#### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bea	Brian Humpal			
651-492-7550/Brian@Midv	MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector			
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT				
Date: March 31, 2020	<b>Time:</b> 10:50 AM	<b>Owner:</b> Daniel Kantos		
Inspection Address: 9704 Manning Ave N, Grant, MN 55082				

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system consists of a fiberglass septic tank (leaking) and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years.

A boring was performed adjacent to the fiberglass tank. Grey and saturated soils were found at the joint of the fiberglass tank. These are indicators that the fiberglass tank is leaking at this joint and is no longer watertight. In addition, there are two unabandoned cesspools that should be properly abandoned to prevent a future imminent health threat.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils and the leaking fiberglass septic tank.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal

Control Agency		ce Inspection Form	
520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194	Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement		
<b>Instructions:</b> Inspection results based on Minnesota requirements and attached forms – additional local results and attached forms – additional local results are additional local results.	5,(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	For local tracking purposes:	
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Governi within 15 days	ment (LUG) and system owner		
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):3/3	31/2020		

Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)

#### 🛛 Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance

(See Upgrade Requirements on page 3)

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- ⊠ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) Noncompliant

#### **Property Information**

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Property address:	operty address: _ 9704 Manning Ave N, Grant, MN 55082		Reaso	n for inspection:	Property Transfer
Property owner:	roperty owner: Daniel Kantos		Owner	Owner's phone:	
or					
Owner's represent	ative:		Repres	sentative phone:	
Local regulatory authority: Washington County		Regula	Regulatory authority phone:651-430-6655		
Brief system descr	ription:	A fiberglass septic tank (leaking) and a rock trench drainfield.			

Comments or recommendations:

A boring was performed adjacent to the fiberglass tank. Grey and saturated soils were found at the joint of the fiberglass tank. These are indicators that the fiberglass tank is leaking at this joint and is no longer watertight. In addition, there are two unabandoned cesspools that should be properly abandoned to prevent a future imminent health threat.

#### Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name:	Brian Humpal/Christopher Uebe	Certification number:	C5342/C9852		
Business name:	Midwest Sewer Services	License number:	L2896		
Inspector signatu	re: Brian Humpal Afra Ma	Phone number:	651-492-7550		
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments					
🛛 Soil boring lo	ogs 🛛 System/As-built drawing	Forms per local ordinan	се		
Other inform	ation (list): Report Summary, Property Information	on, Disclaimer, License			

#### 1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

# Compliance criteria: System discharge sewage to the ground surface. System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.

Comments/Explanation:

None of the above found.

#### Verification method(s):

- Searched for surface outlet
- Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
- Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
- Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
- "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
- System requires "emergency" pumping
- Performed dye test
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

#### 2. Tank Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
System consists of a seepage pit,	🖾 Yes 🔲 No	Probed tank(s) bottom	
cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		Examined construction records	
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	
compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Observed liquid level below operating depth	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	All Tanks	Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"	
		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	

Comments/Explanation:

A boring was performed adjacent to the fiberglass tank. Grey and saturated soils were found at the joint of the fiberglass tank. These are indicators that the fiberglass tank is leaking at this joint and is no longer watertight. In addition, there are two unabandoned cesspools that should be properly abandoned to prevent a future imminent health threat.

#### 3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

- a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. 🗌 Yes\* 🛛 No 🗌 Unknown
- b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. \*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes\* No \*System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

#### **4. Soil Separation** – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation:	Unknown	Verification method(s):			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	Soil observation does not expire. Pi			
Compliance criteria:		unless site conditions have been all	observations by two independent parties are sufficien unless site conditions have been altered or local		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	<ul> <li>requirements differ.</li> <li>Conducted soil observation(s) (A</li> <li>Two previous verifications (Attact</li> <li>Not applicable (Holding tank(s), not</li> <li>Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation</li> <li>Other (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>	ch boring logs) o drainfield) Explanation)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	Yes No	Comments/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	Yes No	Indicate depths of elevations           A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attachec Boring Log(s)		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock         C. System separation			
Any "no" answer above indicates th Failing to Protect Groundwater.	he system is	<ul> <li><u>D.</u> Required compliance separation*</li> <li>*May be reduced up to 15 percent in Ordinance.</li> </ul>	f allowed by Loca		
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	<b>MP*</b> – Compliance	e component #5 of 5 🛛 🛛 Not app	licable		
Is the system operated under an Operating Perr	mit? 🗌 Yes [	No If "yes", A below is required			
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	IP? 🗌 Yes [	□ No If "yes", B below is required			
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specifi	ied in the system des	ign			
If the answer to both questions is "no",	this section does	not need to be completed.			
Compliance criteria					
Compliance criteria           a. Operating Permit number:					
a. Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements b	🗌 Yes 🗌 No				

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

**Upgrade Requirements** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


☐ Yes ☐ No

#### <u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: March 3, 2020			Time: 10:30 AM	
^				
	Ave N, Grant, M	N	Zip: 55082	
Property Owner: Daniel Kantos			Phone:	
Tank(s)Tank(s)MateriaSeptic 1Fiberglass (1)AerobicPlasticLiftMetalHoldingConcreteOther:BlockOtherOther	Leaking) 🛛 Rock □Grave □Cham □Seepa □Moun	lless trench ber trench ge bed 2	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system 2 disconnected cesspools	
Are the tank maintenance covers as	ccessible? 🗌 Yes	s □No *If	no, proper maintenance must be	
performed through the maintenance the ground surface to facilitate acce	e holes. Maintena	ance hole cov	vers should be made accessible to	
	r septic installed: nches added	1979 Two	Tank size (gals.):	
How long has seller owned the pro	perty?	Number of r	esidents in home?	
Number of bedrooms? 3	Are all floors	drained by	gravity?	
Garbage disposal?	W	hirlpool bath	?	
More than one system (laundry, etc	c.)?	•		
Does this property have any footing		ected to the s	eptic system?	
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? West Side				
Location of water well on lot? East Side Is the well a deep well? Y			e well a deep well? Y	
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 2018Name of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years?Is system on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



#### Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 9704 Manning Ave N, Grant, MN 55082							
Obs			Midwest Sewer Ser			Date:	3/31/2020
Cl	assifica	ation System:	USDA				
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	ST-2
Surfa Elevati Observ	on of	-	nd surface as last field trench	Surface Elevation of Observation drainfield tree			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered	
0-26 26-36 36-52 52-64		10YR 4/ 10YR 4/3 Mediu 10YR 10YR 3/4 Mediu Loamy Sa 7.5YR 5/8 8	2 Loamy Sand 3 Loamy Sand um Sand (Moist) With 6/2 Redox um Sand (Moist) With and Layers And & 10YR 6/2 Redox Isal At 64"	0-9 9-17 17-29		10YF 10YR 3 5YR 5/8	2/2 Loamy Sand R 5/3 Silt Loam /3 Silt Loam With 8, 7.5YR 5/8, And YR 6/2 Redox
36" [	6" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			17"	Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same E	Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System		Same	me Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			
-60" [	50" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			-38"	Depth T	o Bottom Of	Distribution Media
=0" Of Separation		=0"	Of Sepa				
End C		Observation At:	64"	End Of		servation At:	29"
Redox Present At: 36"				x Present At:	17"		
Standing Water Present At: None			Standi	ng Wate	r Present At:	None	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At:60 Inches On South SideBottom Of Distribution Medium At:38 Inches On North Side

12

the

Signature:

### **DISCLAIMER**

#### Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

# **Midwest Sewer Services**

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2020

Issued: 11/26/2019

## **Specialty Area(s):**

Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

# **Designated Certified Individual(s):**

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires:	
C5342	Brian L Humpal 10/15/2023		
	Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov,	Adv Designer, Adv Inspector	
C9852 ·	Christopher R Uebe	3/4/2021	
	Designer, Inspector		

#### MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Haig

Nick Haig, Supervisor Certification and Training Unit