

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system of within 15 days	owner
Custom Status	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/17/2020	
·	compliant – Notice of Noncompliance Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent	threat to public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imm	·
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect gro	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Faili	
☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect g	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comp	ponent #5) – Noncompliant
	
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/T	wp/Range: 2503021210004
	Reason for inspection: Property Sale
	Owner's phone:
or	
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:
	Regulatory authority phone:
Brief system description: 1250 gallon septic tank - drainfield trenches	
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determ determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to	unknown conditions during system construction,
possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Chris Bosshart C	Certification number: C2487
Business name: Environmental Design Group, Inc	License number: L1955
Inspector signature:	Phone number: 651-341-6938
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring logs ☐ System/As-built drawing ☐ Formula Control	rms per local ordinance
Other information (list):	

1. Impact on Public Health - Compliance component #1 of 5

	Compliance criteria:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Verification method(s):
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ☑ Searched for surface outlet ☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☑ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping
	Any "yes" answer above indi system is an imminent threat health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	Comments/Explanation:		☐ Other methods not listed (see Comments/Explanation)
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of 5	
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		 ☑ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
	Any "yes" answer above indi- system is failing to protect gr		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	Comments/Explanation:		
3.	Other Compliance Condition	s – Compliance com	ponent #3 of 5
	a. Maintenance hole covers are dama	ged, cracked, unsecured	d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	_	ersely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown ety.
	Explain:		
	c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect ground		ns as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No
	Explain:		

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 2 of 3

4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5				
Date of installation: 8/24/1987	Unknown	Verification method(s):			
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Pro observations by two independent pa unless site conditions have been alto requirements differ.	rties are sufficient,		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically	⊠ Yes □ No	 ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation) 			
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically	☐ Yes ☐ No	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation	28 inches 56 inches 28 inches		
saturated soil or bedrock. Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	•	D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance. ce component #5 of 5 N	24 inches allowed by Local lot applicable		
Is the system operated under an Operating Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s If the answer to both questions is "re	n BMP?	☐ No If "yes", B below is requirelesign			
Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requireme	ents been met?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place Any "no" answer indicates Nonc		g? Yes No			

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 Page 3 of 3

University of Minnesota

OSTP Soil Observation Log



Project ID:

Clie	ent/ Address:	William (Dhl, 7895	Leeward	d Ave N, Stillwater	Legal Desc	cription/ GPS:		2.50302E+	12
Soil parent m	naterial(s): (C	heck all th	nat apply		Outwash	☑ Loess □ T	ill 🔲 Alluvid	ım 🗌 Bedro	ock 🗌 Organio	c Matter
Landscape Po	osition: (checl	k one)	☐ Summit	☐ Shou	ılder 🗌 Back/Side Slop	e 🗌 Foot Slope	☐ Toe Slope	Slope shape	NO 5/2	ope
Vegetation Grass			So	il survey map units	120	Slope%	2.0	Elevation:		
Weather Con	eather Conditions/Time of Day: Sunny Mor				ning	Date 04/17/20		4/17/20		
Observation #/Location:			SB1			Obse	rvation Type:	n Type: Auger		
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix	Color(s)	lor(s) Hothle Calcuta	Dadau Ka K	1 1	Į.	II	
Deptil (iii)	- Texture	Frag. %	Matix	COIOI (S)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-19	Loam		10YR	4/4				Granular	Weak	Loose
19-32	Silt Loam		10YR	4/6			/	Blocky	Weak	Friable
32-56	Silt Loam		10YR	4/5			/	Blocky	Weak	Friable
56-60	Silt Loam		10YR	4/5	Red / Grey Z 5 Y 7/2	Depletions	S1	Blocky	Weak	Friable
	~	:		:						
		_		; ;			:			
Comments										
I hereby certi	ity that I have	completed	this work	in accord	dance with all applic	able ordinances,	rules and laws	5.		
Ch	ris Bosshart				Me Z			1955		4/2/2020
(Desi	gner/Inspecto	r)			(Signature)			(License #)	-	// (Date)

Washington County, Minnesota

120—Brill silt loam

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1t93l Elevation: 800 to 1,950 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 27 to 33 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 46 degrees F

Frost-free period: 135 to 180 days

Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Brill and similar soils: 90 percent Minor components: 10 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of

the mapunit.

Description of Brill

Setting

Landform: Drainageways on outwash plains

Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Loess over outwash

Typical profile

A - 0 to 3 inches: silt loam
E - 3 to 11 inches: silt loam
B/E - 11 to 14 inches: silt loam
Bt - 14 to 35 inches: silt loam

2C - 35 to 60 inches: stratified sand to coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Natural drainage class: Moderately well drained

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):

Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: About 30 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Available water storage in profile: Moderate (about 7.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2s

Hydrologic Soil Group: C

Forage suitability group: Sloping Upland, Acid (G090XN006MN)

Hydric soil rating: No

Washington County, MN



20 m

10

4/20/2020

/ Subsurf	Office: 651 430 co		LWATER, MN 55082-00 96 FAX: 651-430-6730		
prior to performing maintenance actions	" raing	maintenance perm	it. This permit must to of the maintenance a	Permit completed	
Property Address: 7885 Les was a De	A Washfellenede	Dosek see		عاد	
Municipality: Still Lunder ZIP5508, Maintenance Permit No: V 1519G 18640 M	be Property Many	Minneston at a			
Maintenance Performed		Sania	ompleted if tanks NO		
Tank(s) Pumped Sludge and soum measured Do tanks need to be pumped? Yes \(\sum_{\text{No}} \text{No} \) (if no provide measurements)	Liquid Level of Tank in Scum Level in Tank in Scum Level in Tank in Scum Level in Tank in Studge + Scum / Liquid Level X 100 = % Studge & Scum Tanks must be pumped if 25% or greater				
2. 13 there exidence of caric leadings it will a sort				deng depart of	
evidence of damaged, cracked, or structura	lly unsound maint	enance hole cover	S2 D Yes ZiNo Cover Damage		
evidence of damaged, cracked, or structura Tank Septic/Holding Tank #1	lly unsound maint	enance hole cover	s? Li Yes VINo		
evidence of damaged, cracked, or structura Tank Septic/Holding Tank #1	lly unsound maint Leaking Out	enance hole cover Leaking In	22 Li Yes I/INo Cover Damage		
evidence of damaged, cracked, or structura Tank Septic/Holding Tank #2 Septic/Holding Tank #2	Leaking Out	Leaking In	Cover Damage	, wp	
evidence of damaged, cracked, or structura Tank Septic/Holding Tank #1	Leaking Out Yes No	Leaking In Yes No	Cover Damage O Yes ONO		
Tank Septic/Holding Tank #2 Septic/Holding Tank #2 Pretreatment Tank Pump Tank How many gallons of septage were removed	Leaking Out Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Leaking In Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Cover Damage Yes Tho Yes Tho Yes Tho Yes Tho Yes Tho	Şul	
evidence of damaged, cracked, or structura Tank Septic/Holding Tank #1 Septic/Holding Tank #2 Pretreatment Tank Pump Tank 4. How many gallons of septage were removed Tank #1 250 gal Tank #2 5. Other information: List any troubleshooting, to Location of septage disposal:	Leaking Out Yes No Yes So	Leaking In Yes No Yes No Yes No No No No A tank Sewer Service Inc.	Cover Damage Yes Tho Yes Tho Yes Tho Yes Tho Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes O No Yes O No O Yes O No	Şul	