

**Compliance Inspection Form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

| For local t | or local tracking purposes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 10 1      |                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (No requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may a  | also apply.   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and swithin 15 days   | system owner  |  |  |
| System Status   |   |  |  |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _ 6/30/2020   |   |  |  |
|   | Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)                                      |  |  |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  |   |  |  |
| ☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – I   | mminent threat to public health and safety  |  |  |
|   | 3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety  |  |  |
| ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to p   | rotect groundwater  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component ‡</li> <li>☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to</li> </ul>   | 3) – Failing to protect groundwater   |  |  |
| ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliants)  |   |  |  |
| roperty owner: Cindy McAlpine  r wner's representative: Washington County   | Owner's phone:  Representative phone:  Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655                                   |  |  |
| rief system description: 2 septic tanks to drainfield   |   |  |  |
| omments or recommendations:   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
| ertification  |   |  |  |
| nereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered<br>termination of future system performance has been nor can be ma<br>ssible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future wat | to determine the compliance status of this system. No<br>de due to unknown conditions during system construction, |  |  |
| spector name: Dave Brown  | Certification number: 9370  |  |  |
| siness name: David R Brown  | Sommoduon nullipel. 9570  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   | License number: 3649  |  |  |
| spector signature:  |   |  |  |
| ecessary or Locally Required Attachments  | License number: 3649  |  |  |
| spector signature:  cecessary or Locally Required Attachments  Soil boring logs  System/As-built drawing  Other information (list):   | License number: 3649  |  |  |


| Cc                                     |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| _00                                    | ompliance criteria:   |   | Verification method(s):  |
| Sy                                     | stem discharges sewage to the   | ☐ Yes ☒ No  | Searched for surface outlet  |
|  | ound surface.   |   | ⊠ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home     —     —  |
|  | stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.  | ☐ Yes   No  | ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes   |
|  | stem causes sewage backup into  | ☐ Yes ☒ No  | <ul> <li>☑ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li> </ul>   |
|  | elling or establishment.  |   | System requires "emergency" pumping  |
| Ar                                     | ny "yes" answer above indi  | cates the   | ☐ Performed dye test   |
| system is an imminent threat to public |   | to public   | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  |
|  | alth and safety.  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  |
| Co                                     | mments/Explanation:   |   |  |
|  |   |   |  |
|  |   |   |  |
|  | 9   |   |  |
| Ta                                     | nk Integrity – Compliance   | component #2 of   | 5  |
|  | mpliance criteria:  | component #2 or   | Verification method(s):  |
|  |   | ☐ Yes ⊠ No  | ☑ Probed tank(s) bottom  |
|  | stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.   | Li les Millo  | ☐ Examined construction records  |
| See                                    | epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be   | 17  | ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  |
|  | npliant if allowed in local ordinance.  |   | ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth  |
|  | wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.   | ☐ Yes ☒ No  | ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)   |
|  |   |   | ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"  |
|  | es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:   |   |  |
| If y                                   |   | cates the   | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  |
| If y                                   | es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:<br>ny "yes" answer above indi<br>estem is failing to protect gr   |   |  |
| Ar<br>sy                               | ny "yes" answer above indi  |   | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  |
| Ar<br>sy                               | ny "yes" answer above indi<br>estem is failing to protect gr  |   | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  |
| Ar<br>sy                               | ny "yes" answer above indi<br>estem is failing to protect gr  |   | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  |
| Ar<br>sy                               | ny "yes" answer above indi<br>estem is failing to protect gr  |   | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  |
| Ar<br>sy<br>Co                         | ny "yes" answer above indi<br>estem is failing to protect gr<br>mments/Explanation:   | oundwater.  | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  |
| Ar<br>sy<br>Co                         | ny "yes" answer above indirecter is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  her Compliance Condition   | oundwater.  | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) mponent #3 of 5  |
| Ar<br>sy<br>Co                         | ny "yes" answer above indistem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  her Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama   | S – Compliance cor  | □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknown   |
| Ar<br>sy<br>Co                         | ny "yes" answer above indistem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  her Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama   | S – Compliance conged, cracked, unsecuto immediately and ad                       | □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn versely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn   |
| Ot a.                                  | her Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)  | S – Compliance conged, cracked, unsecuto immediately and ad                       | □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. |
| Ar sy Co                               | hy "yes" answer above indicatem is failing to protect gramments/Explanation:  her Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to | S – Compliance conged, cracked, unsecuto immediately and ad                       | □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn versely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn   |
| Ot a.                                  | her Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain:  System is non-protective of ground                                   | s – Compliance conged, cracked, unsecunto immediately and ad public health and sa | □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn eversely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn effety.                                |
| Ott a. b.                              | her Compliance Condition Maintenance hole covers are dama Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain:   | s – Compliance conged, cracked, unsecunto immediately and ad public health and sa | □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn eversely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkn effety.                                |

| roperty address: 15340 Painters Lane N We   | st Lakeland, Mn         | Inspector initials/Date:   |                    |
|---|-------------------------|--|--------------------|
|   |                         |  | (mm/dd/yyyy)       |
| 4. Soil Separation — Compliance c   | omponent #4 of 5        |  | 1. 4. 4.           |
| Date of installation: 7/17/1997   | Unknown                 | Verification method(s):  |                    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy)  Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage odging?  Compliance criteria:   | ☐ Yes ⊠ No              | Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ. |                    |
| For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and   | ⊠ Yes □ No              | ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (A   | ttach boring logs) |
| not located in Shoreland or Wellhead  | 2 100 2 110             | ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)  |                    |
| Protection Area or not serving a food,<br>beverage or lodging establishment:  |                         | ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no  | drainfield)        |
| Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical   |                         | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/E   | xplanation)        |
| separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  |                         | ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)   |                    |
| Non-performance systems built April 1,<br>1996, or later or for non-performance<br>systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead<br>Protection Areas or serving a food,<br>beverage, or lodging establishment: | ⊠ Yes □ No              | Comments/Explanation:  |                    |
| Orainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*  |                         |  |                    |
| Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"  | ☐ Yes ☐ No              | Indicate depths or elevations  |                    |
| systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV<br>or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.<br>2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector  |                         | A. Bottom of distribution media  | 27"                |
| icense required)  |                         | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock   | 67"                |
| Orainfield meets the designed vertical<br>separation distance from periodically<br>saturated soil or bedrock.   |                         | C. System separation   | 40"                |
|   |                         | D. Required compliance separation*   | 36"                |
| Any "no" answer above indicates to all ing to protect groundwater.  Operating Permit and Nitroger   | •                       | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.  nce component #5 of 5  | lot applicable     |
| Is the system operated under an Operating   | Permit?                 | s ☐ No If "yes", A below is requir   | red                |
| Is the system required to employ a Nitroge  | n BMP?                  | s 🗌 No If "yes", B below is requir   | red                |
| BMP = Best Management Practice(s)   | specified in the system | design   |                    |
| If the answer to both questions is "r   | o", this section do     | es not need to be completed.   |                    |
| Compliance criteria   |                         |  |                    |
| a. Operating Permit number:   |                         |  |                    |
|   | ents been met?          | ☐ Yes ☐ No   |                    |
| Have the Operating Permit requirement   |                         |  |                    |
| <ul><li>Have the Operating Permit requirement</li><li>b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place</li></ul>  |                         | ng? ☐ Yes ☐ No   |                    |

TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 Page 3 of 3

Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas,



SOIL BORING LUG

0"-10"= 10483B CLAY LOAM
10"-46"= 10483B SILTYCLAY
48"-67"= 10484/4 SANDY
CLAY
LOAM