

wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 3

| Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Contro requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements | For local tracking purposes: nents may also apply. |
|---|--|
| Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (I within 15 days | |
| System Status | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/23/2020 | 0 |
| Compliant − Certificate of Compliant (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter tin frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) | |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all app Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) — Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #2) — Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) — Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #4) — Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) — Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements | - Imminent threat to public health and safety component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Failing to protect groundwater component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater – Failing to protect groundwater |
| | |
| Property Information | Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 16.028.20.13.0008 |
| Property address: 2450 Trading Post Trail S Afton, MN 5 | |
| Property owner: | Owner's phone: |
| or | |
| Owner's representative: Jim Mapellentz | Representative phone: 952-818-5167 |
| Local regulatory authority: Washington County | Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655 |
| | and a 1000 gallon pump tank lifting to a gravelless drainfield. |
| Comments or recommendations: The backfill has eroded away over the north end of the dra | infield - recommend adding 1-2 feet of cover. |
| Certification | |
| I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been determination of future system performance has been nor possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or | n gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, future water usage. |
| Inspector name:Tom Trooien | Certification number: 323 |
| Business name: All State Septic Services LLC | License number: 1568 |
| Inspector signature: 7on 7room | Phone number: 612-594-4496 |
| Necessary or Locally Poquired Attachmen | ste la |
| Necessary or Locally Required Attachmen ☐ Soil boring logs ☐ System/As-built drawir ☐ Other information (list): ☐ System/As-built drawir | |
| www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 | TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 |

| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operating level. Werification method(s): Probed tank(s) bottom Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) | Compliance criteria: | | Verification method(s): |
|--|--|--|---|
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System construction of the construction of the component of the component of the construction of the component of the construction of the constructi | | ☐ Yes ☒ No | |
| System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System nesting 7980 2550 may be compliant it allowed in local ordinance. Sevage pits meeting 7980 2550 may be compliant it allowed in local ordinance. Sevage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. Yes No designed operating depth. Yes No make a system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operating level. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Ur Yes* System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: - Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires *emergency* pumping Apy for the lest System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Unabl | | in ☐ Yes ☒ No | Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Comments/Explanation: Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Ur System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: C. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector. Yes* No Ur System is failing to protect groundwater. | | to Yes 🛮 No | ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system |
| Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operating level. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. Yes* No Ur *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: | system is an imminent thr | swer above indicates the imminent threat to public Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) | |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes No Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unable to verify is san imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: | | | |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operating level. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. | | ce component #2 of 5 | |
| Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operating level. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unsystem is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined ampty (pumped) tanks(s) Deprobed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unsystem is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: C. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . □ Yes* ☒ No □ Yes* ☒ No System is failing to protect groundwater. | | | |
| Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operating level. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. | | ☐ Yes ☒ No | |
| Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other tanks were at normal operating level. Other tanks were at normal operating level. | | | ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operating level. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. | | ☐ Yes ☒ No | Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) |
| Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ur *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation, Other methods not listed (See Co | | | 그 사람들이 많아 내가 되었다. 이번 사람들이 얼마를 하는데 하는데 이번 사람들이 되었다. 그 사람들이 모르는데 그 사람들이 되었다. |
| The tanks were at normal operating level. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ur b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. | | ndicator the | 그는 그리는 그는 말이 많아 있다. 그는 그 그리고 없는 사람들이 되었다면 하지만 하게 되었다. |
| b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Ure*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. | | | Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) |
| *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater. | system is failing to protect Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operation | ng level. | |
| c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. | system is failing to protect Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operation Other Compliance Condit | t groundwater. ng level. ions – Compliance con | nponent #3 of 5 |
| *System is failing to protect groundwater. | System is failing to protect Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operation Other Compliance Condit a. Maintenance hole covers are of the covers | t groundwater. Ing level. Ing level. | nponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkr |
| Explain: | System is failing to protect Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operation Other Compliance Condit a. Maintenance hole covers are of the covers | t groundwater. Ing level. Ing level. | nponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. □ Yes* ☒ No □ Unkr |
| | System is failing to protect Comments/Explanation: The tanks were at normal operation Other Compliance Condit a. Maintenance hole covers are of the cove | ing level. cions – Compliance companaged, cracked, unsecurate.) to immediately and advant to public health and sate | nponent #3 of 5 ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkr /ersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkr fety. |

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 6/23/2020

Property address: 2450 Trading Post Trail S Afton, MN 55001

| Property address: 2450 Trading Post Trail S A | Afton, MN 55001 | | Inspector initials/Date: | TT 6/23/2020 (mm/dd/yyyy) | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 4. Soil Separation - Compliance co | omponent #4 of 5 | | | | |
| Date of installation: 12/13/2007 | Unknown | Verif | cation method(s): | | |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? | ☐ Yes ☒ No | obser | bservation does not expire. Payations by two independent pays site conditions have been al | arties are sufficient, | |
| Compliance criteria: | | | ements differ. | torou or room | |
| For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: | | ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) | | | |
| Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | ☐ Un | able to verify (See Comments/Ener (See Comments/Explanation) | Explanation) | |
| Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: | ⊠ Yes □ No | Comm | nents/Explanation: | | |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* | | | | | |
| "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" | ☐ Yes ☐ No | Indic | ate depths or elevations | | |
| systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector | | A. Bot | tom of distribution media | 2.5 | |
| License required) | | B. Per | iodically saturated soil/bedrock | 5.5 | |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | C. Sys | tem separation | 3 | |
| Any "no" answer above indicates to | | | quired compliance separation* De reduced up to 15 percent it | 3 | |
| failing to protect groundwater. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen | BMP* – Complia | Ordin | ance. nponent #5 of 5 | Not applicable | |
| Is the system operated under an Operating | | s 🗌 No | If "yes", A below is requi | red | |
| Is the system required to employ a Nitroger | | s 🗌 No | If "yes", B below is requi | red | |
| BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s | specified in the systen | n design | | | |
| If the answer to both questions is "r | o", this section do | es not r | need to be completed. | | |
| Compliance criteria | | | | | |
| a. Operating Permit number: <u>n/a</u> | | | □Vaa □Na | | |
| Have the Operating Permit requireme | nts been met? | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place | and properly function | ing? | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| Any "no" answer indicates Nonc | ompliance. | | | | |
| Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55, discontinued within ten months of receipt of this ground water, the system must be upgraded, rep is not failing as defined in law, and has at least to its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in con | notice or within a shorter placed, or its use disconti wo feet of design soil sep rdinance that is more str | period if re nued withir paration, the ict. This pro | quired by local ordinance. If the sate time required by local ordinates the system need not be upgrassission does not apply to systems | system is failing to protect ance. If an existing system ded, repaired, replaced, or in shoreland areas. | |

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

2450 TRADINGE POST TRS
13APR 2007
BOFINE BETWEEN BI; B3
0"-12" SANDY 10 YR 3/2
104m 10 YR 4/3
12"-22" SANDY 10 YR 4/3
204m 10 YR 4/3
22"-46" SANDY 10 YR 4/4

GG - FAINT REDOX

http://www.ourlighterside.com/stuff/engineer2/

JOB 2450 Trading Post Trail AFTON

| | | Mily b. | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | *** | 8 |
| | lolack loam topsoil | black loam topsoil | black loami topsoil |
| 型 | Imedium brown sitty clay | dark brown | red brown sandy silty |
| red brown sandy lorown fine | 109m 4/3 | Silt 100m 10yr 3/3 | loarn Syr4/3 |
| Sandy silty found | medium brown clay loam | dark red brown | Medium brown |
| Medium hynny cilly | 1041-45 - mottled-60 | Sandy silty loam 5yr4/3 60 | Sit loam 10yr4/3 |
| clay lean love 1/3 (5) medium brown | | | Timestone sond |
| | | | |
| | | tv. | |