

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):4/29/2020	
	pliant – Notice of Noncompliance de Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat is Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent th Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwa Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to p Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	hreat to public health and safety hter rotect groundwater vater
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rai	
Property address: <u>8298 WINDBREAK TRL, LAKE ELMO</u> Reason Property owner: <u>Karen Matzke</u> Owner's	for inspection: Property Sale sphone:
or	
Owner's representative: Represe	
Local regulatory authority: Regulatory Regulatory Septic Tank - Pump Tank - Drainfield Trenches	ory authority phone:
Comments or recommendations:	
Drainfield does not have adequate separation for proper treatment. Course gravel for 30-36 inches.	und at 36 inches. Drainfield trenches are at
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Chris Bosshart Certifica	tion number: C2487
Business name: Environmental Design Group, Inc Lice	nse number: L1955
Inspector signature: Ph	one number: 651-341-6938
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring logs ☐ System/As-built drawing ☐ Forms per ☐ Other information (list):	r local ordinance

	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):				
	system discharges sewage to the round surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home 				
	system discharges sewage to drain le or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☑ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 				
	system causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	"Black soil" above soil dispersal system				
S	Any "yes" answer above indi system is an imminent threat realth and safety.		 ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 				
<u>2. T</u>	ank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of 5					
_C	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):				
	ystem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records				
	eepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be ompliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)				
_	ewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☐ No	Observed liquid level below operating depth				
	esigned operating depth.		Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)				
d			Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"				
d If	esigned operating depth.	cates the	_				
If A	esigned operating depth. yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indicates ystem is failing to protect gromments/Explanation:	cates the coundwater.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)				
If A	esigned operating depth. yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indic ystem is failing to protect gr	cates the coundwater.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)				
If A	esigned operating depth. yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indicates ystem is failing to protect gromments/Explanation:	cates the coundwater.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)				
de If A S	esigned operating depth. yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above indicates ystem is failing to protect gromments/Explanation:	cates the coundwater.	 □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☑ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 				
de If A S	esigned operating depth. yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above individed in the sewage tank (s) leaks: ny "yes" answer above individed in the sewage tank (s) leaks: omments/Explanation: anks were not pumped at the time of the sewage tank (s) leaks: ther Compliance Condition	cates the coundwater. inspection. S – Compliance com	 □ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☑ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 				
diff	esigned operating depth. yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank and the sewag	cates the coundwater. inspection. S — Compliance complete, cracked, unsecured to immediately and adverted to immediately adverted to immediately and adverted to immediately and adverted to immediately adverted to immediately and adverted to immediately adve	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) ponent #3 of 5 d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety.				
If A S C T a	esigned operating depth. yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above individual in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above in the sewage tank (s) l	cates the coundwater. inspection. S — Compliance complete, cracked, unsecured to immediately and adverted to immediately adverted to immediately and adverted to immediately and adverted to immediately adverted to immediately and adverted to immediately adve	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) ponent #3 of 5 d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety.				
If A S C T a	esigned operating depth. yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above indicated in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above indicated in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above indicated in the sewage tank (s) leaks: Iny "yes" answer above indicated in the sewage tank (s) leaks: ther Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	cates the roundwater. inspection. S — Compliance complete ged, cracked, unsecured to immediately and adverse public health and safe	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Donent #3 of 5 d, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknownersely.				

Property address: 8298 WINDBREAK TRL, LA	KE ELM	Inspector initials/Date: 4/29/2020					
					(mm/dd/yyyy)		
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	mpone	nt #4 of 5					
Date of installation:	☐ Unkı	nown	Verification method(s):				
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes	□No	obser unles:	revious soil arties are sufficient, tered or local			
Compliance criteria:			requirements differ.				
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)				
Protection Area or not serving a food,			Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)				
beverage or lodging establishment:			drainfield)				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)				
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)				
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Comr	ments/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*							
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes	☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations				
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.			A. Bottom of distribution media		30 - 36 inches		
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	В.			riodically saturated soil/bedrock	36 inches course gravel		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical				stem separation	0		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			<u> </u>	out of the second of the secon			
				quired compliance separation*	36 inches		
Any "no" answer above indicates the	ne syst	em is		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.			
failing to protect groundwater.							
				_			
Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP*	Complian	ce con	nponent #5 of 5 🛛 🗎 🖪	Not applicable		
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	☐ Yes	☐ No	lf "yes", A below is requi	red		
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	BMP?	☐ Yes	☐ No	If "yes", B below is requir	red		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s	pecified i	n the system	design				
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.							
Compliance criteria							
a. Operating Permit number:							
Have the Operating Permit requireme	nts been	met?	☐ Yes ☐ No				
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and prop	erly functionin	g?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Any "no" answer indicates Nonce	ompliai	nce.					

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 www.pca.state.mn.us • Page 3 of 3

University of Minnesota

OSTP Soil Observation Log



Project ID:

Client/ Address: Karen Matzke, 8				298 Windbreak Tr, L. Elmo Legal Description/ GPS:				09.029.21.24.0010			
Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) 🖸 Outwash 🗌 Lacustrine 🔲 Loess 🔲 Till 🔲 Alluvium 🔲 Bedrock 🔲 Organic Matter											
Landscape Position: (check one) ☐ Summit ☐ Shoulder ☐ Back/Side Slope ☐ Foot Slope ☐ Toe Slope Slope shape											
Vegetation		Grass		Soil survey map units 155C Slope%				6.0	Elevation:		
Weather Conditions/Time of Day:				Sunny Afternoon				Date	04/29/20		
Observation #/Location:					SB1		Obse	Observation Type: Auger			
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	l AAstriv	Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		StructureI		
		ilag. A						Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0-16	Loam		10YR	3/2				Granular	Weak	Friable	
16-24	Sandy Loam		10YR 5/6					Granular	Weak	Loose	
24-36	Silty Clay Loam		10YR 4/6					Blocky	Moderate	Friable	
36	Coarse Sandy Loam 35-50% 10YR		4/6				Granular	Structureless	Loose		
Comments Course grave (@ 3/1" - restricting layer											
I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. Chris Bosshart 1955											
7/29/2							7/29/2028				
(Designer/Inspector) (Signature) (License #) / (Date)											

Additional Soil Observation Logs

ONSITE
SEWAGE
TREATMENT
PROGRAM

Client/ Address: Karen Matzke, 8298 Windbreak Tr, L. Elmo Legal Description/ GPS: 09.029.21.24.0010 Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) ☑ Outwash ☐ Lacustrine ☐ Loess ☐ Till ☐ Alluvium ☐ Bedrock ☐ Organic Matter Landscape Position: (check one) ☐ Summit ☐ Shoulder ☐ Back/Side Slope ☐ Foot Slope ☐ Toe Slope Slope shape Soil survey map units 155C Vegetation Grass Slope% 6.0 Elevation: Weather Conditions/Time of Day: Sunny Afternoon 04/29/20 Date Observation #/Location: SB₂ **Observation Type:** Auger Rock I------ Structure-----I Depth (in) Texture Matrix Color(s) Mottle Color(s) Redox Kind(s) Indicator(s) Frag. % Shape Grade Consistence 0-16 Loam 10YR 3/2 Granular Weak Friable 16-24 Sandy Loam 10YR 5/6 Granular Weak Loose Silty Clay 24-36 10YR 4/6 Blocky Moderate Friable Loam Coarse Sandy 36 35-50% 10YR 4/6 Granular **Structureless** Loose Loam Comments Observation #/Location: **Observation Type:** Rock I-----I Depth (in) **Texture** Mottle Color(s) Matrix Color(s) Redox Kind(s) Indicator(s) Frag. % Shape Grade Consistence

Project ID:

#REF!

Comments

Washington County, Minnesota

155C—Chetek sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1t93x Elevation: 800 to 1,950 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 27 to 33 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 46 degrees F

Frost-free period: 135 to 180 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Chetek and similar soils: 90 percent Minor components: 10 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of

the mapunit.

Description of Chetek

Setting

Landform: Pitted outwash plains

Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder

Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Outwash

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam E - 8 to 14 inches: loam

Bt - 14 to 19 inches: gravelly sandy loam 2BC,2C - 19 to 60 inches: gravelly coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 6 to 12 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):

Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e

Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Forage suitability group: Sandy (G090XN022MN)

Hydric soil rating: No



