       Refusal At 66"       Inches       Inches       Inches       Inches       Inches         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Relative To System       Elevation Of Observation Relative To System         -36"       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	Elevat	ion of	-		Elevat	tion of		
14-66       ≈10       10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel         Refusal At 66"       Refusal At 66"         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox         66"       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox       Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox         Same       Elevation Of Observation Relative To System       Elevation Of Observation Relative To System         -36"       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media       Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media		Rock %	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered		Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
SameElevation Of Observation Relative To SystemElevation Of Observation Relative To System-36"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution MediaDepth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	-	≈10	10YR 3/4 Loar	ny Sand With Gravel				
SameElevation Of Observation Relative To SystemElevation Of Observation Relative To System-36"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution MediaDepth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	66"	Denth T	o End Of Soil O	hservation Or Redox		Denth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
I NOON LOt Conservation				stribution Media				Distribution Media
≥30"  Of Separation  Of Separation	≥30"	Of Sepa	iration			Of Sepa	aration	
End Of Soil Observation At: 66" End Of Soil Observation At:	End	Of Sail (	hservation At-	66"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At-	
Redox Present At:     None     Redox Present At:								
Standing Water Present At:     None     Standing Water Present At:	Stan				Standi			

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 36 Inches

Signature:

Afren Va

1991 2.5.7 V R.D. WH 2.2

				- Soi	e of it	<b>75</b> -			
	determin soils at location	rings are made ne the type and t various dept n of the waten or bedrock.	d struc hs as w	er to ture of ell as the			SAND	PSOILX Y LOAN	×××××
ľ	hand aug	are most easi ger, however o Itilized - bac Stc.	ther ex	pedients			SANDY	CLAY	
3	should b	acountered at be listed as t composition.	various o appea:	depths rance, text-					
		Which water, Wer is encount L.			т	YPICAL	SOIL	PROF	ΊLΞ
1	Soil Bor Number	rings done by <i>CC   9 </i>		) on <u>/-/7-</u> (de OF SOIL			A Certifi	leation	
							•		
	BOR	ING NO. A	BOR	ING NOL 20	BORI	NG NO. 3	BORI	NG NO.	4
	DEPTH	SOIL	OEPTH	SOIL	OEPTH	NG NO. 3 SOIL	DEPTH	NG NO.	
		SOIL DESCRIPTION 70 PSOIL 2'4" LOAM 6'6" SAND	OEPTH IN FEET O I/2	SOIL	DEPTH	SOIL	DEPTH	SOIL	

Ċ.

.

20.02.0 Į,

## **DISCLAIMER**

#### Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

# **Midwest Sewer Services**

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2020

Issued: 11/26/2019

## **Specialty Area(s):**

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

# **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires:
C5342	Brian L Humpal	10/15/2023
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector	
C9852 <	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2021
	Designer, Inspector	

## MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit