address. 9021 200th STreet North F	orest Lake	Inspector initials/Date:	
			(mm/dd/yyyy)
4. Soil Separation - Compliance c	omponent #4 of 5		
Date of installation: 7/8/1998 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Probservations by two independent particles of the control of	arties are sufficient.
Compliance criteria:		unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Conducted soil observation(s) (A	ttach borina loas)
		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
		☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance	⊠ Yes □ No	Commonta/Funtament	
	⊠ res □ No	Comments/Explanation:	
systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		See two soil borings from previous inspections/designer. I also did additional boring and have attached soil log.	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate double and the	
	L les L No	Indicate depths or elevations	
		Bottom of distribution media	24
		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	66
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation	42
Any "no" answer above indicates ti	he system is	D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if	36
failing to protect groundwater.  Ordinance.			
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5			
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Yes No If "yes", A below is required  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required			
, _ , _ note it is to quite			
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design			
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.			
Compliance criteria			
Operating Permit number:		□ Vac. □ Na	
Have the Operating Permit requirement	☐ Yes ☐ No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	g?		
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.			
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.			

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats 800-657-3864 wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14