

ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Jared Drengson
9514 122nd St N
Hugo, MN 55038

2/19/2020

Dear Jared Drengson,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is compliant. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,



Benjamin Zierke
MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS:
28587 Jeffrey Ave
Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346
EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North
St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form
Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms - additional local requirements may also apply.

Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days

For local tracking purposes:

System Status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/19/2020

[X] Compliant - Certificate of Compliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

[] Noncompliant - Notice of Noncompliance
(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- [] Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) - Imminent threat to public health and safety
[] Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent threat to public health and safety
[] Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) - Failing to protect groundwater
[] Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater
[] Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) - Failing to protect groundwater
[] Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) - Noncompliant

Property Information

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Property address: 9514 122nd St N Hugo, MN 55038

Reason for inspection: Sale

Property owner: Jared Drengson

Owner's phone: 612-290-2728

or

Owner's representative:

Representative phone:

Local regulatory authority: Washington County

Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655

Brief system description: (2) 1000 gallon septic tanks, 1000 gallon lift station, 10'x60' rock bed mound dispersal system

Comments or recommendations:

Passed compliance April 2018. Soil observations are from that inspection.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke

Certification number: C9594

Business name: Zierke Soil Testing

License number: L119

Inspector signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Phone number: 651-249-1346

Necessary or Locally Required Attachments

- [X] Soil boring logs
[X] System/As-built drawing
[] Forms per local ordinance
[] Other information (list):

1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Any “yes” answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Comments/Explanation:

Jared has not had any issues with the system. No signs of ponding or leakage in mound area during site visit. 2/17/2020.

Verification method(s):

- Searched for surface outlet
- Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
- Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
- Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
- “Black soil” above soil dispersal system
- System requires “emergency” pumping
- Performed dye test
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. <i>Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Any “yes” answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Comments/Explanation:

Present for pumping 2/17/2020 by Smilies Sewer. Tanks were overfull due to a dead pump. Pump was replaced 2/18/2020 by Smilies.

Verification method(s):

- Probed tank(s) bottom
- Examined construction records
- Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
- Observed liquid level below operating depth
- Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
- Probed outside tank(s) for “black soil”
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

- a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown
- b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown
***System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.**

Explain:

- c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. Yes* No
***System is failing to protect groundwater.**

Explain:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation: 5/11/2004 Unknown
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Yes No

Compliance criteria:

For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Verification method(s):

Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.

- Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)
- Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)
- Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other (See Comments/Explanation)

Comments/Explanation:

Indicate depths or elevations

A. Bottom of distribution media	101.2'
B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	97.0'
C. System separation	4.2'
D. Required compliance separation*	3.0'

*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.

Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 **Not applicable**

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No **If "yes", A below is required**

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No **If "yes", B below is required**

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria

a. Operating Permit number: _____ Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 9514 122nd St N Hugo, MN 55038

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date: 4/10/2018

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-----	-----	0-----	-----
0-12"	10YR 3/3 loamy fine sand	0-12"	Mixed topsoil and mound sand fill
12-60"	10YR 4/4 loamy fine sand, faint redox around fine banding below 36", 36". Fine bands were 10YR 4/6 in color.	12-20"	10YR 3/3 loamy fine sand
		20-32"	10YR 4/4 loamy fine sand, redox present below 26"
		32-36"	7.5YR 4/4 massive sandy loam, redox present throughout profile

End of boring at 5 feet

Standing water table:

Present at _____ feet of depth _____ Hours after boring

Standing water not present in hole

Mottled Soil:

Observed at 3 feet of depth

Mottled soil not present in bore hole

Comments:

End of boring at 3 feet

Standing water table:

Present at _____ feet of depth _____ Hours after boring

Standing water not present in hole

Mottled Soil:

Observed at 2.2 feet of depth

Mottled soil not present in bore hole

Comments:

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
0-----	-----	0-----	-----

End of boring at _____ feet

Standing water table:

Present at _____ feet of depth _____ Hours after boring

Standing water not present in hole

Mottled Soil:

Observed at _____ feet of depth

Mottled soil not present in bore hole

Comments:

End of boring at _____ feet

Standing water table:

Present at _____ feet of depth _____ Hours after boring

Standing water not present in hole

Mottled Soil:

Observed at _____ feet of depth

Mottled soil not present in bore hole

Comments:

Relative Elevations and Site Notes

B1: 100.0, redox 97.0

B2: 98.1, redox 95.9

Top of rock: 102.2

Bottom of rock: 101.2

B1 Separation: 4.2

B2 Separation: 5.3

Benchmark: 95.6 (cover on lift tank)

Height of instrument: 105.8

*It was difficult to obtain a second representative sample along the east side of the contour that the mound was installed on. Despite setting up further downslope from the mound than B1, I still encountered mound sand and other fill soils. The system was constructed right on the edge of a dropoff - I would consider B1 to the most representative boring of the soil conditions where the system was installed.

Google Earth

