

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

For local tracking purposes: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms - additional local requirements may also apply. Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days System Status System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4-28-2020 Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.) frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) - Imminent threat to public health and safety ☑ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent threat to public health and safety Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) - Failing to protect groundwater ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant Property Information Parcel ID# or SecTwp/Bange: Gray Cloud Is land
Property address: 8087 Gray Cloud Island A. St. Reason for inspection: Dofer to The Control of the Cont Property owner: Markin or Representative phone: 65/-983-4625 Owner's representative: Anthony Verch

Local regulatory authority: 5+ Nanh Park - Inspection Inc. Regulatory authority phone: 65/-322-662C Brief system description: OW TANKS (Dbottom less W/Add Dain toild Comments or recommendations: What Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. Certification number: 925 Inspector name: -License number: ろ **Business name:** Phone number: 651-43-7734 Inspector signature **Necessary or Locally Required Attachments** System/As-built drawing Forms per local ordinance □ Soil boring logs Other information (list):

	perty address: 8087-6a, No	UR TRIANSCHUR J	Inspector initials/Date: 5 1/-29-203 (mm/dd/yyyy)	=(
غد	Investigation Bullion 10 Grant		•	
1.	Impact on Public Health - C	Compliance compon	ent #1 of 5	_
	Compliance criteria:	1	Verification method(s):	
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	Yes No	Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home	
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)	
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☑/No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system	
	Any "yes" answer above indi system is an imminent threat health and safety.		 ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 	
	Comments/Explanation:			
2.	Tank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of 5		
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	_
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	D⊄Yes □ No	☑ Probed tank(s) bottom	
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined construction records ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	[XÎÝes ☐ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	
-	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"	
_	Any "yes" answer above indi- system is failing to protect gr		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
	Comments/Explanation:			
3.	Other Compliance Condition	S – Compliance comp	ponent #3 of 5	
	a. Maintenance hole covers are dama	ged, cracked, unsecured	l, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☑Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	public health and safe	ty.	
		L va 11	unsafe!	
	Explain: Nood over TAN	K Man Note - Very		

Property address: 5087 - Grey A	autslander, 5	PP Inspector initials/Date 14-28-2020
		(mm/dd/yyyy)
4. Soil Separation - Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5	
Date of installation:	Unknown	Verification method(s):
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes 🔯 No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	Deep 160 Bottom	☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		Unable to verify
separation distance from periodically		Other (See Comments/Explanation)
saturated soil or bedrock.		• •
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance	Yes No	Comments/Explanation:
systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead		Mood Cool
Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		2+ 1 =
separation distance from periodically		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		a succession and a succ
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*
Any "no" answer above indicates ti	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local
failing to protect groundwater.	•	Ordinance.
Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP* - Compliand	e component #5 of 5 🔀 Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating		☐ No If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger		☐ No If "yes", B below is required
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s	_	
If the answer to both questions is "n	-	_
Compliance criteria		
a. Operating Permit number:		
Have the Operating Permit requirement	nts been met?	☐ Yes ☐ No
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functioning	? Yes No
Any "no" answer indicates Nonce		12.00 1.00
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) discontinued within ten months of receipt of this n ground water, the system must be upgraded, repi	An imminent threat to publi otice or within a shorter pe aced, or its use discontinut to feet of design soil separ	ic health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use riod if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ad within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system sation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats

its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas,

Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.